1. CALL TO ORDER

Rob Cagan called the meeting to order at 5:42pm

2. ROLL CALL

- Board Members Present
  - Rob Cagan – Vice Chair (arrival 5:40pm)
  - Ragan Adams
  - Jessica MacMillan
  - Bob Kingsbury (departure 8:30pm)
  - Kelly Smith – Secretary
  - Sam Houghteling (departure 8:10pm)
- Board Members Absent
  - Catherine Carabetta (Excused)
  - Mary Carlson (Excused)
  - Bruce Henderson (Excused)
- Staff Members Present
  - Mike Calhoon – Director of Parks
  - Bob Adams – Director of Recreation
  - Colleen Bannon – Administrative Assistant/Board Support
  - Craig Kisling – Park Planning and Development

3. AGENDA REVIEW

- No changes announced at the meeting by the Chair

4. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

- Name of citizens:
  - Brian Janonis
  - Catherine Janonis
  - Johnny Sineo
  - Phil Hendricks
  - Dana Deruchie
  - Michael Spearnak

- Topic of discussion: City Park Refresh

These 6 community members live in the City Park Neighborhood, and shared their opinion of the City Park Refresh:

*Comment:* I am happy the City Park Refresh is on hold. I encourage the Board to consider the uniqueness of the park and re-look at the public input process. I like the vision of the park, but don’t like what’s being proposed. I found the playground and trolley barns were conflicting with the vision. I’m not against the zipline, or playground structures, but they don’t belong in City Park.
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Comment: Thank you for re-thinking Refresh. It will be like going to an amusement park, not a national park. I like the train, but there will be other problems. There are port-a-potties. Fix that problem first

Board: Is the public bathroom out of order?

Staff: No

Comment: Is it legal to build a free building for private business? The City doesn’t own the trolley, but they are building a trolley barn. The route system will be impacted by the trolley and train. There are already complaints about noise from pickleball, is the ringing of the bell on the train less impactful? Will blocking the road impact the Fire Dept.?

Comment: I went to the 2016 meeting, and the meetings this year. The plan currently proposed is flawed. Process and public survey is too short to get any uninformed consent. Yes or No. The plan is too big. Road closures, damage to trees, building out of context. Too big.

Comment: Concerned about the historic aspect of the park. Closure to the street? I don’t know if that’s the right street to close. Maybe move the train over to the street connecting the ballfield.

Comment: I have issue with the design process. They took a linear approach, which is an old school approach. If they took and integrated approach, people wouldn’t be here. I advise the City staff to look at an integrated approach to design. There are so many constituents, how can you get everyone’s opinions. I urge that you read those emails and letters. Folks reacted, that’s why the project is on hold.

Comment: There were a lot of people that were not contacted. Like the Hispanic population.

Comment: Other City departments have used the integrated approach

Board: I hear you, I was at the meetings too. The process was just beginning, so I have not said anything, but I agree with you 100%. They presented to us 1 week before the meetings. I personally share similar concerns. I suggest you follow the project and come to meetings. The agenda is posted on the P&R website. I’m new to the Chair today.

Board: This is similar to the planning of Spring Canyon Park in ’93. There were 3 citizen participation meetings that went until midnight listening to people. The park changed dramatically from listening to people. It’s invaluable information to have input from people that live around the park.

Staff: I think it’s good they came and let us know these concerns are out there.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Discussion about submitting previous month’s meeting minutes 1 – 2 weeks after each meeting instead of the Friday before the meetings. This will allow more time to look at the minutes, as well as have the information fresh in your mind. Bob K. motioned to approve the minutes, Jessica second the motion, Rob motioned to approve the minutes, but allow amendments at a later date. Ragan abstained due to absence last meeting. Motion passes 5-0.
6. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
   - No unfinished business from previous meeting

7. NEW BUSINESS

   **Election of Officers**

   Since some members were unable to attend this meeting, elections have been postponed until the next meeting, on July 25, 2018.

   **Update on BFO Offers**

   *Staff:* BFO offers are currently in the 2nd round. During this period, offers are being ranked from highest to lowest priority by selected BFO teams. A dollar amount will then be allocated and funds will be given to offers that rank highest priority to lowest priority. When funds deplete, the remaining offers will not be funded this BFO season. We are looking for Boards and Commissions to put together their thoughts and recommendations by the middle of July. Park Planning & Development, Parks, Recreation and Forestry are in your purview.

