



Allegation of Bias

The appellant states:

“Legislative will was compromised due to **Member(s) and Chairperson(s) of the board having special interests in mind**. This severely compromised legislative will, resulting in a board decision favorable to the personal business interests of one or more of the board members. This could be classified in the same vein as close business and conflict of interest on the part of members of the Planning and Zoning Board.”

On page 2 of the hearing transcript:

- Board member Jeff Hansen recused himself and noted a conflict of interest.
- As a standard hearing procedure, Board Chair Schneider asked if other Board members needed to disclose a conflict or any ex-parte communications. The remaining members confirmed that they had nothing to disclose.
- No evidence has been presented that would demonstrate that a conflict of interest exists.

DATE FILED: January 15, 2019 1:07 PM
FILING ID: 3AFC1894482C18
CASE NUMBER: 2018CV125



Allegation of Bias

The appellant states:

“The integrity of public participation and comment was severely damaged by the actions of **chairperson Jeffrey Schneider at the hearing, limiting the input and ability to speak of the appellant**. Even when prompted by the secretary, the chair refused to allow clarification and further input from the appellant despite the appellant's continued presence in the room and wish to speak.”

- As discussed previously, the Chair followed established procedure.



Allegation of Bias

The appellant states:

“Board members, in their breach of legislative will, failed to address the concerns emailed to the board/ Planning department by Anne Colwell on 7/30/2017.”

- Staff confirms that Ms. Colwell’s letter was included in the Board’s packet for their consideration.



Allegation of Bias

The appellant states:

“Board members, in their breach of legislative will, moved through the process of approval of the final plan too quickly. Thus, without exhaustively addressing all possible concerns voiced throughout the timeline of the process.”

- This allegation is not related to bias and is referenced in other portions of the Appeal.