

EXHIBIT 3

REFERENCED SECTIONS OF THE
FORT COLLINS MUNICIPAL CODE

AND

LAND USE CODE

FORT COLLINS MUNICIPAL CODE

Sec. 1-2. - Definitions; rules of construction.

In the construction of this Code and of all ordinances, the following definitions and rules of construction shall apply unless such construction would be inconsistent with the manifest intent of the City Council:

Charter . The word *Charter* shall mean the Home Rule Charter of the City of Fort Collins, Colorado, adopted by the electors on October 5, 1954, and all subsequent amendments thereto. A copy of the Charter is printed as Part I of this volume.

City . The word *City* shall mean the municipal corporation of Fort Collins, Colorado, including its physical location and boundaries, or any of its officers, employees, agents or administrative units, as the context shall require or admit.

City Council . The words *City Council* when used in this Code shall be construed to mean the City Council of the City of Fort Collins, Colorado.

Code . References to the *Code* shall mean the Code of the City of Fort Collins as designated in § 1-1.

Computation of time . Whenever a notice is required to be given or an act to be done a certain length of time before any proceedings shall be had, the day on which such notice is given or such act is done shall not be counted in computing the time, but the day on which such proceeding is to be had shall be counted unless it is a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday in which event the period shall run until the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday. Whenever the period is less than seven (7) days, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays shall not be counted in the computation.

County . The word *County* when used in this Code shall mean the County of Larimer, Colorado.

C.R.S. . The initials *C.R.S.* when used in this Code shall mean the most recent edition of the Colorado Revised Statutes.

Delegation of authority . Whenever a provision appears requiring the director of a service area, head of a department or officer of the City to do some act or make certain inspections, it is to be construed to authorize the director of the service area, head of the department or officer to designate, delegate and authorize subordinates to perform the required act or make the required inspection unless the terms of the provision or section designate otherwise. If any provision assigns a duty or responsibility to a person holding a position of employment that no longer exists within the City's organizational structure, and the need arises for such duty or responsibility to be carried out, the City Manager shall designate, in a writing filed with the City Clerk, the position of employment to which the duty or responsibility is to be assigned. Such designation by the City Manager shall remain in effect until such time, if at all, that the position designated in the Code is reestablished in the City's organizational structure or the City Council amends the provision in question to specify a different position to which the duty or responsibility is to be assigned.

Department means a primary subdivision of a service area headed by a person who, regardless of title, is directly responsible to the director of the service area.

District Court shall mean the District Court for the Eighth Judicial District for the State of Colorado.

Division means a primary subdivision of a department headed by a person who is directly responsible to the head of the department.

Employee . *Employee* shall mean a person in the compensated service of the City except City Councilmembers.

Gender . A word importing the masculine or feminine gender shall extend and be applied to firms, partnerships and corporations, etc., as well as to males and females where the context of the provision permits.

Growth Management Area shall mean the Fort Collins Urban Growth Area as defined in Article XIII of the Charter of the City, namely, that geographic area within and adjacent to the City identified by the Intergovernmental Agreement between the City of Fort Collins and Larimer County as that area identified for annexation and urbanization by the City, including the Urban Growth Area as it exists on March 5, 1985, together with any amendments or changes thereto.

Interpretation . In the interpretation and application of any provisions of this Code, it shall be held to be at least the minimum requirements adopted for the promotion of the public health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare. Where any other provision of this Code imposes greater restrictions upon the subject matter than the general provision imposed by the Code, the provision imposing the greater restriction or regulation shall be deemed to be controlling.

Month . The word *month* shall mean a calendar month.

Name of the officer, department, board, etc. . The naming of an officer, department, board, etc., shall be construed as if followed by the words "of the City of Fort Collins."

Nontechnical and technical words . Words and phrases shall be construed according to the common and approved usage of the language, but technical words and phrases and such others as may have acquired a peculiar and appropriate meaning in law shall be construed and understood according to such meaning.

Number . A word importing the singular number only may extend and be applied to several persons and things as well as to one (1) person and thing.

Oath . The word *oath* shall be construed to include an affirmation in all cases in which, by law, an affirmation may be substituted for an oath, and in such cases the words *swear* and *sworn* shall be equivalent to the words *affirm* and *affirmed* .

Office. *Office* shall mean an administrative, legislative or judicial position in the service of the City.

Owner . The word *owner* , applied to a building or land, shall include any part owner, joint owner, tenant in common, tenant in partnership, joint tenant or tenant by the entirety, of the whole or of a part of such building or land.

Person . The word *person* shall extend and be applied to individuals, corporations, associations, firms, joint ventures, estates, trusts, business trusts, syndicates, fiduciaries, partnerships and bodies politic and corporate and all other groups and combinations.

Real property . The words *real property* shall include lands, tenements and hereditaments.

Registered elector . *Registered elector* shall mean a qualified elector residing in the City who has registered to vote in City elections in the manner required by state law.

Service area means a major City administrative unit designated as a service area in this Code and headed by a director who, regardless of title, is directly responsible to the City Manager or his or her designee.

Sidewalk. The word *sidewalk* shall mean any portion of a street between the curblin and the adjacent property line excluding parkways which is intended for the use of pedestrians.

State . The word *State* shall mean the State of Colorado.

Street . The word *street* shall mean a public way (whether publicly or privately owned) used or intended to be used for carrying vehicular, bicycle and/or pedestrian traffic, and shall include the entire area within the public right-of-way and/or public access easement.

Tense . Words used in the present or past tense include the future as well as the present or past.

Year . The word *year* shall mean a calendar year.

(Code 1972, § 1-22; Ord. No. 222, 1998, § 1, 12-15-98; Ord. No. 130, 2002, § 1, 9-17-02; Ord. No. 24, 2005, § 1, 3-1-05; Ord. No. 091, 2007, § 1, 9-4-07; Ord. No. [011, 2018](#), § 2, 1-16-18)

Charter reference— Definitions, Art. XIII.

LAND USE CODE

1.4.9 - Rules of Construction for Text

In construing the language of this Land Use Code, the rules set forth in Section 1-2 of the City Code and this Section shall be observed unless such construction would be inconsistent with the manifest intent of the Council as expressed in this Land Use Code or in City Plan Principles and Policies. The rules of construction and definitions set forth herein shall not be applied to any express provisions excluding such construction, or where the subject matter or context of such section is repugnant thereto. In the event of a conflict between these rules of construction and the rules of construction established in Section 1-2 of the City Code, these rules shall control.

- (A) **Generally.** All provisions, terms, phrases and expressions contained in the Land Use Code shall be so construed in order that the intent and meaning of the Council may be fully carried out. Terms used in the Land Use Code, unless otherwise specifically provided, shall have the meanings prescribed by the statutes of this state for the same terms.

In the interpretation and application of any provision of the Land Use Code, such provision shall be held to be the minimum requirement adopted for the promotion of the public health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare. Where any provision of the Land Use Code imposes greater restrictions upon the subject matter than another provision of the Land Use Code, the provision imposing the greater restriction or regulation shall be deemed to be controlling. In other words, the more stringent controls over the less stringent.

The definitions are intended to be generally construed within the context of the Land Use Code, except as shall be specified by the term itself within a given context for a select section of the Land Use Code.

- (B) **Text.** In case of any difference of meaning or implication between the text of the Land Use Code and any figure or diagram, the text shall control.
- (C) **Conjunctive/Disjunctive.** Unless the context clearly indicates the contrary, the following words shall be interpreted as follows:
- (1) "And" indicates that all connected words or provisions apply.
 - (2) "Or" or "and/or" indicates that the connected words or provisions may apply singly or in any combination.
 - (3) "Either...or" indicates that the connected words or provisions apply singly but not in combination.
- (D) **Day.** The word "day" shall mean a calendar day.
- (E) **Delegation of Authority.** Whenever a provision appears requiring the Director or some other City officer or employee to do some act or perform some duty, such provision shall be construed as authorizing the Director or other officer or employee to designate, delegate and authorize professional-level subordinates to perform the required act or duty unless the terms of the provision specify otherwise. With respect to the review of development applications eligible for Type 1 review, in addition to or in substitution for delegation to subordinates as above authorized, the Director may engage the services of an attorney with experience in land use matters.
- (F) **Exhibits.** Any exhibit to this Code which is taken from another regulation of the City shall be automatically amended upon the making of any amendment to the document of origin, and the Director shall promptly replace such exhibit with the new amended exhibit.

- (G) **Include.** The word "including," "includes," "such as," "additional" or "supplemental" is illustrative and is not intended as an exhaustive listing, unless the context clearly indicates the contrary.
- (H) **Headings.** Article, division, section and subsection headings contained in the Land Use Code are for convenience only and do not govern, limit, modify or in any manner affect the scope, meaning or intent of any portion of the Land Use Code.
- (I) **Shall, May, Should.** The word "shall," "will" or "must" is mandatory; "may" is permissive, "should" is suggestive but not mandatory.
- (J) **Week.** The word "week" shall be construed to mean seven (7) calendar days.
- (K) **Written or In Writing.** The term "written" or "in writing" shall be construed to include any representation of words, letters or figures whether by printing or other form or method of writing.
- (L) **Year.** The word "year" shall mean a calendar year, unless a fiscal year is indicated or three hundred sixty-five (365) calendar days is indicated.

(Ord. No. 081, 2007 §1, 7/17/07; Ord. No. [024, 2013](#) §1, 2/26/13; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §2, 7/17/18)

ARTICLE 2 - ADMINISTRATION

DIVISION 2.1 - GENERAL PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

2.1.1 - Decision Maker and Administrative Bodies

The City Council, Planning and Zoning Board, Zoning Board of Appeals and Community Planning and Environmental Services Director (the "Director") are frequently referenced in this Land Use Code. Reference should be made to Chapter 2 of the City Code for descriptions of these and other decision makers and administrative bodies, and their powers, duties, membership qualifications and related matters.

The Director or the Planning and Zoning Board will consider, review and decide all development applications for permitted uses (overall development plans, PUD Overlays 640 acres or less, basic development review plans, project development plans and final plans) according to the provisions of this Land Use Code. For those development applications subject to basic development review, the Director (or the Director's subordinate) is the designated decision maker. For those development applications subject to administrative review (sometimes referred to as "Type 1 review"), the Director is the designated decision maker (see Section 2.2.7(A)(1)). For those development applications subject to P&Z review (sometimes referred to as "Type 2 review"), the Planning and Zoning Board is the designated decision maker (see Section 2.2.7(A)(2)). For PUD Overlays greater than 640 acres, the City Council is the designated decision maker after receiving a Planning and Zoning Board recommendation. The permitted use list for a particular zone district and the development review procedure "steps" for a particular development application identifies which review, Type 1 or Type 2, will apply. For building permit applications, the Building and Zoning Director is the decision maker (see Section 2.7.3). (See "Overview of Development Review Procedures," Section 2.1.2, below, for a further description of different levels of review.)

([Ord. No. 175, 2014 §2, 12/16/14](#); Ord. No. [063, 2018](#), §3, 6/5/18; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §3, 7/17/18)

2.1.2 - Overview of Development Review Procedures

This article establishes the development review procedures for different types of development applications and building permits within the city.

- (A) **Where is the project located?** An applicant must first locate the proposed project on the Zoning Map. Once the proposed project has been located, the applicable zone district must be identified from the Zoning Map and legend. Then, by referring to Article 4, District Standards, of this Land Use Code, the applicant will find the district standards which apply to the zone district in which the proposed project is located. The city's staff is available to assist applicants in this regard.
- (B) **What uses are proposed?** Next, an applicant must identify which uses will be included in the proposed project. If *all* of the applicant's proposed uses are listed as permitted uses in the applicable zone district for the project, then the applicant is ready to proceed with a development application for a permitted use. If *any* of the applicant's proposed uses are *not* listed as permitted uses in the applicable zone district for the project, then the applicant must either eliminate the nonpermitted uses from his or her proposal, seek the addition of a new permitted use pursuant to Section 1.3.4, seek a text amendment to this Land Use Code or a rezoning amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to Division 2.9, or seek approval of a PUD Overlay pursuant to Divisions 2.15 and 4.29. Any use not listed as a permitted use in the applicable zone district is deemed a prohibited use in that zone district, unless it has been permitted pursuant to Section 1.3.4 for a particular development application or permitted as part

of an approved PUD Overlay. Again, the city's staff will be available to assist applicants with their understanding of the zone districts and permitted uses.

