

07/09/2019

54

ORDER This matter is before the Court on [51](#) Plaintiff's Request for Extra Time to Respond to Motion for Dismissal Update (the "Motion to Extend"), which was referred to this Court [#52]. Through the Motion, Plaintiff requests that the deadline for him to respond to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss [#28, 39] be extended until 30 days after he receives certain discovery, including surveillance camera footage, from defense counsel. Although Plaintiff attaches a June 24, 2019 email from defense counsel Mark Ratner to Plaintiff stating that Defendants "will provide to [Plaintiff] a copy of the police report and the videos," there is no indication in the Motion when that production actually will occur. The Court is not inclined to indefinitely delay the deadline for Plaintiff to respond to the pending motions to dismiss. Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED in PART and DENIED IN PART. The deadline for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' pending motions to dismiss [#28, 39] is extended until 8/08/2019. To the extent Plaintiff does not promptly receive the promised production from Defendants and requires additional time, he may seek an addition extension but must demonstrate good cause for any additional extension. The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff. SO ORDERED, by Magistrate Judge Scott T. Varholak on 7/09/2019. Text Only Entry(stvlc1, ) Modified on 7/15/2019 to correct extension time (jgonz, ). (Entered: 07/09/2019)