   *Board:* Would like to see the departments rate their offers in order of importance, and have a statement/theme prior to their ranking. This will allow Board members to know which offers are most important, and focus on those specifically. Each Board member will then reach out to everyone and a meeting with Mike, Bob and Kurt will be scheduled so a letter of support can be written.

   **Park Planning & Development Staff Updates**

   *Brief descriptions of current Park Planning & Development projects attached*

   **Discussion: City Park Refresh**

   *Staff:* There is a Council meeting scheduled for October 23rd. This date may change due to a scheduling conflict.

   *Board:* This causes an interesting situation. BFO offers for City Park are on the table. If the meeting with Council is in October, the offers will be off the table. City Park won’t have anything updated until 2021.

   *Staff:* We have secured $1.8 million for phase I. There is no time limit on these funds. Next step is to find out what a “Refresh” is, and what public outreach method should be used. We also need to find another way to fund a refresh. Refresh should be used for Community Parks, and Lifecycle needs to be used for Neighborhood Parks.

   *Board:* Phasing can’t be stretched over a 6-year period. If you have to close the park for a year to get it done, then do that. Staff seems to be up against a wall. If the project is contentious, we need to be supportive of the department, and their work.
Presentation of future Streets Facility Park

Craig Kisling from Park Planning & Development presented the conceptual drawings of Streets Facility Park, located on the southwest corner of E. Vine Dr. and Lemay Ave. Presentation attached

Discussion:

Staff: Streets Facility Park is not part of the Master Plan, but came about due to the Lincoln Corridor project. Council gave money for the development of the Streets Facility Park. Working with the contractor and subcontractor to finalize a cost for construction.

Board: Does Maintenance end up paying for water?

Staff: The tap is almost $150k. Development pays for the tap, then Parks maintains it.

Board: Do you have information about the pollinator garden?

Staff: We received a grant from Noosa, and Natural Areas is giving us money too. All native, low-water, species will be used. Nature in the City will provide 2 years of maintenance to the garden prior to Parks taking over.

Board: Will the pollinator garden be away from the playground?

Staff: The pollinator gardens are more than just bees, they are butterflies too. The will be linear, staying with the rest of the parks design.

Recreation Staff Updates

Brief Recreation Department Update attached

Discussion:

Staff: Club Tico is on track to hit our projected revenue. The Father’s Day 5K was outsourced, and City Park Pool added Friday rentals. The Ann Azari Lounge opening is happening on Friday (6/29)

Board: Do you receive any comments regarding the cost for City Park Pool?

Staff: That cost has remained for 8 years. We do sell a discount punch pass, and offer twilight swim at a discount. We are hitting capacity.

Parks Staff Update

The Forestry position has not yet been filled. There were 3 potential candidates, but offers were not accepted. The process of hiring a person to fill this position takes approximately 10 – 12 weeks.

Parks has been involved in the push for safety along the Poudre River.

Final preparations are being made for the Fourth of July celebration at City Park.

8. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

No reports on committee activities, or events attended

9. OTHER BUSINESS
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6-month Council Calendar:

- Council will be discussing a topic or issue relating to Parks, Recreation and Park Planning and Development between now and the end of the year. It has been suggested board members either attend or watch the Council meetings
  - July 24: KFCG Sunset
  - August 14: Review BFO Assumptions and Major Themes of the 2019/10 Budget
  - September 4: Setting the 2019-20 Budget Public Hearings (consent)
  - September 4: Montava Metropolitan Districts Consolidated Service Plan
  - September 4: Waterfield Metropolitan Districts Consolidated Service Plan
  - September 4: Water’s Edge Metropolitan Districts Consolidated Service Plan
  - September 18: 2019-20 Budget Public Hearing
  - October 2: 2019-20 Budget Public Hearing
  - November 6: 2019-20 Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance (1st reading)
  - November 20: 2019-20 Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance (2nd reading)

10. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting Adjourned at 8:36pm
All,
On June 4th, I attended a meeting where the Park Planning Department staff presented their vision for the future of City Park and asked for feedback from city park neighborhood residents. As I am sure you are aware, records of feedback from public meetings often times, by their nature, do not fully reflect the flavor of citizen input. A total of six “general comments” were captured by staff from a quite contentious two and one half hour meeting. Here, for example, are two:

- Concerned about preserving the character of the park
- Design ideas presented are nice, but prefer they don’t happen at City Park

As an architect who has managed the design and construction of many significant public projects in Fort Collins over the past 30 years, I offer these observations that will perhaps give you a better feel for the nature of some of the feedback as opposed to the rather benign interpretation by City staff evidenced by the bulleted comments above:

**Design Process**
A traditional, outdated, linear design approach seems to have been employed for this project rather than an integrated approach. I am aware of just two meetings with neighborhood residents regarding the future of City Park that have been hosted by City staff: one near the beginning of the design process (2016) and another nearly two years later now that the construction drawings for the design are 40% complete. An integrated approach to design (where a design advisory team of stakeholder representatives are involved at least monthly) has been used to great effect for years by the other City departments, CSU, as well as Poudre School District. An integrated design approach would have never elicited the kind of opposition demonstrated by stakeholders at this late stage of the project (40% completion of construction documents, no less!). For example, with construction documents nearly half complete, budgets and phasing already established, it should not come as a surprise to city staff (as it did at the meeting) that the neighborhood’s first priority is not for the demolition of the tennis courts to make way for a train, but rather that “refresh” improvements focus on the basic health, welfare, and safety needs of the public, i.e. eliminate pedestrian/traffic conflict, adequate toilet facilities (the park currently has several port-a-potties, and, as staff clearly pointed out, the existing permanent toilets are at the end of their life cycle), and additional sidewalks. Likewise, stakeholders should not have been shocked to find out that the park could be without tennis/pickle ball courts indefinitely (due to funding uncertainty) – or amazed that the construction drawings were 40% complete - I could go on.

These types of disconnects are what an integrated design approach avoids. Perhaps the greatest downside of not using an integrated approach is that it fosters lack of trust. Since no other plans were presented for consideration (except in 2016), and after discovering that the designers are nearly half way done with construction documents for the only plan presented, I find it difficult to have faith in city staff when they emphatically state publicly that this plan is “not a done deal”.

**Concept**
The plan for the improvements at the park has disingenuously been characterized as a “refresh” for the park. In its headline for an above-the-fold feature on the “refresh”, the Coloradoan called the improvements a “Facelift”. Hardly a facelift, this plan is a face transplant. This is not a refresh, but a transformation. This plan turns its back on a core element of the historic fabric of not only the City Park Neighborhood, but of Fort Collins itself. I never imagined that a city that puts such store in maintaining sense of place would even entertain the idea of enthusiastically turning an Olmsted-inspired, pastoral arboretum into an amusement park.
Zip lines, putt-putt golf, forty-foot-tall playground structures, lighting in trees, an over-designed trolley ‘museum’, formal gardens, stylized night lighting – these proposed elements (and others) fundamentally change the historic character and sense of place of the park. City staff repeatedly refuted this sentiment by making the argument that “only 15 acres out of the 80 will be affected” by the proposed plan. Try adding nearly 20% more sugar to your next cup of coffee and see if it changes its character.

Phasing
The proposed phasing of the plan is problematic at best and at worst irresponsible. Problematic in that the park will potentially be under construction for five to six years, irresponsible by not only giving a back seat to desperately needed safety and health concerns, but (because of uncertain funding) by putting these improvements at risk of not happening at all. Phase I includes replacing the tennis/pickle ball courts with a new (underfunded) train. While there seems to be strong support for replacing the long-derailed train, including it in Phase I to the exclusion of addressing long identified safety issues seems to ignore basic, obvious needs. It seems the political pressure to have a bigger, better train has put it first in line, resulting in the proverbial tail wagging the dog. Since funding for subsequent phases is far from certain, it could very well be that City Park could end up not addressing essential traffic/pedestrian conflicts, upgraded toilet facilities, accessibility issues, as well as tennis court replacement.

Other Comments
When asked why this plan has progressed from conceptual design to schematic design and now through 40% construction document completion, city staff responded that it was necessary to take it this far in order to get an accurate construction estimate to establish a budget, all the while asserting that project is “not a done deal”. Never, in all my years as a design professional involved in projects much bigger in scale than this, have I seen a project taken so near to design completion in order to establish a budget.