- (C) **Which type of development application should be submitted?** To proceed with a development proposal for permitted uses, the applicant must determine what type of development application should be selected and submitted. All development proposals which include only permitted uses must be processed and approved through the following development applications: first through a project development plan (Division 2.4), and then through a final plan (Division 2.5). If the applicant desires to develop in two (2) or more separate project development plan submittals, an overall development plan (Division 2.3) will also be required prior to or concurrently with the project development plan. Overall development plans, PUD Overlays, project development plans and final plans are the four (4) types of development applications for permitted uses. Each successive development application for a development proposal must build upon the previously approved development application by providing additional details (through the development application submittal requirements) and by meeting additional restrictions and standards (contained in the General Development Standards of Article 3 and the District Standards of Article 4). Overall development plans and project development plans may be consolidated into one (1) application for concurrent processing and review when appropriate under the provisions of Section 2.2.3. The purpose, applicability and interrelationship of these types of development applications are discussed further in Section 2.1.3.
- (D) **Who reviews the development application?** Once an applicant has determined the type of development application to be submitted, he or she must determine the appropriate level of development review required for the development application. To make this determination, the applicant must refer to the provisions of the applicable zone district in Article 4 and the provisions pertaining to the appropriate development application. These provisions will determine whether the permitted uses and the development application are subject to basic development review, administrative review ("Type 1 review"), Planning and Zoning Board review ("Type 2 review"), or City Council review in the case of PUD Overlays greater than 640 acres. Identification of the required level of development review will, in turn, determine which decision maker, the Director in the case of administrative review ("Type 1 review"), or the Planning and Zoning Board in the case of Planning and Zoning Board review ("Type 2 review"), or the City Council for PUD Overlays greater than 640 acres, will review and make the final decision on the development application. When a development application contains both Type 1 and Type 2 uses, it will be processed as a Type 2 review.
- (E) **How will the development application be processed?** The review of overall development plans, PUD Overlays, project development plans and final plans will each generally follow the same procedural "steps" regardless of the level of review (administrative review or Planning and Zoning Board review). The common development review procedures contained in Division 2.2 establish a twelve-step process equally applicable to all overall development plans, project development plans and final plans.

The twelve (12) steps of the common development review procedures are the same for each type of development application, whether subject to basic development review, administrative review, Planning and Zoning Board review, or City Council review in the case of PUD Overlays greater than 640 acres unless an exception to the common development review procedures is expressly called for in the particular development application requirements of this Land Use Code. In other words, each overall development plan, each project development plan and each final plan will be subject to the twelve-step common procedure. The twelve (12) steps include: (1) conceptual review; (2) neighborhood meeting; (3) development application submittal; (4) determination of sufficiency; (5) staff report; (6) notice; (7) public hearing; (8) standards; (9) conditions of approval; (10) amendments; (11) lapse; and (12) appeals.

However, Step 1, conceptual review, applies only to the initial development application submittal for a development project (i.e., overall development plan or PUD Overlay when required, or project development plan when neither an overall development plan nor a PUD Overlay is

required). Subsequent development applications for the same development project are not subject to Step 1, conceptual review.

Moreover, Step 2, neighborhood meeting, applies only to certain development applications subject to Planning and Zoning Board and City Council review. Step 2, neighborhood meeting, does not apply to development applications subject to basic development review or administrative review. Step 3, application submittal requirements, applies to all development applications. Applicants shall submit items and documents in accordance with a master list of submittal requirements as established by the City Manager. Overall development plans must comply with only certain identified items on the master list, while PUD Overlays, project development plans, and final plans must include different items from the master list. This master list is intended to assure consistency among submittals by using a "building block" approach, with each successive development application building upon the previous one for that project. City staff is available to discuss the common procedures with the applicant.

- (F) ***What if the development proposal doesn't fit into one of the types of development applications discussed above?*** In addition to the four (4) development applications for permitted uses, the applicant may seek approval for other types of development applications, including development applications for a modification of standards (Division 2.8), an amendment to the text of the Land Use Code and/or the Zoning Map (Division 2.9), a hardship variance (Division 2.10), an appeal of an administrative decision (Division 2.11) or other requests. These other types of development applications will be reviewed according to applicable steps in the common development review procedures.
- (G) ***Is a building permit required?*** The next step after approval of a final plan is to apply for a Building Permit. Most construction requires a Building Permit. This is a distinct and separate process from a development application. The twelve (12) steps of the common development review procedures must be followed for the Building Permit process. Procedures and requirements for submitting a Building Permit application are described in Division 2.7.
- (H) ***Is it permissible to talk with decision makers "off the record" about a development plan prior to the decision makers' formal review of the application?*** No. Development plans must be reviewed and approved in accordance with the provisions of this Land Use Code and the City's decision whether to approve or deny an application must be based on the criteria established herein and on the information provided at the hearings held on the application. In order to afford all persons who may be affected by the review and approval of a development plan an opportunity to respond to the information upon which decisions regarding the plan will be made, and in order to preserve the impartiality of the decision makers, decision makers who intend to participate in the decisions should avoid communications with the applicant or other members of the public about the plan prior to the hearings in which they intend to participate.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §§4-6, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 073, 2008 §2, 7/1/08; Ord. No. 120, 2011 §2, 9/20/2011; Ord. No. [059, 2017](#), § 5, 5/2/17; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §4, 7/17/18)

2.1.3 - Types of Development Applications

- (A) ***Applicability.*** All development proposals which include only permitted uses must be processed and approved through the following development applications: a basic development review; or through a project development plan (Division 2.4), then through a final plan (Division 2.5), then through a development construction permit (Division 2.6) and then through a building permit review (Division 2.7). If the applicant desires to develop in two (2) or more separate project development plan submittals, an overall development plan (Division 2.3) will also be required prior to or concurrently with the project development plan. A PUD Master Plan associated with a PUD Overlay may be substituted for an overall development plan (Divisions 2.15 and 4.29). Each successive development application for a development proposal must build upon the previously approved development

application by providing additional details (through the development application submittal requirements) and by meeting additional restrictions and standards (contained in the General Development Standards of Article 3 and the District Standards of Article 4).

Permitted uses subject to administrative review or permitted uses subject to Planning and Zoning Board review listed in the applicable zone district set forth in Article 4, District Standards, shall be processed through an overall development plan, a project development plan or a final plan. If any use not listed as a permitted use in the applicable zone district is included in a development application, it may also be processed as an overall development plan, project development plan or final plan, if such proposed use has been approved, or is concurrently submitted for approval, in accordance with the requirements for an amendment to the text of this Land Use Code and/or the Zoning Map, Division 2.9, or in accordance with the requirements for the addition of a permitted use under Section 1.3.4. Development applications for permitted uses which seek to modify any standards contained in the General Development Standards in Article 3, or the District Standards in Article 4, shall be submitted by the applicant and processed as a modification of standards under Division 2.8. Hardship variances to standards contained in Article 3, General Development Standards, or Article 4, District Standards, shall be processed as hardship variances by the Zoning Board of Appeals pursuant to Division 2.10. Appeals of administrative/staff decisions shall be according to Division 2.11. PUD overlays shall be processed pursuant to Divisions 2.15, 4.29.

(B) Overall Development Plan .

- (1) *Purpose and Effect* . The purpose of the overall development plan is to establish general planning and development control parameters for projects that will be developed in phases with multiple submittals while allowing sufficient flexibility to permit detailed planning in subsequent submittals. Approval of an overall development plan does not establish any vested right to develop property in accordance with the plan.
- (2) *Applicability* . An overall development plan shall be required for any property which is intended to be developed over time in two (2) or more separate project development plan submittals. Refer to Division 2.3 for specific requirements for overall development plans.

(C) Project Development Plan and Plat .

- (1) *Purpose and Effect* . The project development plan shall contain a general description of the uses of land, the layout of landscaping, circulation, architectural elevations and buildings, and it shall include the project development plan and plat (when such plat is required pursuant to Section 3.3.1 of this Code). Approval of a project development plan does not establish any vested right to develop property in accordance with the plan.
- (2) *Applicability* . Upon completion of the conceptual review meeting and after the Director has made written comments and after a neighborhood meeting has been held (if necessary), an application for project development plan review may be filed with the Director. If the project is to be developed over time in two (2) or more separate project development plan submittals, an overall development plan shall also be required. Refer to Division 2.4 for specific requirements for project development plans.

(D) Final Plan and Plat .

- (1) *Purpose and Effect* . The final plan is the site specific development plan which describes and establishes the type and intensity of use for a specific parcel or parcels of property. The final plan shall include the final subdivision plat (when such plat is required pursuant to Section 3.3.1 of this Code), and if required by this Code or otherwise determined by the Director to be relevant or necessary, the plan shall also include the development agreement and utility plan and shall require detailed engineering and design review and approval. Building permits may be issued by the Building and Zoning Director only pursuant to an approved final plan or other site specific development plan, subject to the provisions of Division 2.8.
- (2) *Applicability* . Application for a final plan may be made only after approval by the appropriate decision maker (Director for Type 1 review, or Planning and Zoning Board for Type 2 review) of

a project development plan, unless the project development and final plans have been consolidated pursuant to Section 2.2.3(B). An approved final plan shall be required for any property which is intended to be developed. No development shall be allowed to develop or otherwise be approved or permitted without an approved final plan. Refer to Division 2.5 for specific requirements for final plans.

(E) **Site Plan Advisory Review .**

- (1) *Purpose and Effect* . The Site Plan Advisory Review process requires the submittal and approval of a site development plan that describes the location, character and extent of improvements to parcels owned or operated by public entities. In addition, with respect to public and charter schools, the review also has as its purpose, as far as is feasible, that the proposed school facility conforms to the City's Comprehensive Plan.
- (2) *Applicability* . A Site Plan Advisory Review shall be applied to any public building or structure. For a public or charter school, the Planning and Zoning Board shall review a complete Site Plan Advisory Review application within thirty (30) days (or such later time as may be agreed to in writing by the applicant) of receipt of such application under Section 22-32-124, C.R.S. For Site Plan Advisory Review applications under Section 31-23-209, C.R.S., such applications shall be reviewed and approved or disapproved by the Planning and Zoning Board within sixty (60) days following receipt of a complete application.

Enlargements or expansions of public buildings, structures, schools and charter schools are exempt from the Site Plan Advisory review process if:

- (a) The change results in a size increase of less than twenty-five (25) percent of the existing building, structure or facility being enlarged, whether it be a principal or accessory use; and
- (b) The enlargement or expansion does not change the character of the building or facility.

Application for a Site Plan Advisory Review is subject to review by the Planning and Zoning Board under the requirements contained in Division 2.16 of this Code.

(F) **PUD Overlay .**

- (1) *Purpose and Effect*. The purpose of the PUD Overlay is to provide an avenue for property owners with larger and more complex development projects to achieve flexibility in site design by means of customized uses, densities, and Land Use Code and non-Land Use Code development standards. In return for such flexibility, significant public benefits not available through traditional development procedures must be provided by the development. A PUD Master Plan is the written document associated with a PUD Overlay and the PUD Master Plan sets forth the general development plan and the customized uses, densities, and Land Use Code and non-Land Use Code development standards. An approved PUD Overlay overlays the PUD Master Plan entitlements and restrictions upon the underlying zone district requirements.
- (2) *Applicability*. A PUD Overlay is available to properties or collections of contiguous properties fifty (50) acres or greater in size. Refer to Divisions 2.15 and 4.29 for specific requirements and review of PUD Overlays and PUD Master Plans.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §4, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §§7, 8, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §§1,2, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 198, 2004 §1, 12/21/04; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) , §2, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 175, 2014 §3, 12/16/14](#) ; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#) , §2, 7/17/18)

2.1.4 - Effect of Development Application Approval

- (A) **Limitation on other development** . In the event that a property has obtained development approval of a site specific development plan, such property may not thereafter be developed in any other fashion, except in accordance with Division 1.5, Nonconforming Uses and Structures or 1.6, Existing Limited Permitted Uses; or upon the occurrence of one (1) of the following events:

- (1) The right to develop the property in accordance with the approved plan has expired pursuant to Division 2.2, in which event the property may be developed according to such other development application as may be subsequently approved by the appropriate decision maker (the Director for Type 1 review and the Planning and Zoning Board for Type 2 review);
 - (2) The owner of the property has obtained the approval, pursuant to subsections 2.2.10(B) and (C), of the appropriate decision maker to abandon the right to develop the property (or any portion thereof) in accordance with the approved development plan, in which event the right to develop other than as the previously approved development plan shall apply only to the portion of the property which is no longer subject to the development plan;
 - (3) The owner of the property has obtained permission from the appropriate decision maker to amend the approved development plan in accordance with Division 2.2, in which event the property shall be developed according to the amended plan;
 - (4) The owner of the property has obtained the approval of the appropriate decision maker to redevelop the property (or any portion thereof) in some manner other than in accordance with the approved development plan because of the destruction of improvements constructed pursuant to the approved development plan by reason of fire, flood, tornado or other catastrophe, in which event the property shall be developed according to the plan for redevelopment approved by the appropriate decision maker.
- (B) **Process.** Any property owner seeking to obtain the approval of the appropriate decision maker pursuant to this Section shall submit an application complying with the requirements and procedures set forth in Section 2.2.10 pertaining to amendments and abandonment.
- (C) **Criteria** . In considering whether to approve any application for abandonment pursuant to this Section, the appropriate decision maker shall be governed by the following criteria:
- (1) The application shall not be approved if, in so approving, any portion of the property remains developed or to be developed in accordance with the previously approved development plan and, because of the abandonment, such remaining parcel of property would no longer qualify for development approval pursuant to either the standards and requirements of the most current version of this Code or, if such remaining parcel of property was not reviewed and approved under this Code, then the standards and requirements of the Transitional Land Use Regulations dated August 1997, on file in the office of the City Clerk shall apply.
 - (2) The application shall not be approved if, in so approving, the city's rights of ownership of, or practical ability to utilize, any previously dedicated street, easement, right-of-way or other public area or public property would be denied or diminished to the detriment of the public good.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 183, 2000 §2, 12/19/00; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §2, 6/19/01; Ord. No. [051, 2012](#) §3, 7/17/2012)

2.1.5 - Dedications and Vacations

- (A) **By the Planning and Zoning Board.** As part of its review and approval of a specific planning item, the Planning and Zoning Board may accept the dedication of streets, easements and other rights-of-way shown on plats and deeds for such item. The Board may also vacate easements and rights-of-way, other than streets and alleys, if they pertain to a planning item subject to review by the Board. Such acceptance and/or vacation may be accomplished either by resolution or by notation on the plat for the item.
- (B) **By the Director.** The Director may also accept the dedication of streets, easements and other rights-of-way shown on the plats and deeds associated with a specific planning item. Such authority of the Director shall extend to planning items that are subject to review and approval by the Board, as well as those that are subject to administrative review and approval, and shall apply to both on-site and off-site streets, easements and rights-of-way. The Director may also vacate easements and rights-of-way, other than streets and alleys, whether they pertain to a planning item subject to review

by the Board or administrative review. Such acceptance and/or vacation may be accomplished either by resolution or by notation on the plat for the item.

