

Debra Unger

From: Debra Unger on behalf of Darin Atteberry
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 12:59 PM
To: Bob Overbeck; 'nosprayfortcollins@gmail.com'
Cc: Darin Atteberry; CCSL; Mike Calhoon; Dan Weinheimer; Kelly DiMartino; Sarah Kane
Subject: RE: decision tool based on historical data (Council SAR #26161 / Agenda item #21)

Bob and Dana,

Thank you for your request for an analysis of WNV historical data. Please see the following information provided by Parks Supervisor Mike Calhoon in response to your inquiry:

~~~~~

The process of compiling all of the data in the varying configurations has been discussed during the review of this past season. Dr. Chet Moore has been working with a graduate student to analyze the data from the past 11 years. Unfortunately, this analysis takes an extended amount of time and will not be available today. This research is being done in conjunction with the work of the CSU statistician. As of now they are analyzing the four zone approach. This information will be reviewed by the TAC when available.

~~~~~

Regards,

Debra Unger

Executive Administrative Assistant
City Manager's Office
300 LaPorte Avenue
P.O. Box 580
Fort Collins, CO 80522-0580

[Click here to Tell Me About My Service ... I Want to Know!](#)

From: Bob Overbeck
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 3:02 PM
To: Darin Atteberry
Subject: Fwd: decision tool based on historical data

Darin

I would like to see this data assembled as suggested.

Thanks
Bob

Sent from my iPhone

Bob Overbeck

City Councilmember
District 1
City of Fort Collins
(970) 817-1411
boverbeck@fcgov.com

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dana Kunze <nosprayfortcollins@gmail.com>
Date: June 2, 2014 at 1:52:39 PM MDT
To: Bob Overbeck <boverbeck@fcgov.com>, <rcunniff@fcgov.com>
Subject: decision tool based on historical data

Hi Bob, Ross, and Gerry,

I was asked to follow up on a request I made to staff back in March when the TAC was still meeting. When it was decided to provide Council a "menu" of options on items on which the TAC had not reached consensus, I suggested that staff provide Council with an analysis of previous years' trap data according to the options being presented. In other words, what would the implications have been if each of the options had been in place in 2004-2013? At what point in each of those years would we have reached the threshold for spraying if:

- * the VI threshold had been 0.5? 0.75? 1.0?
- * the VI threshold had been applied city wide? to 4 static zones? to "floating zones"?
- * city-wide human cases were included? excluded?

I felt that this would have been a powerful decision-making tool for Council and it was unfortunately not put together by staff to my knowledge, but wanted to mention the idea in case it could be pursued in the future.

--

Dana Kunze
Founder, No Spray Fort Collins Facebook Page
<https://www.facebook.com/NoSprayFortCollins>
970-691-2017