City staff, along with their design consultants, has obviously worked closely together to come up with some very well intentioned, exciting, and innovative elements in this proposed plan. I am hopeful that such visionary ideas will have a chance to be enjoyed by our community, just not at the expense of forever compromising the integrity of an essential element of the historical fabric of Fort Collins.

Respectfully,
Michael Spearnak
City Park Neighborhood Resident
Dear Mayor Troxell and Council Members:

RE: BFO Offer 32.6: City Park Refresh Phase II

I am writing to express my grave concern for the City of Fort Collins and its values as reflected in the ongoing City Park Refresh project. As a former member of the City’s Executive Leadership Team, I have tried to convince my neighbors that this is not the way the City operates. At the recent public meeting we heard that the construction documents are 40-50% complete. It pains me when I hear my neighbors say “it's a done deal” and “The City is going to do it anyway”, and “The City has the solution and is now looking for a problem to justify it”.

As the previous Utilities Executive Director, I worked hard to preserve and maintain Fort Collins’ tradition of providing safe, reliable and sustainable utility services to a growing population. My efforts were greatly enhanced by my predecessors who developed a culture that I inherited. This culture is documented in the books Buckets to Basin, How the Waste was Won, and Partners in Power. I believe this cultural context continues to provide great benefits to the City of Fort Collins.

On Monday, June 4, 2018, I attended a public information meeting on “City Park Refresh” where I stated that the proposed plan does not honor the cultural and historical context and character of the park and the surrounding historic neighborhood. When I tried to explain this to my Council representative, he seemed to be unaware of what cultural context meant and suggested “maybe we should go back to having horse racing and camping in City Park.” Just to make it clear, I am not averse to public improvements when they are needed, but I am averse to public improvements that don’t respect the historical context of the park and neighborhood.

The Parks webpage itself provides good documentation of City Park History and why people love it. This history is important to understanding the cultural context of City Park. It was designed as a pastoral setting for people to come and relax and escape the hustle and bustle of the city. Likewise, the surrounding neighborhood is associated historically with the development of City Park (1). The park is surrounded by historic homes which were owned by many early community leaders. The block where I live was once the home of Philip Morison (President of Morison-Roney Motor Co.), Arthur Harris (Harris Painting Co.), James Farquharson (Professor of Veterinary surgery at CSU), Frank Stover (owner of City Drug and Vice-President of Poudre Valley National Bank), Walter Johnston (part owner of Johnston-Kissock Chevrolet), Robert Sevier (Physician) and Jasper Loomis (son of Abner Loomis), where I currently live (1).

As a former member of the Landmark Preservation Commission, I understand the importance of historic context in enhancing and preserving neighborhoods. The City places great value on its cultural and historic character as supported by the recently
completed Old Town Neighborhood Plan and the Old Town Neighborhoods Design Guidelines. Personally, we have spent considerable sums preserving the historic character of our home as have many of my neighbors.

I am in the park virtually every day and have had the pleasure of seeing weddings, family reunions, company picnics, kids playing football, soccer, bike polo, frisbee etc. People like the informal nature of the park, they can put a blanket down anywhere and enjoy the pastoral setting. We see kids riding their bikes to fish in the lake. We see adults fishing the lake. It's the casual, informal nature of the park that makes people of all ages and ethnic backgrounds feel welcome.

My nieces and nephews loved to play in the park and swim in the pool. At a recent family gathering my 3-1/2 year old grandniece played in the playground every chance she could, where we met many other kids and their families. Like many others, we took family pictures at the moss rock gate.

I ask: what is the $12 million problem we are trying to fix with City Park Refresh? Do 41ft tall space age structures fit the Park's historic and cultural context? How about the proposed zipline? Club Tico was remodeled in 2003. Does it really need to be remodeled again? Considerable and appropriate effort went into installing moss rock on the bridge over the ditch to keep it in context. Considerable effort was made to restore the historic lights at the stone gate. Is a brightly lit, very tall glass and glue-lam Trolley Barn in context, especially when compared to the original brick structure? How does the proposed Boardwalk significantly enhance the existing stone terrace and TREX walkway? The rendering shows lounge chairs where kids currently stand and fish. I don't see kids casting fish hooks being compatible with loungers.