(Ord. No. 59, 2000 §3, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 091, 2004 §2, 6/15/04; Ord. No. 081, 2007 §2, 7/17/07)

2.1.6 - Optional Pre-Application Review

(A) ***Optional City Council Pre-Application Review of Complex Development Proposals:***

A potential applicant for development other than a PUD Overlay may request that the City Council conduct a hearing for the purpose of receiving preliminary comments from the City Council regarding the overall proposal in order to assist the proposed applicant in determining whether to file a development application or annexation petition. Only one (1) pre-application hearing pursuant to this Subsection (A) may be requested. The following criteria must be satisfied for such a hearing to be held:

- (a) The proposed development cannot have begun any step of the Common Development Review Procedures for Development Applications set forth in Article 2, Division 2.2.
- (b) The proposed application for approval of a development plan must require City Council approval of an annexation petition, an amendment to the City's Comprehensive Plan, or some other kind of formal action by the City Council, other than a possible appeal under this Land Use Code
- (c) The City Manager must determine in writing that the proposed development will have a community-wide impact.

(B) ***Optional Pre-Application PUD Overlay Proposal Review:***

This optional review is available to potential PUD applicants that have not begun any step of the Common Development Review Procedures for Development Applications set forth in Article 2, Division 2.2. Such review is intended to provide an opportunity for applicants to present conceptual information to the Planning and Zoning Board for PUD Overlays between 50 and 640 acres in size, or to City Council for PUD Overlays greater than 640 acres in size, regarding the proposed development including how site constraints will be addressed and issues of controversy or opportunities related to the development. Applicants participating in such review procedure should present specific plans showing how, if at all, they intend to address any issues raised during the initial comments received from staff and affected property owners. In order for a pre-application hearing to be held, the Director must determine in writing that the proposed PUD will have a community-wide impact. Only one (1) pre-application hearing pursuant to this Subsection (B) may be requested.

(C) ***Notice and Hearing Procedure .***

All preapplication hearings under above Subsections (A) or (B) this provision will be held in accordance with the provisions contained in Steps (6), (7)(B) and (7)(C) of the Common Development Review Procedures, except that the signs required to be posted under Step (6)(B) shall be posted subsequent to the scheduling of the session and not less than fourteen (14) days prior to the date of the hearing. At the time of requesting the hearing, the applicant must advance the City's estimated costs of providing notice of the hearing. Any amounts paid that exceed actual costs will be refunded to the applicant.

(D) ***Input Non-Binding, Record.***

The Planning and Zoning Board or City Council as applicable pursuant to above Subsections (A) or (B) may, but shall not be required to, comment on the proposal. Any comment, suggestion, or recommendation made by any Planning and Zoning Board or City Council member with regard to the proposal does not bind or otherwise obligate any City decision maker to any course of conduct or decision pertaining to the proposal. All information related to an

optional review shall be considered part of the record of any subsequent development review related to all or part of the property that was the subject of the optional review.

(Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §6, 7/17/18)

DIVISION 2.2 - COMMON DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

Sections:

2.2.1 - Step 1: Conceptual Review/Preliminary Design Review

(A) **Conceptual Review:**

- (1) *Purpose* . Conceptual review is an opportunity for an applicant to discuss requirements, standards and procedures that apply to his or her development proposal. Major problems can be identified and solved during conceptual review before a formal application is made.

Representatives of the Department, Poudre Fire Authority, Police Services, Water & Wastewater Utilities, Electric Utility, Stormwater Utility, and other departments as appropriate, and special districts where applicable.

- (2) *Applicability* . A conceptual review is mandatory for all overall development plans and for project development plans not subject to an overall development plan. Conceptual review must occur at least one (1) day prior to submittal of any application for an overall development plan or project development plan which is not subject to an overall development plan. The conceptual review may be waived by the Director for those development proposals that, in his or her opinion, would not derive substantial benefit from such review.
- (3) *Concept Plan Submittal* . The applicant shall bring a sketch showing the location of the proposed project, major streets and other significant features in the vicinity to the Conceptual Review meeting.
- (4) *Staff Review and Recommendation* . Upon receipt of a concept plan, and after review of such plan with the applicant, the Director shall furnish the applicant with written comments regarding such plan, including appropriate recommendations to inform and assist the applicant prior to preparing the components of the development application.

(B) **Preliminary Design Review:**

- (1) *Purpose* . Preliminary design review is an opportunity for an applicant to discuss requirements, standards, procedures, potential modifications of standards or variances that may be necessary for a project and to generally consider in greater detail the development proposal design which has been evaluated as a part of the conceptual review process. While the conceptual review process is a general consideration of the development proposal, preliminary design review is a consideration of the development proposal in greater detail. Problems of both a major and minor nature can be identified and solved during the preliminary design review before a formal application is made.

Representatives of the Department, Poudre Fire Authority, Police Services, Water and Wastewater Utilities, Electric Utility, Stormwater Utility, and other departments as appropriate, and special districts where applicable. Additionally, other public or quasi-public agencies which may be impacted by the development project are invited and encouraged to attend the preliminary design review. These agencies may include the gas utility, water and/or wastewater utility districts, ditch companies, railroads, cable television service providers and other similar agencies.

- (2) *Applicability* . Although a preliminary design review is not mandatory, it may be requested by the applicant for any development proposal. A request for preliminary design review may be made in an informal manner, either in writing or orally, but must be accompanied by the

payment of the application fee as established in the development review fee schedule. Preliminary design review, if requested by the applicant, must occur at least seven (7) days prior to the submittal of any application for overall development plan or project development plan which is not subject to an overall development plan.

- (3) *Preliminary Plan Submittal* . In conjunction with a preliminary design review, the applicant shall submit all documents required for such review as established in the development application submittal requirements master list.
- (4) *Staff Review and Recommendation*. Upon receipt of a preliminary development proposal for review, and after review of such proposal with the applicant, the Director shall furnish the applicant with written comments and recommendations regarding such proposal in order to inform and assist the applicant prior to preparing components of the development application. In conjunction with the foregoing, the Director shall provide the applicant with a "critical issues list" which will identify those critical issues which have surfaced in the preliminary design review as issues which must be resolved during the review process of the formal development application. The critical issues list will provide to applicants the opinion of the Director regarding the development proposal, as that opinion is established based upon the facts presented during conceptual review and preliminary design review. To the extent that there is a misunderstanding or a misrepresentation of facts, the opinion of the Director may change during the course of development review. The positions of the Director that are taken as a part of the critical issues list may be relied upon by applicants, but only insofar as those positions are based upon clear and precise facts presented in writing, either graphically or textually on plans or other submittals, to the Director during the course of preliminary design review.

(Ord. No. 161, 2005 §1, 12/20/05; Ord. No. 005, 2007 §1, 2/6/07; Ord. No. [063, 2018](#), §4, 6/5/18))

2.2.2 - Step 2: Neighborhood Meetings

- (A) **Purpose**. In order to facilitate citizen participation early in the development review process, the City shall require a neighborhood meeting between citizens of area neighborhoods, applicants and the Director for any development proposal that is subject to P&Z review unless the Director determines that the development proposal would not have significant neighborhood impact. Citizens are urged to attend and actively participate in these meetings. The purpose of the neighborhood meeting is for such development applications to be presented to citizens of area neighborhoods and for the citizens to identify, list and discuss issues related to the development proposal. Working jointly with staff and the applicant, citizens help seek solutions for these issues. Neighborhood meetings are held during the conceptual planning stage of the proposal so that neighborhoods may give input on the proposal before time and effort have been expended by the applicant to submit a formal development application to the City. At least ten (10) calendar days shall have passed between the date of the neighborhood meeting and the submittal to the City of the application for development approval for the project that was the subject of the neighborhood meeting.
- (B) **Applicability** . A neighborhood meeting shall be required on any development proposal that is subject to Planning and Zoning Board review unless the Director determines as a part of the staff review and recommendation required pursuant to Section 2.2.1(A)(4) that the development proposal would not have significant neighborhood impacts.
- (C) **Notice of Neighborhood Meeting** . Notice of the neighborhood meeting shall be given in accordance with Section 2.2.6(A), (B) and (D).
- (D) **Attendance at Neighborhood Meeting**. If a neighborhood meeting is required, the meeting shall be held prior to submittal of a development application to the Director for approval of an overall development plan and/or project development plan. The applicant or applicant's representative shall attend the neighborhood meeting. The Director shall be responsible for scheduling and coordinating the neighborhood meeting and shall hold the meeting in the vicinity of the proposed development.

- (E) **Summary of Neighborhood Meeting** . A written summary of the neighborhood meeting shall be prepared by the Director. The written summary shall be included in the staff report provided to the decision maker at the time of the public hearing to consider the proposed development.

(Ord. No. 091, 2004 §3, 6/15/04; Ord. No. 161, 2005 §2, 12/20/05; Ord. No. 120, 2011 §3, 9/20/2011)

2.2.3 - Step 3: Development Application Submittal

- (A) **Development Application Forms.** All development applications shall be in a form established by the Director and made available to the public.
- (B) **Consolidated Development Applications and Review.** Development applications combining an overall development plan and a project development plan for permitted uses for the same development proposal may be consolidated for submittal and review, in the discretion of the Director, depending upon the complexity of the proposal. For these consolidated applications, the applicant shall follow the project development plan development review procedures. Such consolidated applications shall be reviewed, considered and decided by the highest level decision maker that would have decided the development proposal under Section 2.2.7 had it been submitted, processed and considered as separate development applications. Decision makers, from highest level to lowest level, are the Planning and Zoning Board and the Director, respectively.
- (C) **Development Application Contents.**
- (1) **Development Application Submittal Requirements Master List.** A master list of development application submittal requirements shall be established by the City Manager. The master list shall, at a minimum, include a list of all information, data, explanations, analysis, testing, reports, tables, graphics, maps, documents, forms or other items reasonably necessary, desirable or convenient to (1) determine whether or not the applicant, developer and/or owner have the requisite power, authority, clear title, good standing, qualifications and ability to submit and carry out the development and/or activities requested in the development application; and (2) determine whether or not the development activities and development application address and satisfy each and every applicable general development standard, district standard or other requirement or provisions of this Land Use Code.
 - (2) **Submittal Requirement.** Each development application shall be submitted to the Director and shall include the items on the master list that are identified as submittal requirements for that development application. The Director may waive items on the master list that are not applicable due to the particular conditions and circumstances of that development proposal.
 - (3) **Execution of Plats/Deeds; Signature Requirements.** All final plats and/or deeds (for conveyances of real property either off the site described on the plat or at a time or in a manner separate from the plat), submitted to the City shall:
 - (a) be signed by all current owners of any recorded fee interest in the surface of the land described on the plat (or in the deed), whether full or defeasible and whether solely or partially owned.
 - (b) be signed by all current owners of any equitable interest arising out of a contract to purchase any fee interest in the surface of the land described on the plat (or in the deed), whether full or defeasible and whether solely or partially owned.
 - (c) be signed by all current record owners of any non-freehold interest arising from any recorded lease of the surface of the land described on the plat (or in the deed) if such lease has a remaining term of six (6) years following approval of the final development plan by the decision maker or if such lease contains any right of extension which, if exercised by the tenant, would create a remaining term of six (6) years following approval of the final development plan by the decision maker.

- (d) be signed by all current owners of any recorded mortgage, deed of trust or other lien, financial encumbrance upon or security interest in the lands described on the plat (or deed) which, if foreclosed would take, injure, diminish or weaken the city's interest in any land, easement or right-of-way which is dedicated to the city or to the public on the plat (or in the deed).
- (e) be signed by all current owners of any easement or right-of-way in the lands described on the plat (or in the deed) whether on, above or below the surface, which includes rights which will take, injure, diminish or weaken the city's interest in any land, easement or right-of-way which is dedicated to the city or to the public on the plat (or in the deed).
- (f) be signed by an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Colorado certifying to the city that all signatures as required pursuant to subparagraphs (a) through (e) above have lawfully and with full authority been placed upon the plat (or in the deed). Said certification may be limited by the attorney so certifying to only those ownership interests that are of record or, if not of record, are either actually known to the certifying attorney to exist, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have been known to the certifying attorney to exist. For purposes of such certification, the terms "record," "recorded" and "of record" shall mean as shown by documents recorded in the real estate records in the Clerk and Recorder's Office of Larimer County, Colorado prior to the date of certification.
- (g) contain a maintenance guarantee, a repair guarantee and a certificate of dedication signed by the developer and the owner (as described in subparagraph (a) above), which provide a two-year maintenance guarantee and five-year repair guarantee covering all errors or omissions in the design and/or construction. The specific provisions of the maintenance guarantee, repair guarantee and certificate of dedication shall be established by the City Engineer.
- (h) contain the legal notarization of all signatures as required pursuant to subparagraphs (a) through (e) above to be placed upon the plat (or deed).

The Director may waive or modify the requirements of subparagraphs (b) through (e), and the requirements of subparagraph (g) above upon a clear and convincing showing by the applicant that such waiver or modification will not result in any detriment to the public good, including without limitation, detriment to the interest of the public in the real property conveyed to it on the plat (or in the deed); and will not result in any harm to the health, safety or general welfare of the City and its citizens.

(D) **Development Review Fees .**

- (1) *Recovery of Costs* . Development review fees are hereby established for the purpose of recovering the costs incurred by the City in processing, reviewing and recording applications pertaining to development applications or activity within the municipal boundaries of the City, and issuing permits related thereto. The development review fees imposed pursuant to this Section shall be paid at the time of submittal of any development application, or at the time of issuance of the permit, as determined by the City Manager and established in the development review fee schedule.
- (2) *Development Review Fee Schedule* . The amount of the City's various development review fees shall be established by the City Manager, and shall be based on the actual expenses incurred by or on behalf of the City. The schedule of fees shall be reviewed annually and shall be adjusted, if necessary, by the City Manager on the basis of actual expenses incurred by the City to reflect the effects of inflation and other changes in costs. At the discretion of the City Manager, the schedule may be referred to the City Council for adoption by resolution or ordinance.
- (3) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the City Council may, by ordinance, waive the imposition of any fee imposed by the provisions of this Chapter for an affordable housing project if the City Council, in its sole discretion, determines that:

- (a) the affordable housing project is intended to house homeless or disabled persons, as such terms are defined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, or households with an annual income that does not exceed thirty (30) percent of the area median income for the applicable household size in the Fort Collins-Loveland metropolitan statistical area, as published by the Department of Housing and Urban Development; and
- (b) the proposed waiver, if approved by the City Council, will not jeopardize the financial interests of the City.

Any waiver of fees hereunder must be applied for in accordance with City application requirements prior to the City's issuance of any certificates of occupancy for the project that is the subject of the waiver request.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 178, 1998 §3, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 19, 1999 §4, 2/16/99; Ord. No. 99, 1999 §1, 6/15/99; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §9, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §3, 12/18/01; Ord. No. [037, 2013](#) §8, 3/19/13; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §3, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 175, 2014 §4, 12/16/14](#); Ord. No. [148, 2017](#), §6, 11/21/17)

2.2.4 - Step 4: Review Of Applications

- (A) **Determination of Sufficiency** . After receipt of the development application, the Director shall determine whether the application is complete and ready for review. The determination of sufficiency shall not be based upon the perceived merits of the development proposal.
- (B) **Processing of Incomplete Applications** . Except as provided below, if a submittal is found to be insufficient, all review of the submittal will be held in abeyance until the Director receives the necessary material to determine that the submittal is sufficient. The development application shall not be reviewed on its merits by the decision maker until it is determined sufficient by the Director. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if an application has been determined to be incomplete because the information provided to the Director shows that a portion of the property to be developed under the application is not yet under the ownership and control of the applicant or developer, the Director may nonetheless authorize the review of such application and the presentation of the same to the decision maker, as long as:
 - (1) the applicant, at the time of application, has ownership of, or the legal right to use and control, the majority of the property to be developed under the application;
 - (2) the Director determines that it would not be detrimental to the public interest to accept the application for review and consideration by the decision maker; and
 - (3) the applicant and developer enter into an agreement satisfactory in form and substance to the City Manager, upon consultation with the City Attorney, which provides that:
 - (a) until such time as the applicant has acquired full ownership and control of all property to be developed under the application, neither the applicant nor the developer will record, or cause to be recorded, in the office of the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder any document related to the City's review and approval of the application; and
 - (b) the applicant will indemnify and hold harmless the City and its officers, agents and assigns from any and all claims that may be asserted against them by any third party, claiming injury or loss of any kind whatsoever that are in any way related to, or arise from, the City's processing of the application.

The denial of an incomplete application that has been allowed to proceed to the decision maker under the provisions of this Section shall not cause a post denial re-submittal delay under the provisions of Paragraph 2.2.11(D)(9) for property that was not owned by the applicant or within the applicant's legal right to use and control at the time of denial of the application.

(Ord. No. [149, 2012](#) §1, 12/18/12)

2.2.5 - Step 5: Staff Report

Within a reasonable time after determining that a development application is sufficient, the Director shall refer the development application to the appropriate review agencies, review the development application, and prepare a Staff Report. The Staff Report shall be made available for inspection and copying by the applicant and the public prior to the scheduled public hearing on the development application. The Staff Report shall indicate whether, in the opinion of the Staff, the development application complies with all applicable standards of this Code. Conditions for approval may also be recommended to eliminate any areas of noncompliance or mitigate any adverse effects of the development proposal.

2.2.6 - Step 6: Notice

- (A) **Mailed Notice** . The Director shall mail written notice to the owners of record of all real property within eight hundred (800) feet (exclusive of public rights-of-way, public facilities, parks or public open space) of the property lines of the parcel of land for which the development is planned. Owners of record shall be ascertained according to the records of the Larimer County Assessor's Office, unless more current information is made available in writing to the Director prior to the mailing of the notices. If the development project is of a type described in the Supplemental Notice Requirements of subsection 2.2.6(D), then the area of notification shall conform to the expanded notice requirements of that Section. In addition, the Director may further expand the notification area. Formally designated representatives of bona fide neighborhood groups and organizations and homeowners' associations within the area of notification shall also receive written notice. Such written notices shall be mailed at least fourteen (14) days prior to the public hearing/meeting date. The Director shall provide the applicant with a map delineating the required area of notification, which area may be extended by the Director to the nearest streets or other distinctive physical features which would create a practical and rational boundary for the area of notification. The applicant shall pay postage and handling costs as established in the development review schedule.
- (B) **Posted Notice** . The real property proposed to be developed shall also be posted with a sign, giving notice to the general public of the proposed development. For parcels of land exceeding ten (10) acres in size, two (2) signs shall be posted. The size of the sign(s) required to be posted shall be as established in the Supplemental Notice Requirements of subsection 2.2.6(D). Such signs shall be provided by the Director and shall be posted on the subject property in a manner and at a location or locations reasonably calculated by the Director to afford the best notice to the public, which posting shall occur within fourteen (14) days following submittal of a development application to the Director.
- (C) **Published Notice** . Notice of the time, date and place of the public hearing/ meeting on the development application and the subject matter of the hearing shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation within the City at least seven (7) days prior to such hearing/meeting.
- (D) **Supplemental Notice Requirements** .

	Minimum Notice Radius	Sign Size
All developments except as described below.	800 feet	12 square feet

Developments proposing more than fifty (50) and less than one hundred (100) single-family or two-family lots or dwelling units.	800 feet	12 square feet
Developments proposing more than twenty-five (25) and less than one hundred (100) multi-family dwelling units.	800 feet	12 square feet
Nonresidential developments containing more than twenty-five thousand (25,000) and less than fifty thousand (50,000) square feet of floor area.	800 feet	12 square feet
Developments proposing one hundred (100) or more single-family or two-family lots or dwelling units.	1,000 feet	12 square feet
Developments proposing one hundred (100) or more multi-family dwelling units.	1,000 feet	12 square feet
Nonresidential developments containing fifty thousand (50,000) or more square feet of floor area.	1,000 feet	12 square feet
Nonresidential developments which propose land uses or activities which, in the judgment of the Director, create community or regional impacts.	1,000 feet; plus, with respect to neighborhood meetings, publication of a notice not less than seven (7) days prior to the meeting in a newspaper of general circulation in the City.	12 square feet
Off-site construction staging	500 feet	12 square feet
Zonings and rezonings of forty (40) acres or less.	800 feet	12 square feet
Zonings and rezonings of more than forty	1,000 feet	12 square

(40) acres.		feet
-------------	--	------

- (E) The following shall not affect the validity of any hearing, meeting or determination by the decision maker:
- (1) The fact that written notice was not mailed as required under the provision of this Section.
 - (2) The fact that written notice, mailed as required under the provision of this Section, was not actually received by one (1) or more of the intended recipients.
 - (3) The fact that signage, posted in compliance with the provision of this Section, was subsequently damaged, stolen or removed either by natural causes or by persons other than the person responsible for posting such signage or his or her agents.

(Ord. No. 204, 2001 §§4, 5, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 104, 2006 §§1, 2, 7/18/06; Ord. No. 068, 2010 §2, 7/6/10; Ord. No. [051, 2012](#) §4, 7/17/12; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §§4, 5, 7/1/14; Ord. No. [129, 2017](#) , § 2, 10/3/17)

2.2.7 - Step 7: Public Hearing

(A) **Decision maker .**

- (1) *Administrative Review (Type 1 review)*. An administrative review process is hereby established wherein certain development applications shall be processed, reviewed, considered and approved, approved with conditions, or denied by the Director pursuant to the general procedural requirements contained in Division 2.1, and the common development review procedures contained in Division 2.2. For those development applications that are subject to administrative review, the Director shall be the designated decision maker.
- (2) *Planning and Zoning Board Review (Type 2 review)*. A Planning and Zoning Board review process is hereby established wherein certain development applications shall be processed, reviewed, considered and approved, approved with conditions, or denied by the Planning and Zoning Board pursuant to the general procedural requirements contained in Division 2.1, and the common development review procedures contained in Division 2.2. For those development applications that are subject to Planning and Zoning Board review, the Planning and Zoning Board shall be the designated decision maker.

(B) **Conduct of Public Hearing .**

- (1) *Rights of All Persons*. Any person may appear at a public hearing and submit evidence, either individually or as a representative of a person or an organization. Each person who appears at a public hearing shall state his or her name, address and, if appearing on behalf of a person or organization, the name and mailing address of the person or organization being represented.
- (2) *Exclusion of Testimony*. The decision maker conducting the public hearing may exclude testimony or evidence that it finds to be irrelevant, immaterial or unduly repetitious.
- (3) *Continuance of Public Hearing*. The decision maker conducting the public hearing may, on its own motion or at the request of any person, continue the public hearing to a fixed date, time and place. All continuances shall be granted at the discretion of the body conducting the public hearing.

(C) **Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing**. The order of the proceedings at the public hearing shall be as follows:

- (1) *Director Overview* . The Director shall provide an overview of the development application.
- (2) *Applicant Presentation*. The applicant may present information in support of its application, subject to the determination of the Chair as to relevance. Copies of all writings or other exhibits that the applicant wishes the decision maker to consider must be submitted to the Director no less than five (5) working days before the public hearing.
- (3) *Staff Report Presented*. The Director shall present a narrative and/or graphic description of the development application, as well as a staff report that includes a written recommendation. This recommendation shall address each standard required to be considered by this Code prior to approval of the development application.
- (4) *Staff Response to Applicant Presentation*. The Director, the City Attorney and any other City staff member may respond to any statement made or evidence presented by the applicant.
- (5) *Public Testimony*. Members of the public may comment on the application and present evidence, subject to the determination of the Chair as to relevance.
- (6) *Applicant Response*. The applicant may respond to any testimony or evidence presented by the public.
- (7) *Staff Response to Public Testimony or Applicant Response* . The Director, the City Attorney and any other City staff member may respond to any statement made or evidence presented by the public testimony or by the applicant's response to any such public testimony.

(D) **Decision and Findings** .

- (1) *Decision — Administrative Review (Type 1 review)*. After consideration of the development application, the Staff Report and the evidence from the public hearing, the Director shall close the public hearing. Within ten (10) working days following the public hearing, the Director shall issue a written decision to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the development application based on its compliance with the Standards referenced in Step 8 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Section 2.2.8). The written decision shall be mailed to the applicant and any person who provided testimony at the public hearing.
- (2) *Decision — Planning and Zoning Board Review (Type 2 review)*. After consideration of the development application, the Staff Report and the evidence from the public hearing, the Chair of the Planning and Zoning Board shall close the public hearing and the Board shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the development application based on its compliance with the Standards referenced in Step 8 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Section 2.2.8).
- (3) *Findings*. All decisions shall include at least the following elements:
 - (a) A clear statement of approval, approval with conditions, or denial, whichever is appropriate.
 - (b) A clear statement of the basis upon which the decision was made, including specific findings of fact with specific reference to the relevant standards set forth in this Code.

(E) **Notification to Applicant**.

Notification of the decision maker's decision shall be provided by the Director to the applicant by mail within three (3) days after the decision. A copy of the decision shall also be made available to the public at the offices of the Director, during normal business hours, within three (3) days after the decision.

(F) **Record of Proceedings**.

- (1) *Recording of Public Hearing*. The decision maker conducting the public hearing shall record the public hearing by any appropriate means. A copy of the public hearing record may be acquired by any person upon application to the Director, and payment of a fee to cover the cost of duplication of the record.