I believe that you, as our elected representatives, will see that $12 million can go a long way in addressing many more important needs in the City.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration. Please help me restore faith in City Government.

Respectfully,

Brian Janonis

(1) “Survey of the 1300 Block of West Oak, Fort Collins, Colorado” Conducted by: Lee M. Sachs, R.C. Porter, Barbara McCoy Deines, Erin Christensen, Jennifer Carpenter; December 12, 1985.
Kurt,
Thank you for meeting with the neighborhood last night. I enjoyed listening to your presentation and all the neighborhood comments. While I believe City Park needs improvements, this 12 million dollar plan presented last night is over the top in my opinion. The original BOB funding was meant for safety/traffic calming between the playground and pool and additional sidewalks. I also understand the addition funding for a new ‘little train’ (inadequate as we saw last night) is an important political concern to accomplish. Unfortunately this conceptual design has incorporated many other aspects that are incredibly expensive.

My main concern however is the funding source, A program to refresh the entire Park system is needed, many neighborhood and community parks are showing their age. It is critical to develop standards, criteria, and a vetting process to move forward. A dedicated funding source needs to be found to deliver equitable results throughout the city. Using the Budgeting for Outcomes process is much too risky! Phasing a refresh project with the possibility of visitors viewing construction tape for six years in the core area of City Park is unacceptable. Phasing this massive project through multiple budget cycles is a misguided solution lacking leadership.

I can only imagine what Councilman Cunniff could say next year about Rolland Moore park. Will Park Planning and Development dangle another new shiny plan for that community park? They only have two shelters, a playground that is 35 years old without a recent renovation and perhaps the neighborhood would like a splash park like FCCP and SCCP have. They will say where’s our 12 million dollar improvements. Councilman Martinez will be next with concerns about Edora Park. The General Fund cannot absorb these massive budgetary requests.

Please reconsider the scale of improvements in City Park and focus on safety/traffic calming issues before spending millions of taxpayer dollars out of the General Fund. Many small adjustments to the plan could be made to save monies. The City’s General Fund is for core operations and maintenance services rendered, enhancement offers are generally for additional personnel and associated maintenance required for ever expanding service areas and newly developed buildings, medians, streets, and venues. Putting pressure on the BFO teams, upper management and City Council to fund shiny new projects is ill advised and lacks a comprehensive vision for success, I believe you can do better.

This is a great time to continue the dialogue about refreshing of parks and a funding source. Your leadership qualities are needed now more than ever.

I await your response so I can share with the neighborhood.

Thank you
Will Whirty
City Park Neighbor
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Memorandum

To: City of Fort Collins Parks & Recreation Board
From: Kurt Friesen, Director of Park Planning and Development
Date: June 27, 2018

RE: Key Park Planning Project Updates for June 2018

Poudre River Whitewater Park
Approximately $2M of additional funding has been provided for the project, including a $1M contribution from Jack & Ginger Graham. The additional funding will provide for elements that were previously eliminated from the project, including the pedestrian bridge. Construction is anticipated to begin late August with site cleanup, tree work, utilities installation, and staging for river work, which will begin this fall. A groundbreaking celebration is currently being planned prior to the start of construction.

Long View Trail
Trail construction continues on-schedule. A majority of the paving is finished, bridges have been installed, crusher fine trail is installed, and fine grading is occurring on the sides of the trail. Anticipated completion is set for the beginning of July. A grand opening ceremony is being planned with Larimer County and the City of Loveland, and will take place mid-August. An invitation to the event will be sent to the Parks & Recreation Board when a date is finalized.

Fossil Creek Trail
Construction of the trail is tentatively scheduled to begin early July and be complete by November 2018. In addition to the trail connecting Shields Ave. to College Ave., a trail extension from Fossil Creek Drive to the Cathy Fromme Prairie underpass on the east side of Shields will also be included as part of the project. This connection will allow users of both Long View Trail and Fossil Creek Trail to remain on an off-street trail. Currently, there is a 5’ sidewalk which will be removed and replaced with the wider trail.