- (2) *The Record*. The record shall consist of the following:
 - (a) all exhibits, including, without limitation, all writings, drawings, maps, charts, graphs, photographs and other tangible items received or viewed by the decision maker at the proceedings;
 - (b) all minutes of the proceedings;
 - (c) if appealed to the City Council, a verbatim transcript of the proceedings before the decision maker. The cost of the transcript shall be borne by the City.
 - (d) if available, a videotape recording of the proceedings before the decision maker.

(G) **Recording of Decisions and Plats.**

- (1) *Filing with City Clerk* . Once approved, and after the appeal period has expired (if applicable), the decision of the decision maker shall be filed with the City Clerk.
- (2) *Final Plats and Development Agreements Recorded with County Clerk and Recorder* . Once the final utility plans, final plat and all other applicable Final Development Plan Documents are approved and the development agreement has been executed, the final plan has been approved, and any applicable conditions of final plan approval have been met, and after the appeal period has expired, the final plat and Development Agreement shall be recorded by the City in the Office of the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder and shall be filed with the City Clerk. The date that the recording with the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder of both the Final Plat and the Development Agreement is accomplished by the City shall establish the date of approval under Section 2.2.11(D)((1) of this Land Use Code.

(Ord. No. 59, 2000 §4, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 070, 2005 §1, 7/5/05; Ord. No. 120, 2011 §4, 9/20/2011; Ord. No. [092, 2013](#) §2, 7/16/13; [Ord. No. 175, 2014](#) §5, 12/16/14)

2.2.8 - Step 8: Standards

To approve a development application, the decision maker must first determine and find that the development application has satisfied and followed the applicable requirements of this Article 2 and complies with all of the standards required for the applicable development application (see Step 8: "Standards" referenced in Divisions 2.3 through 2.11), as modified by any modification of standards approved under Section 2.8.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §4, 10/20/98)

2.2.9 - Step 9: Conditions of Approval

The decision maker may impose such conditions on approval of the development application as are necessary to accomplish the purposes and intent of this Code, or such conditions that have a reasonable nexus to potential impacts of the proposed development, and that are roughly proportional, both in nature and extent, to the impacts of the proposed development.

2.2.10 - Step 10: Amendments and Changes of Use

- (A) **Minor Amendments and Changes of Use.** (1) Minor amendments to any approved development plan, including any Overall Development Plan, Project Development Plan, or PUD Master Plan, any site specific development plan, or the existing condition of a platted property; and (2) Changes of use, either of which meet the applicable criteria of below subsections 2.2.10(A)(1) or 2.2.10(A)(2), may be approved, approved with conditions, or denied administratively by the Director and may be authorized without additional public hearings. With the exception of PUD Master Plans, such minor amendments and changes of use may be authorized by the Director as long as the development

plan, as so amended, continues to comply with the standards of this Code to the extent reasonably feasible. PUD Master Plan Minor amendments may be authorized by the Director as long as the PUD Master Plan, as so amended, continues to comply with the standards of this Code, as such standards may have been modified in the existing PUD Master Plan, and so long as the amendments are consistent with the existing PUD Master Plan. Minor amendments and changes of use shall only consist of any or all of the following:

- (1) Any change to any approved development plan or any site specific development plan which was originally subject only to administrative review and was approved by the Director, or any change of use of any property that was developed pursuant to a basic development review or a use-by-right review under prior law; provided that such change would not have disqualified the original plan from administrative review had it been requested at that time; and provided that the change or change of use complies with all of the following criteria applicable to the particular request for change or change of use:
 - (a) Results in an increase by one (1) percent or less in the approved number of dwelling units, except that in the case of a change of use of any property that was developed pursuant to a basic development review or use-by-right review under prior law, the number of dwelling units proposed to be added may be four (4) units or less;
 - (b) Results in an increase or decrease in the amount of square footage of a nonresidential land use or structure that does not change the character of the project;
 - (c) Results in a change in the housing mix or use mix ratio that complies with the requirements of the zone district and does not change the character of the project;
 - (d) Does not result in a change in the character of the development;
 - (e) Does not result in new buildings, building additions or site improvements, such as parking lots and landscaping, that are proposed to be located outside the boundaries of the approved Project Development Plan or approved site specific development plan;
 - (f) Results in a decrease in the number of approved dwelling units and does not change the character of the project, and that the plan as amended continues to comply with the requirements of this Code; and
 - (g) In the case of a change of use, the change of use results in the site being brought into compliance, to the extent reasonably feasible as such extent may be modified pursuant to below subsection 2.2.10(A)(3), with the applicable general development standards contained in Article 3 and the applicable district standards contained in Article 4 of this Code.
- (2) Any change to any approved development plan or any site specific development plan which was originally subject to review by the Planning and Zoning Board (either as a Type 2 project or as a project reviewed by the Planning and Zoning Board under prior law) or City Council review of a PUD Overlay, or any change of use of any property that was approved by the Planning and Zoning Board; provided that the change or change of use complies with all of the following criteria applicable to the particular request for change or change of use:
 - (a) Results in an increase or decrease by one (1) percent or less in the approved number of dwelling units;
 - (b) Results in an increase or decrease in the amount of square footage of a nonresidential land use or structure that does not change the character of the project;
 - (c) Results in a change in the housing mix or use mix ratio that complies with the requirements of the zone district and does not change the character of the project;
 - (d) Does not result in a change in the character of the development; and
 - (e) Does not result in new buildings, building additions or site improvements, such as parking lots and landscaping, that are proposed to be located outside the boundaries of the approved Project Development Plan or approved site specific development plan.

- (3) *Waiver of Development Standards for Changes of Use.*
- (a) *Applicability.* The procedure and standards contained in this Section shall apply only to changes of use reviewed pursuant to Section 2.2.10(A) of this Code.
 - (b) *Purpose.* In order for a change of use to be granted pursuant to Section 2.2.10(A), the change of use must result in the site being brought into compliance with all applicable general development and zone district standards to the extent reasonably feasible. The purpose of this Section is to allow certain changes of use that do not comply with all general development standards to the extent reasonably feasible to be granted pursuant to Section 2.2.10(A) in order to:
 - 1. Foster the economic feasibility for the use, maintenance and improvement of certain legally constructed buildings and sites which do not comply with certain Land Use Code General Development Standards provided that:
 - a. Existing blight conditions have been ameliorated; and
 - b. Public and private improvements are made that address essential health and life safety issues that are present on-site.
 - 2. Encourage the eventual upgrading of nonconforming buildings, uses and sites.
 - (c) *Review by Director.* As part of the review conducted pursuant to Section 2.2.10(A) for a proposed change of use, the Director may waive, or waive with conditions, any of the development standards set forth in subsection (d) below. In order for the Director to waive, or waive with conditions, any such development standard, the Director must find that such waiver or waiver with conditions would not be detrimental to the public good and that each of the following is satisfied:
 - 1. The site for which the waiver or waiver with conditions is granted satisfies the policies of the applicable Council adopted subarea, corridor or neighborhood plan within which the site is located;
 - 2. The proposed use will function without significant adverse impact upon adjacent properties and the district within which it is located in consideration of the waiver or waiver with conditions;
 - 3. Existing blight conditions on the site are addressed through site clean-up, maintenance, screening, landscaping or some combination thereof; and
 - 4. The site design addresses essential health and public safety concerns found on the site.
 - (d) *Eligible Development Standards.* The Director may grant a waiver or waiver with conditions for the following general development standards:
 - 1. Sections 3.2.1(4), (5) and (6) related to Parking Lot Perimeter and Interior Landscaping, and connecting walkways.
 - 2. Section 3.2.2(M) Landscaping Coverage.
 - 3. Section 3.2.4 Site Lighting, except compliance with minimum footcandle levels described in 3.2.4(C).
 - 4. Section 3.2.5 Trash and Recycling Enclosure design.
 - 5. Section 3.3.5 Engineering Design standards related to water quality standard, including Low Impact Development.
- (4) *Referral.* In either subsection (1) or (2) above, the Director may refer the amendment or change of use to the decision maker who approved the development plan proposed to be amended. The referral of minor amendments to development plans or changes of use allowed or approved under the laws of the City for the development of land prior to the adoption of this Code shall be

processed as required for the land use or uses proposed for the amendment or change of use as set forth in Article 4 (i.e., Type 1 review or Type 2 review) for the zone district in which the land is located. The referral of minor amendments or changes of use to project development plans or final plans approved under this Code shall be reviewed and processed in the same manner as required for the original development plan for which the amendment or change of use is sought, and, if so referred, the decision maker's decision shall constitute a final decision, subject only to appeal as provided for development plans under Division 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, or 2.15 as applicable, for the minor amendment or change of use. City Council approval of a minor amendment to a PUD Master Plan shall be by resolution.

- (5) *Appeals.* Appeals of the decision of the Director regarding the approval, approval with conditions or denial of, a change of use, or a minor amendment of any approved development plan, site specific development plan, or the existing condition of a platted property, shall be to the Planning and Zoning Board. Any such appeal shall be taken by filing a notice of appeal of the final decision with the Director within fourteen (14) days after the action that is the subject of the appeal. The decision of the Planning and Zoning Board on such appeals shall constitute a final decision appealable pursuant to Section 2.2.12 (Step 12).

(B) ***Major Amendments and Changes of Use Not Meeting the Criteria of 2.2.10(A).***

- (1) *Procedure/Criteria.* Amendments to any approved development plan, including any Overall Development Plan, Project Development Plan, or PUD Master Plan, or any site specific development plan, and changes of use that are not determined by the Director to be minor amendments or qualifying changes of use under the criteria set forth in subsection (A) above, shall be deemed major amendments. Major amendments to approved development plans or site specific development plans approved under the laws of the City for the development of land prior to the adoption of this Code shall be processed as required for the land use or uses proposed for the amendment as set forth in Article 4 (i.e., Type 1 review or Type 2 review) for the zone district in which the land is located, and, to the maximum extent feasible, shall comply with the applicable standards contained in Articles 3 and 4. Major amendments to development plans or site specific development plans approved under this Code shall be reviewed and processed in the same manner as required for the original development plan for which amendment is sought. Any major amendments to an approved project development plan or site specific development plan shall be recorded as amendments in accordance with the procedures established for the filing and recording of such initially approved plan. City Council approval of a major amendment to a PUD Master Plan shall be by resolution. Any partial or total abandonment of a development plan or site specific development plan approved under this Code, or of any plan approved under the laws of the City for the development of land prior to the adoption of this Code, shall be deemed to be a major amendment, and shall be processed as a Type 2 review; provided, however, that if a new land use is proposed for the property subject to the abandonment, then the abandonment and new use shall be processed as required for the land use or uses proposed as set forth in Article 4 (i.e., Type 1 review or Type 2 review) for the zone district in which the land is located.

- (2) *Appeals.* Appeals of decisions for approval, approval with conditions or denial of major amendments, or abandonment, of any approved development plan or site specific development plan shall be filed and processed in accordance with Section 2.2.12 (Step 12).

(C) ***Additional Criteria*** . In addition to the criteria established in (A) and (B) above, the criteria established in subsection 2.1.4(C) shall guide the decision maker in determining whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application for partial or total abandonment.

(D) ***Parkway Landscaping Amendments*** . Amendments to parkway landscaping in any approved development plan may be approved, approved with conditions or denied administratively by the Director. No public hearing need be held on an application for a parkway landscaping amendment. Such amendments may be authorized by the Director as long as the development plan, as so amended, continues to comply with the Fort Collins Streetscape Standards, Appendix C, Section 6.1 in the Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards. Appeals of the decision of the Director

regarding the approval, approval with conditions or denial of parkway landscaping amendments of any approved development plan shall be made in accordance with paragraph (A)(4) of this Section.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 228, 1998 §§3, 4, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 99, 1999 §3, 6/15/99; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §5, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 183, 2000 §§3—6, 12/19/00; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §§3, 4, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §§1, 6, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 177, 2002 §3, 12/17/02; Ord. No. 104, 2006 §3, 7/18/06; Ord. No. 061, 5/7/13; Ord. No. [092, 2013](#) §3, 7/16/13; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §§6—8, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 155, 2015 §3, 12/15/15](#); Ord. No. [059, 2017](#), § 4, 5/2/17; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §7, 7/17/18)

2.2.11 - Step 11: Lapse

- (A) **Application Submittals.** An application submitted to the City for the review and approval of a development plan must be diligently pursued and processed by the applicant. Accordingly, the applicant, within one hundred eighty (180) days of receipt of written comments and notice to respond from the City on any submittal (or subsequent revision to a submittal) of an application for approval of a development plan, shall file such additional or revised submittal documents as are necessary to address such comments from the City. If the additional submittal information or revised submittal is not filed within said period of time, the development application shall automatically lapse and become null and void. The Director may grant one (1) extension of the foregoing one-hundred-eighty-day requirement, which extension may not exceed one hundred twenty (120) days in length, and one (1) additional extension which may not exceed sixty (60) days in length. This subsection (A) shall apply to applications which are, or have been, filed pursuant to this Code and to applications which are, or have been, filed pursuant to the laws of the City for the development of land prior to the adoption of this Code. On transfer of ownership of any real property that is the subject of a pending application, whether in whole or in part, such transfer shall bar a new owner or transferee from taking further action on such application unless, prior to taking any action, the new owner provides evidence satisfactory to the Director that the transferor of such property intended that all rights of the owner under the pending application be assigned to the transferee.
- (B) **Overall Development Plan.** There is no time limit for action on an overall development plan. Because an overall development plan is only conceptual in nature, no vested rights shall ever attach to an overall development plan. The approval of, or completion of work pursuant to, project development plans or final plans for portions of an overall development plan shall not create vested rights for those portions of the overall development plan which have not received such approvals and have not been completed.
- (C) **PUD Master Plan.** A PUD Master Plan shall be eligible for a vested property right solely with respect to uses, densities, development standards, and Engineering Standards for which variances have been granted pursuant to Section 4.29(L), as all are set forth in an approved PUD Master Plan, and an approved PUD Master Plan shall be considered a site specific development plan solely for the purpose of acquiring such vested property right.
- (1) **Specification of Uses, Densities, Development Standards, and Engineering Standards.** The application for a PUD Master Plan shall specify the uses, densities, development standards, and Engineering Standards granted variances pursuant to Section 4.29(L), for which the applicant is requesting a vested property right. Such uses, densities, and development standards may include those granted modifications pursuant to Section 4.29 and uses, densities, and development standards set forth in the Land Use Code which are applicable to the PUD Master Plan.
 - (2) **Term of Vested Right .** The term of the vested property right shall not exceed three (3) years unless: (a) an extension is granted pursuant to paragraph (3) of this subsection, or (b) the City and the developer enter into a development agreement which vests the property right for a period exceeding three (3) years. Such agreement may be entered into by the City if the Director determines that it will likely take more than three (3) years to complete all phases of the

development and the associated engineering improvements for the development, and only if warranted in light of all relevant circumstances, including, but not limited to, the overall size of the development and economic cycles and market conditions. Council shall adopt any such development agreement as a legislative act subject to referendum.

- (3) *Extensions* . Extensions for two (2) successive periods of one (1) year each may be granted by the Director, upon a finding that (a) the applicant has been diligently pursuing development pursuant to the PUD Master Plan, and (b) granting the extension would not be detrimental to the public good. Any additional one-year extensions shall be approved, if at all, only by the original PUD Master Plan decision maker, upon a finding that (a) the applicant has been diligently pursuing development pursuant to the PUD Master Plan, and (b) granting the extension would not be detrimental to the public good. A request for an extension of the term of vested right under this Section must be submitted to the Director in writing at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of expiration. Time is of the essence. The granting of extensions by the Director under this Section may, at the discretion of the Director, be referred to the original PUD Master Plan decision maker.
 - (4) *Publication* . A "notice of approval" describing the PUD Master Plan and stating that a vested property right has been created or extended, shall be published by the City once in a newspaper of general circulation within the City, not later than fourteen (14) days after the approval of a PUD Master Plan, an extension of an existing vested right, or the legislative adoption of a development agreement as described in paragraph (2) of this subsection. The period of time permitted by law for the exercise of any applicable right of referendum or judicial review shall not begin to run until the date of such publication, whether timely made within said fourteen-day period, or thereafter.
 - (5) *Minor and Major Amendments*. In the event that a minor or major amendment to a PUD Master Plan is approved under the provisions of Section 2.2.10, and such amendment alters or adds uses, densities, development standards, or Engineering Standards for which variances have been granted pursuant to Section 4.29(L), a new vested property right may be created upon the applicant's request and pursuant to paragraph 2 of this subsection. If the applicant wants the term of the new vested property right to exceed three (3) years, such extended term must be approved and legislatively adopted pursuant to paragraph 2 of this subsection.
- (D) ***Project Development Plan and Plat***. Following the approval of a project development plan and upon the expiration of any right of appeal, or upon the final decision of the City Council following appeal, if applicable, the applicant must submit a final plan for all or part of the project development plan within three (3) years unless the project development plan is for a large base industry to be constructed in phases, in which case the application for approval of a final plan must be submitted within twenty-five (25) years. If such approval is not timely obtained, the project development plan (or any portion thereof which has not received final approval) shall automatically lapse and become null and void. The Director may grant one (1) extension of the foregoing requirement, which extension may not exceed six (6) months in length. No vested rights shall ever attach to a project development plan. The approval of, or completion of work pursuant to, a final plan for portions of a project development plan shall not create vested rights for those portions of the project development plan which have not received such final plan approval and have not been completed.
- (E) ***Final Plan and Plat and Other Site Specific Development Plans*** .
- (1) *Approval*. A site specific development plan shall be deemed approved upon the recording by the City with the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder of both the Final Plat and the Development Agreement and upon such recording, a vested property right shall be created pursuant to the provisions of Article 68 Title 24, C.R.S., and this Section 2.2.11.
 - (2) *Publication* . A "notice of approval" describing generally the type and intensity of use approved and the specific parcel or parcels affected, and stating that a vested property right has been created or extended, shall be published by the City once, not later than fourteen (14) days after the approval of any final plan or other site specific development plan in a newspaper of general circulation within the City. The period of time permitted by law for the exercise of any applicable

right of referendum or judicial review shall not begin to run until the date of such publication, whether timely made within said fourteen-day period, or thereafter.

- (3) *Term of Vested Right* . Within a maximum of three (3) years following the approval of a final plan or other site specific development plan, the applicant must undertake, install and complete all engineering improvements (water, sewer, streets, curb, gutter, street lights, fire hydrants and storm drainage) in accordance with city codes, rules and regulations. The period of time shall constitute the "term of the vested property right." The foregoing term of the vested property right shall not exceed three (3) years unless: (a) an extension is granted pursuant to paragraph (4) of this subsection, or (b) the City and the developer enter into a development agreement which vests the property right for a period exceeding three (3) years. Such agreement may be entered into by the City only if the subject development constitutes a "large base industry" as defined in Article 5, or if the Director determines that it will likely take more than three (3) years to complete all engineering improvements for the development, and only if warranted in light of all relevant circumstances, including, but not limited to, the size and phasing of the development, economic cycles and market conditions. Any such development agreement shall be adopted as a legislative act subject to referendum. Failure to undertake and complete such engineering improvements within the term of the vested property right shall cause a forfeiture of the vested property right and shall require resubmission of all materials and reapproval of the same to be processed as required by this Code. All dedications as contained on the final plat shall remain valid unless vacated in accordance with law.
- (4) *Extensions* . Extensions for two (2) successive periods of one (1) year each may be granted by the Director, upon a finding that the plan complies with all general development standards as contained in Article 3 and Zone District Standards as contained in Article 4 at the time of the application for the extension. Any additional one-year extensions shall be approved, if at all, only by the Planning and Zoning Board, upon a finding that the plan complies with all applicable general development standards as contained in Article 3 and Zone District Standards as contained in Article 4 at the time of the application for the extension, and that (a) the applicant has been diligent in constructing the engineering improvements required pursuant to paragraph (3) above, though such improvements have not been fully constructed, or (b) due to other extraordinary and exceptional situations unique to the property, completing all engineering improvements would result in unusual and exceptional practical difficulties or undue hardship upon the applicant, and granting the extension would not be detrimental to the public good. A request for an extension of the term of vested right under this Section must be submitted to the Director in writing at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of expiration. Time is of the essence. The granting of extensions by the Director under this Section may, at the discretion of the Director, be referred to the Planning and Zoning Board.
- (5) *Minor Amendments*. In the event that minor amendments to a final plan or other site-specific development plan are approved under the provisions of Section 2.2.10 (or under prior law, if permissible), the effective date of such minor amendments, for purposes of duration of a vested property right, shall be the date of the approval of the original final plan or other site-specific development plan.
- (6) *Major Amendments* . The approval of major amendments to a final plan or other site-specific development plan under the provisions of Section 2.2.10 (or under prior law, if permissible), shall create a new vested property right with effective period and term as provided herein, unless expressly stated otherwise in the decision approving such major amendment.
- (7) *Planning over old plans* . In the event that a new final plan is approved for a parcel of property which includes all of a previously approved site-specific development plan, the approval of such new final plan shall cause the automatic expiration of such previously approved site-specific development plan. In the event that a new final plan is approved for a parcel of property which includes only a portion of a previously approved site-specific development plan, the approval of such new final plan shall be deemed to constitute the abandonment of such portion of the previously approved plan as is covered by such new plan, and shall be reviewed according to

the abandonment criteria contained in subsection 2.1.4(C) and all other applicable criteria of this Code.

- (8) *Other provisions unaffected.* Approval of a final plan or other site-specific development plan shall not constitute an exemption from or waiver of any other provisions of this Code pertaining to the development and use of property.
- (9) *Post denial re-submittal delay .* Property that is the subject of an overall development plan or a project development plan that has been denied by the decision maker or denied by City Council upon appeal, or withdrawn by the applicant, shall be ineligible to serve, in whole or in part, as the subject of another overall development plan or project development plan application for a period of six (6) months from the date of the final decision of denial or the date of withdrawal (as applicable) of the plan unless the Director determines that the new plan includes substantial changes in land use, residential density and/or nonresidential intensity.
- (10) *Automatic repeal; waiver .* Nothing in this Section is intended to create any vested property right other than such right as is established pursuant to the provisions of Article 68, Title 24, C.R.S. In the event of the repeal of said article or a judicial determination that said article is invalid or *unconstitutional* , this Section shall be deemed to be repealed and the provisions hereof no longer effective. Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit the waiver of a vested property right pursuant to mutual agreement between the City and the affected landowner. Upon the recording of any such agreement with the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder, any property right which might otherwise have been vested shall be deemed to be not vested.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 99, 1999 §4, 6/15/99; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §6, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §5, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §3, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 161, 2005 §3, 12/20/05; Ord. No. 081, 2007 §3, 7/17/07; Ord. No. 066, 2009 §2, 7/7/09; Ord. No. 068, 2010 §3, 7/6/10; Ord. No. 120, 2011 §§5, 6, 9/20/2011; Ord. No. [024, 2013](#) §2, 2/26/13; Ord. No. [040, 2013](#), 3/19/13; Ord. No. [092, 2013](#) §§4, 5, 7/16/13; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §§9, 10, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 175, 2014 §6, 12/16/14](#) ; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#) , §8, 7/17/18)

2.2.12 - Step 12: Appeals/Alternate Review

- (A) *Appeals.* Appeals of any final decision of a decision maker under this Code shall be only in accordance with Chapter 2, Article II, Division 3 of the City Code, unless otherwise provided in Divisions 2.3 through 2.11 and 2.16 of this Code.
- (B) *Alternate Review.* Despite the foregoing, if the City is the applicant for a development project, there shall be no appeal of any final decision regarding such development project to the City Council. In substitution of an appeal of a development project for which the City is the applicant, the City Council may, by majority vote, as an exercise of its legislative power and in its sole discretion, overturn or modify any final decision regarding such project, by ordinance of the City Council. Any Councilmember may request that the City Council initiate this exercise of legislative power but only if such request is made in writing to the City Clerk within fourteen (14) days of the date of the final decision of the Planning and Zoning Board. City Council shall conduct a hearing prior to the adoption of the ordinance in order to hear public testimony and receive and consider any other public input received by the City Council (whether at or before the hearing) and shall conduct its hearing in the manner customarily employed by the Council for the consideration of legislative matters. When evaluating City projects under alternate review, the City Council may, in its legislative discretion, consider factors in addition to or in substitution of the standards of this Land Use Code.

(Ord. No. 165, 1999 §10, 11/16/99; [Ord. No. 082, 2015 §1, 7/21/15](#))

DIVISION 2.3 - OVERALL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

2.3.1 - Purpose and Applicability

The purpose and applicability of an overall development plan is contained in Subsection 2.1.3(B).

2.3.2 - Overall Development Plan Review Procedures

An overall development plan shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Applicable.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for overall development plans as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Applicable.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): All overall development plans will be processed as Type 2 reviews.

Step 7(B)—(G) (Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceedings, Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable.

- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable. An overall development plan shall comply with the following criteria:
 - (1) The overall development plan shall be consistent with the permitted uses and applicable zone district standards (Article 4) of all zone districts contained within the boundaries of the overall development plan. The plan shall also be consistent with any zone district standards (Article 4) and general development standards (Article 3) that can be applied at the level of detail required for an overall development plan submittal. Only one (1) application for an overall development plan for any specific parcel or portion thereof may be pending for approval at any given time. Such application shall also be subject to the provisions for delay set out in Section 2.2.11.
 - (2) The overall development plan shall be consistent with the required density range of residential uses (including lot sizes and housing types) with regard to any land which is part of the overall development plan and which is included in the following districts:
 - (a) The Rural Land District (R-U-L). Section 4.1(D)(1).
 - (b) The Urban Estate District (U-E). See Section 4.2(D)(1).
 - (c) The Residential Foothills District (R-F). See Section 4.3(D)(1).
 - (d) The Low Density Mixed-Use Neighborhood District (L-M-N). See Section 4.5(D)(1).
 - (e) The Medium Density Mixed-Use Neighborhood District (M-M-N). See Section 4.6(D)(1).
 - (f) The High Density Mixed-Use Neighborhood District (H-M-N). See Section 4.10(D)(1).