Streets Park
On June 28th, Nature in the City is hosting a neighborhood meeting at the park site where information about the pollinator garden, park construction, and the engineering of the Vine/Lemay bridge will be discussed. Construction for the park is anticipated to begin this summer/fall. The new name for the park is not yet finalized, with Beet Park and Sugar Mill Park as the finalists. More information about the park will be provided at this month’s Parks & Recreation Board meeting.

City Park Refresh
Both the neighborhood and community meetings were well attended, and provided City staff good information on citizen’s thoughts for the overall vision, proposed park elements and proposed phasing for the project. While there is majority support for the overall vision and project elements, there is
some opposition. City Council has directed staff to pause further outreach efforts to review the
definition and scope of park refresh projects and define the character of community parks. As a follow-up to the April 2016 work session with Council regarding park refresh, a second work session with City Council is scheduled October 23 to discuss these items. Meeting materials are available on the project web page at www.fcgov.com/cityparktomorrow

**Crescent Park**

Crescent Park is in the final stages of construction with completion set for mid-summer. Irrigation work is complete, and the installation of sod and seeding is underway. Carolyn Braaksma, the artist chosen through Art in Public Places, is currently working on completing her artwork, and will install the pieces prior to the park grand opening. Park Planning & Development worked with Risk Management to ensure safety at the restroom overlook. Though the playground is currently open to the public, and site fencing has been removed, the park will officially open mid-summer with a grand opening celebration.
Memorandum

To:    City of Fort Collins Parks & Recreation Advisory Board  
From:  Bob Adams, Recreation Director  
Date:  June 27, 2018  
RE:    Key Recreation Department Updates for June 2018

• **Club Tico Reservations Update**
  o Usage January - YTD
    • City/IGA Rental Rates 2  $112.75
    • Non-Profit Groups 21  $7,085.61
    • Community Groups 22  $8,299.75
    • Commercial 0

    • Social Events 19
    • Wedding/Receptions 11
    • Dances 9
    • Meetings 4
    • Religious 2

• **Father’s Day 5K Update**
  o During the winter of 2018 Staff met with Green Events Colorado that run many races in Northern Colorado. Through a partnership the City of Fort Collins Recreation has given ownership of the race to Green events but through a contractual agreement the city receives 45% of the proceeds with no costs. The reason for this partnership was that Green events has the expertise and resources needed to run a large successful 5K.

  o Green events and city staff worked closely with the Foothills mall to move the event to the midtown location. This was a very popular spot for both participations as well as mall merchants.

  o In 2017 the Fathers Day 5K had 410 paid entrants, in 2018 under Green Events management the race grew to 550 paid participants.

• **City Park Pool Rentals – 27.7% increase from last year**
  o Fridays 7 out of 12
  o Saturday 8 out of 13
  o Sunday 8 out of 13
• **Ann Azari Lounge Dedication**
  o Ann Azari Lounge Dedication on Friday, June 29, 2018 at 4:30 – 5:30 p.m. being held at the Fort Collins Senior Center at 1200 Raintree Drive.

• **Avalanche vs Eagles:**
  o 3 on 3 recruiting event at EPIC Sunday July 1st from 4:30 to 7:30pm.
  o Free to the Public

• **Reduced Rate Metrics**
  o **Mass Registration Data**
  o February - 372 Youth and Adult reduced fee enrollments with 40% registering on-line
  o May - 857 Youth and Adult reduced fee enrollments with 36% registering on-line!

---
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Reduced Fee Passes Sold

1974

- **Youth, 445**
- **Senior, 110**
- **Adult, 348**
- **Family, 1071**
Facility Visits
13440
- Club Tico: 0.11%, 15 visits
- City Park Pool: 0.35%, 47 visits
- EPIC: 14.34%, 1927 visits
- Senior Center: 30.38%, 4083 visits
- FAC: 15.44%, 2075 visits
- FARM: 1.44%, 194 visits
- Mulberry Pool: 12.38%, 1664 visits

Program Enrollments - 2763
- Youth & Teen...
- Aquatics
- Youth Sports
- Child Development
- Fitness
- ARO
- Ice
- Farm
- Pottery
- Martial Arts
- Education
- Wellness Programs
- Aqua Fitness
- Arts & Crafts
- Youth Dance
- Trips & Travel
- Outdoor Rec
- Sr. 50+

Program Enrollments

- **BFO Update**