- (g) The Community Commercial - North College District (C-C-N). See Section 4.19(D)(1).
 - (h) The Harmony Corridor District (H-C). See Section 4.26(D)(4).
 - (i) The Employment District (E). See Section 4.27(D)(5).
- (3) The overall development plan shall conform to the Master Street Plan requirements and the street pattern/connectivity standards both within and adjacent to the boundaries of the plan as required pursuant to Sections 3.6.1 and 3.6.3(A) through (F). The overall development plan shall identify appropriate transportation improvements to be constructed and shall demonstrate how the development, when fully constructed, will conform to the Transportation Level of Service Requirements as contained in Section 3.6.4 by submittal of a Master Level Transportation Impact Study.
 - (4) The overall development plan shall provide for the location of transportation connections to adjoining properties in such manner as to ensure connectivity into and through the overall development plan site from neighboring properties for vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle movement, as required pursuant to Section 3.6.3(F) and Section 3.2.2(C)(6).
 - (5) The overall development plan shall show the general location and approximate size of all natural areas, habitats and features within its boundaries and shall indicate the applicant's proposed rough estimate of the natural area buffer zones as required pursuant to Section 3.4.1(E).
 - (6) The overall development plan shall be consistent with the appropriate Drainage Basin Master Plan.
 - (7) Any standards relating to housing density and mix of uses will be applied over the entire overall development plan, not on each individual project development plan review.
- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
 - (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Applicable.
 - (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Applicable.
 - (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Applicable.

(Ord. No. 228, 1998 §§5, 6, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 41, 1999 §1, 3/16/99; Ord. No. 99, 1999 §5, 6/15/99; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §§6, 7, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §§4, 5, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 131, 2006 §§3—5, 9/19/06; Ord. No. 120, 2011 §7, 9/20/2011; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §§11, 12, 7/1/14)

DIVISION 2.4 - PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

2.4.1 - Purpose and Applicability

The purpose and applicability of a project development plan is contained in Section 2.1.3(C).

2.4.2 - Project Development Plan Review Procedures

A project development plan shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Applicable, only if the project development plan is not subject to an overall development plan.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Applicable.

- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for project development plans as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Applicable.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): Applicable as follows:
 - (1) Administrative review (Type 1 review) applies to a project development plan that satisfies all of the following conditions:
 - (a) it was submitted after the effective date of this Land Use Code and is subject to the provisions of this Land Use Code; and
 - (b) it contains only permitted uses subject to administrative review as listed in the zone district (set forth in Article 4, District Standards) in which it is located.
 - (2) Planning and Zoning Board review (Type 2 review) applies to a project development plan that does not satisfy all of the conditions in (1), above.

Step 7(B)-(G) (Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceedings, Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable. A project development plan shall comply with all General Development Standards applicable to the development proposal (Article 3) and the applicable District Standards (Article 4); and, when a project development plan is within the boundaries of an approved overall development plan or PUD Overlay, the project development plan shall be consistent with the overall development plan or PUD Master Plan associated with such PUD Overlay. Only one (1) application for a project development plan for any specific parcel or portion thereof may be pending for approval at any given time. Such application shall also be subject to the provisions for delay set out in Section 2.2.11.
- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Applicable.
- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Applicable.

(Ord. No. 192, 2006 §1, 12/19/06; Ord. No. 120, 2011 §8, 9/20/2011; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §13, 7/1/14; Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §9, 7/17/18)

DIVISION 2.5 - FINAL PLAN

2.5.1 - Purpose and Applicability

The purpose and applicability of a final plan is contained in Section 2.1.3(D).

2.5.2 - Final Plan Review Procedures

A final plan may only be submitted after approval of a project development plan for the subject property or concurrently with a project development plan for the subject property. For consolidated applications for a

project development plan and a final plan, the applicant shall follow both the project development plan and final development plan review procedures.

A final plan shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for final plans as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Not applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Not applicable.
- (G) **Step 7(A)—(C)** (Decision Maker, Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceeding at Public Hearing): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, the Director is hereby authorized to, and shall, review, consider and approve, approve with conditions or deny the development application for a final plan based on its consistency with a valid project development plan for the subject property and its compliance with all of the standards established in Step 8 of this Section. The Director may, but is not obligated to, confer or meet with the applicant or other city staff to obtain clarification or explanation, gain understanding, suggest revision, or otherwise discuss or learn about the development proposal and final plan, all for the purpose of ensuring a fully consistent and compliant final plan.
 - Step 7(D)** (Decision and Findings): Not applicable, except that Step 7(D)(3) shall apply.
 - Step 7(E)** (Notification to Applicant): Applicable.
 - Step 7(F)** (Record of Proceedings): Not applicable, except that Step 7(F)(2) shall apply.
 - Step 7(G)** (Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable. A final plan shall comply with the General Development Standards applicable to the development proposal (Article 3) and the applicable District Standards (Article 4); and a final plan shall be consistent with the project development plan.
- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Applicable.
- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Not applicable. The Director's decision shall be final and no appeals of the Director's decision will be allowed; however, the Director may refer the decision to the Planning and Zoning Board when the Director is in doubt as to the compliance and consistency of the final plan with the approved project development plan. If the Director refers the decision to the Planning and Zoning Board, the decision of the Planning and Zoning Board shall be final and shall not be appealable to the City Council, notwithstanding any provision of the City Code to the contrary.

(Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §14, 7/1/14)

DIVISION 2.6 - STOCKPILING PERMITS AND DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

2.6.1 - Purpose

- (A) A stockpiling permit is required in order to regulate the placement of fill dirt on properties not covered by a site specific development plan, to protect against adverse impacts to floodplains, drainage systems, natural areas, wildlife habitat, wetlands or other areas of public interest, and to assure that public nuisances will not be created by the stockpiling activities.
- (B) A Development Construction Permit is required in order to coordinate the transition from completion of the development review process to the construction process.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §7, 6/6/00)

2.6.2 - Applicability

- (A) A stockpiling permit shall be required for stockpiling soil or similar inorganic material upon property that is not subject to the provisions of a valid development construction permit.
- (B) A Development Construction Permit shall be required for all development that is required to construct public infrastructure improvements that, upon completion, will be owned or maintained by the City.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §7, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 183, 2000 §7, 12/19/00; Ord. No. [051, 2012](#) §5, 7/17/12)

2.6.3 - Stockpiling Permit and Development Construction Permit Review Procedures

An application for a Stockpiling Permit or a Development Construction Permit shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps (1) through (12) of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive), as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.
- (C) **Step 3(A)** (Development Application Forms): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, all applications for Stockpiling Permits or Development Construction Permits shall be in a form established by the City Engineer and made available to the public.
 - Step 3(B)** (Consolidated Development Applications and Review): Not applicable.
 - Step 3(C)** (Development Application Contents): Applicable.
 - Step 3(D)** (Submittal Hearing Date Schedule): Not applicable.
 - Step 3(E)** (Development Review Fees - Stockpiling Permit): Applicable.
 - Step 3(E)** (Development Review Fees - Development Construction Permit): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, the applicant for a Development Construction Permit shall remit to the City an application fee and a construction inspection fee in the amounts as are authorized to be established pursuant to Chapter 7.5, Article I of the City Code.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable except that the term "City Engineer" shall be substituted for the term "Director."

- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Not applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Not applicable.
- (G) **Step 7** (Public Hearing - Stockpiling Permit): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Stockpiling Permit shall be processed, reviewed, considered and approved, approved with modifications or denied by the City Engineer based on its compliance with the City Code and all regulations related to such permit adopted by the City by reference or otherwise, as amended, including, without limitation, the erosion control standards as contained in the Stormwater Design Criteria and Construction Standards Manual.

Step 7 (Public Hearing - Development Construction Permit): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Development Construction Permit shall be processed, reviewed, considered and approved, approved with modifications or denied by the City Engineer based on its compliance with the Site Specific Development Plan, the City Code and all regulations related to such permit adopted by the City by reference or otherwise, as amended.

- (H) **Step 8** (Standards - Stockpiling Permit): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Stockpiling Permit shall be reviewed for compliance with the City Code and all regulations related to such permit adopted by the city by reference or otherwise, as amended, including, without limitation, the erosion control standards as contained in the Stormwater Criteria Manual and the dust control measures contained in the Dust Control Manual to the extent required therein.

Step 8 (Standards - Development Construction Permit): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Development Construction Permit shall be reviewed for compliance with the Site Specific Development Plan, the City Code and all regulations related to such permit adopted by the city by reference or otherwise as amended, including, without limitation, the erosion control standards as contained in the Stormwater Criterial Manual and the dust control measures contained in the Dust Control Manual to the extent required therein.

- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, amendments to Stockpiling Permits or Development Construction Permits may be authorized by the City Engineer only as allowed under the Stockpiling Permit or Development Construction Permit regulations adopted by the city by reference or otherwise, as amended, provided that the amended Stockpiling Permit or Development Construction Permit remains in compliance with the applicable standards.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse - Stockpiling Permits): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, a Stockpiling Permit shall be subject to the following lapse and extension provisions:

(1) **Term of permit** . All Stockpiling Permit activity shall be commenced and completed within thirty (30) days of issuance of the Stockpiling Permit unless a longer term of permit is established by the City Engineer upon issuance of the permit.

(2) **Extensions** . The applicant for a Stockpiling Permit may apply for an extension of the term of such permit if such application is filed with the City Engineer at least two (2) working days prior to the permit expiration date. Such application shall contain good and sufficient reasons as to why an extension is necessary. For good cause shown, the City Engineer may approve an extension application that has been timely filed; provided, however, that no extension shall be granted for a term in excess of thirty (30) days, and no extension shall be granted which, in the judgment of the City Engineer, would be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare.

Step 11 (Lapse - Development Construction Permit): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, a Development Construction Permit shall be subject to the following lapse and extension provisions:

- (1) *Prior to commencement of construction* . If construction has not commenced within sixty (60) days from the date of issuance of the Development Construction Permit, such permit shall expire, and all fees paid therefor shall be forfeited.
 - (2) *Following commencement of construction* . If construction has timely commenced, the Development Construction Permit shall expire upon the passage of one (1) year from the date of issuance thereof.
 - (3) *Extensions* . The applicant for a Development Construction Permit may apply for an extension of the term of such permit if such application is filed with the City Engineer at least two (2) weeks prior to the permit expiration date. Such application shall contain good and sufficient reasons as to why an extension is necessary; and, for good cause shown, the City Engineer may grant extensions; provided, however, that no extension shall be granted for a term in excess of six (6) months, and no extension shall be granted which, in the judgment of the City Engineer, would be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare.
- (L) *Step 12 (Appeals)*: Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, appeals of any final decision of the City Engineer on a Stockpiling Permit or a Development Construction Permit application shall be in accordance with Division 2.11; provided, however, that such appeals may be filed only by persons who possess a legal or equitable interest in the specific real property which is the subject of the decision.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §7, 6/6/00; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §15, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 045, 2015, §2, 5/3/16](#))

DIVISION 2.7 - BUILDING PERMITS

2.7.1 - Purpose

A Building Permit Application is required in order to review, consider, approve, approve with modifications or deny a request for permission to erect, move, place, alter or demolish a building or structure based on the standards referenced in step 8 of this section.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.7.2 - Applicability

Application for a building permit may be made at any time. a building permit may be issued only after a site specific development plan has been approved for the property upon which the proposed principal building or structure is to be erected. the building permit is the only authorization under which a building or structure may be constructed, moved, placed, altered or demolished, with some exceptions, such as fences and certain types of storage sheds.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.7.3 - Building Permit Review Procedures

An application for a Building Permit shall be processed according to, in compliance with, and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Section 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive), as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.

(C) **Step 3(A)** (Development Application Forms): Applicable.

Step 3(B) (Consolidated Development Applications and Review): Not applicable.

Step 3(C) (Development Application Contents): Not Applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Building Permit shall be submitted to the Building and Zoning Director for review and determination. An application for a Building Permit shall include all items, materials and documents that are required by the adopted International Building Code.

Step 3(D) (Development Review Fees): Applicable.

(D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Not applicable.

(E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Not applicable.

(F) **Step 6** (Notice): Not applicable.

(G) **Step 7** (Public Hearing): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Building Permit shall be processed, reviewed, considered and approved, approved with modifications, or denied by the Building and Zoning Director based on its compliance with the site specific development plan, the City Code and all regulations related to such permit adopted by the city by reference or otherwise, as amended.

(H) **Step 8** (Standards): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, an application for a Building Permit shall be reviewed for compliance with the site specific development plan, the City Code and all regulations related to such permit adopted by the city by reference or otherwise, as amended; and if the Building Permit is for the enlargement of a building and/or for the expansion of facilities, equipment or structures regulated under the provisions of Division 1.6, such application shall also comply with Division 1.6.

(I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.

(J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, amendments to Building Permits may be authorized by the Building and Zoning Director only as allowed under the building regulations adopted by the city by reference or otherwise, as amended, provided that the amended Building Permit remains in compliance with the applicable standards.

(K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, a Building Permit shall expire six (6) months after the date that such Building Permit was issued unless properly acted upon in accordance with the provisions of the Uniform Building Code, as amended. One (1) six-month extension may be granted by the Building and Zoning Director.

(L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, appeals of any final decision of the Building and Zoning Director on a Building Permit application shall be in accordance with Division 2.11; provided, however, that such appeals may be filed only by persons who possess a legal or equitable interest in the specific real property which is the subject of the decision, or who own or reside on real property any part of which is located within five hundred (500) feet of the specific real property which is the subject of the decision. Notwithstanding the foregoing, appeals pertaining to the application and enforcement of the International Building Code (as adopted and amended by the city) shall be processed in accordance with Section 5-27(1) of the City Code.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 228, 1998 §§7—9, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 049, 2008 §2, 5/20/08; Ord. No. 089, 2014 §§16, 17, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 045, 2015, §3, 5/3/16](#).)

DIVISION 2.8 - MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS

2.8.1 - Purpose and Applicability

The decision maker is empowered to grant modifications to the General Development Standards contained in Article 3 and the Land Use Standards and Development Standards contained in Article 4 and any separation or proximity standards that are established as a specific measurement of distance in the District Permitted Uses contained in Article 4, either for: (1) overall development plans and/or project development plans which are pending approval at the time that the request for proposed modification is filed; (2) overall development plans and/or project development plans which the applicant intends to file, provided that such plans are in fact filed with the Director as development applications within one (1) year following the determination of the decision maker on the request for the proposed modification; or (3) development plans approved under prior law and which are sought to be amended (either as a minor or major amendment) pursuant to Section 2.2.10. This modification of standards process shall not apply so as to allow any modification of the requirements contained in Division 4.29 of this Code.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 41, 1999 §2, 3/16/99; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §11, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §6, 12/16/03; Ord. No. [024, 2013](#) §3, 2/26/13)

2.8.2 - Modification Review Procedures

A request for modification to the standards shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as set forth below. Once a modification is approved, it shall be controlling for the successive, timely filed, development applications for that particular development proposal only to the extent that it modifies the standards pertaining to such plan.

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for a Modification of Standards as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Section 2.2.6(A), (B) and (C) apply. Section 2.2.6(D) shall not apply.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): Applicable, and in explanation thereof and in addition thereto, if an application for a modification of standards pertains to a development plan which is subject to administrative review, the Director shall be the designated decision maker, except that, at the option of the applicant, the application may be considered by the Planning and Zoning Board; and if an application for a modification of standards pertains to a development plan which is subject to Planning and Zoning Board review, the Planning and Zoning Board shall be the designated decision maker. If the application is for a modification of standards pertaining to a development plan previously approved under prior law or not yet filed, the Director shall determine whether such development plan would have been, or will be, subject to administrative review or Planning and Zoning Board review and shall identify the decision maker accordingly. In all cases, the decision maker shall review, consider and approve, approve with conditions or deny an application for a modification of standards based on its compliance with all of the standards contained in Step 8.

Step 7(B)—(G)(1) (Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceedings, Recording of Decisions and Plats, Filing with City Clerk): Applicable.

Step 7(G)(2) (Final Plats Recorded with County Clerk and Recorder): Not applicable.

- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable, and the decision maker may grant a modification of standards only if it finds that the granting of the modification would not be detrimental to the public good, and that:
- (1) the plan as submitted will promote the general purpose of the standard for which the modification is requested equally well or better than would a plan which complies with the standard for which a modification is requested; or
 - (2) the granting of a modification from the strict application of any standard would, without impairing the intent and purpose of this Land Use Code, substantially alleviate an existing, defined and described problem of city-wide concern or would result in a substantial benefit to the city by reason of the fact that the proposed project would substantially address an important community need specifically and expressly defined and described in the city's Comprehensive Plan or in an adopted policy, ordinance or resolution of the City Council, and the strict application of such a standard would render the project practically infeasible; or
 - (3) by reason of exceptional physical conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situations, unique to such property, including, but not limited to, physical conditions such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness or topography, or physical conditions which hinder the owner's ability to install a solar energy system, the strict application of the standard sought to be modified would result in unusual and exceptional practical difficulties, or exceptional or undue hardship upon the owner of such property, provided that such difficulties or hardship are not caused by the act or omission of the applicant; or
 - (4) the plan as submitted will not diverge from the standards of the Land Use Code that are authorized by this Division to be modified except in a nominal, inconsequential way when considered from the perspective of the entire development plan, and will continue to advance the purposes of the Land Use Code as contained in Section 1.2.2.

Any finding made under subparagraph (1), (2), (3) or (4) above shall be supported by specific findings showing how the plan, as submitted, meets the requirements and criteria of said subparagraph (1), (2), (3) or (4).

(I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.

(J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not Applicable.

(K) **Step 11** (Lapse): All Modifications of Standards which apply to a pending development plan or a development plan which is timely filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.8.1 shall be valid in accordance with the lapse provisions contained in Section 2.2.11. All Modifications of Standards which apply to a development plan which has not been filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.8.1 shall be valid for a period of time not to exceed one (1) year following the determination of the decision maker on the request for the proposed modification.

(L) **Step 12** (Appeal): Applicable.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 41, 1999 §2, 3/16/99; Ord. No. 37, 2000, 4/18/00; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §8, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §8, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §6, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 091, 2004 §4, 6/15/04; Ord. No. 070, 2005 §§2, 3, 7/5/05; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §18, 7/1/14)

DIVISION 2.9 - AMENDMENT TO TEXT OF CODE AND/OR ZONING MAP

2.9.1 - Purpose

The purpose of this division is to provide requirements for changing the text of this code or the boundaries of the zone districts shown on the zoning map.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.9.2 - Applicability

Any and all amendments to the text of this code and any and all changes to the zoning map must be processed in accordance with this division. only the Council may, after recommendation of the Planning And Zoning Board, adopt an ordinance amending the text of this code or the Zoning Map in accordance with the provisions of this Division.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 99, 1999 §2, 6/15/99; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §9, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §7, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 161 §4, 12/20/05; Ord. No. 131, 2006 §5, 9/19/06; Ord. No. [051, 2012](#) §6, 7/17/12)

2.9.3 - Initiation

- (A) **Amendment To Zoning Map** . An amendment to the Zoning Map may be proposed by the Council, the Planning And Zoning Board, the Director or the owners of the property to be rezoned.
- (B) **Text Amendment** . An amendment to the text of this Code may be proposed by the Planning and Zoning Board or the Director.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 120, 2008, 10/21/08)

2.9.4 - Text and Map Amendment Review Procedures

An amendment to the text of this Code or an amendment to the Zoning Map may be approved by the City Council by ordinance after receiving a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Board. Any such proposed amendment shall be processed through a public hearing before the Planning and Zoning Board, which hearing shall be held either prior to City Council consideration of the proposed amendment or between first and second readings of the ordinance approving the amendment which will provide a recommendation to the City Council. (See Steps 1 through 12 below). The City Clerk shall cause the hearing by the City Council to be placed on the agenda for a future City Council meeting; and the public hearing before the City Council shall be held after at least fifteen (15) days' notice of the time, date and place of such hearing and the subject matter of the hearing and the nature of the proposed zoning change has been given by publication in a newspaper of general circulation within the City. On a proposal for a text amendment, the Planning and Zoning Board shall hold a hearing, which hearing shall be held either prior to City Council consideration of the proposed amendment or between first and second readings of the ordinance approving the amendment. Notice shall be given as required for ordinances pursuant to the City Charter. The City Council shall then approve, approve with conditions or deny the amendment based on its consideration of the Staff Report, the Planning and Zoning Board recommendation and findings and the evidence from the public hearings, and based on the amendment's compliance with the standards and conditions established in this Section. In the event that a protest is filed under the provisions of Section 31-23-305, C.R.S., any protested zoning change shall not become effective except by the favorable vote of a simple majority of the Councilmembers present and voting as provided in Article II, Section 11 of the City Charter. (See Steps 8 and 9 below).

The Planning and Zoning Board processing of the proposed amendment shall be according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.

- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable, except that, with respect to a quasi-judicial map amendments only, the Director may convene a neighborhood meeting to present and discuss a proposal of known controversy and/or significant neighborhood impacts.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for amendments to the text of this Code and/or the Zoning Map as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice):
 - (1) *Text Amendments* . Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, notice of the Planning and Zoning Board hearing shall be given in accordance with Section 2-72 of the City Code. (However, for text amendments proposed pursuant to subsection 1.3.4(C), subsection 2.2.6(C) shall apply, and in addition the notice shall name the specific proposed new use [or uses] to be added to the zone district list of permitted uses.)
 - (2) *Zonings or Rezoning of No More Than Six Hundred Forty (640) Acres (Quasi-judicial)* . Subsection 2.2.6(A) shall apply and such notices shall identify the proposed new zone district(s), as well as the uses permitted therein, shall indicate whether a neighborhood meeting will be held with regard to the proposed zoning or rezoning, and shall inform the recipient of the notice of the name, address and telephone number of the Director to whom questions may be referred with regard to such zoning change. Subsections 2.2.6(B), (C) and (D) shall apply, and the published notice given pursuant to subsection 2.2.6(C) shall provide the time, date and place of the hearing, the subject matter of the hearing and the nature of the proposed zoning change.
 - (3) *Zonings or Rezoning of More Than Six Hundred Forty (640) Acres (Legislative)* . Subsection 2.2.6(C) shall apply. Subsections 2.2.6(A), (B) and (D) shall not apply.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): P&Z Review applies.
Step 7(B) (Conduct of Public Hearing): Applicable.
Step 7(C) (Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing): Applicable.
Step 7(D) (Decision and Findings): Applicable, except that the Planning and Zoning Board's decision shall be in the form of a recommendation, not a decision, to Council. In making its recommendation, the Planning and Zoning Board shall consider whether the application or proposal complies with the standards contained in Step 8 of this Section.
Step 7(E) (Notification to Applicant): Not applicable.
Step 7(F) (Record of Proceedings): Applicable.
Step 7(G) (Recording of Decisions and Plats): Not applicable.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable, as follows:
 - (1) *Text Amendments and Legislative Zonings or Rezoning*. Amendments to the text of this Code, and amendments to the Zoning Map involving the zoning or rezoning of more than six hundred forty (640) acres of land (legislative rezoning), are matters committed to the legislative discretion of the City Council, and decisions regarding the same are not controlled by any one (1) factor.

- (2) *Mandatory Requirements for Quasi-judicial Zonings or Rezonings.* Any amendment to the Zoning Map involving the zoning or rezoning of six hundred forty (640) acres of land or less (a quasi-judicial rezoning) shall be recommended for approval by the Planning and Zoning Board or approved by the City Council only if the proposed amendment is:
 - (a) consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan; and/or
 - (b) warranted by changed conditions within the neighborhood surrounding and including the subject property.
- (3) *Additional Considerations for Quasi-Judicial Zonings or Rezonings.* In determining whether to recommend approval of any such proposed amendment, the Planning and Zoning Board and City Council may consider the following additional factors:
 - (a) whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment is compatible with existing and proposed uses surrounding the subject land and is the appropriate zone district for the land;
 - (b) whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment would result in significantly adverse impacts on the natural environment, including, but not limited to, water, air, noise, stormwater management, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands and the natural functioning of the environment;
 - (c) whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment would result in a logical and orderly development pattern.
- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Not applicable.
- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Not applicable.

(Ord. No. 153, 1997 §1, 10/21/97; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 228, 1998 §10, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §12, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §§8, 9, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 104, 2006 §§4, 5, 7/18/06; Ord. 081, 2007 §4, 7/17/07; Ord. No. [051, 2012](#) §7, 7/17/12; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §§19, 20, 7/1/14)

DIVISION 2.10 - VARIANCES (BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS)

2.10.1 - Purpose and Applicability

The purpose of this Division is to authorize, in specific cases, variances from the terms of Articles 3 and 4 or, if applicable, Articles I through IV of the Transitional Land Use Regulations. However, this variance procedure shall apply only to approved site specific development plans or to properties that were developed pursuant to a basic development review or use-by-right under prior law and shall only authorize a variance from the terms of Articles 3 and 4 as provided in this Division. It shall not authorize a change in use other than to a use that is allowed subject to basic development review. Also, the variance shall not be used for overall development plans, project development plans or final plans which are pending approval at the time that the request for the variance is filed. The process to be used for such pending development applications is the procedure established in Division 2.8 (Modification of Standards).

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §11, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §1, 12/18/01)

2.10.2 - Variance Review Procedures

A variance shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for variances as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Not applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Subsection 2.2.6(A) only applies, except that "eight hundred (800) feet" shall be changed to "one hundred fifty (150) feet," and for single-family houses in the NCL and NCM zone districts, eight hundred (800) feet shall be changed to five hundred (500) feet for variance requests for:
 - (1) Construction that results in a two-story house where a one-story house previously existed and where there is at least one (1) lot abutting the side of the subject lot and the house on such abutting lot is one (1) story; or
 - (2) Construction of a new house that is greater than two thousand five hundred (2,500) square feet; or
 - (3) Construction of an addition that results in a total square footage of more than three thousand (3,000) square feet.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): Not applicable, and in substitution for Section 2.2.7(A), the Zoning Board of Appeals, pursuant to Chapter 2 of the City Code, shall review, consider and approve, approve with conditions, or deny applications for variance based on its compliance with all of the standards contained in Step 8.

Step 7(B)—(G)(1) (Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceedings, Recording of Decisions and Plats, Filing with City Clerk): Applicable.

Step 7(G)(2) (Final Plats Recorded with County Clerk and Recorder): Not applicable.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable, and the Zoning Board of Appeals may grant a variance from the standards of Articles 3 and 4 only if it finds that the granting of the variance would neither be detrimental to the public good nor authorize any change in use other than to a use that is allowed subject to basic development review; and that:
 - (1) by reason of exceptional physical conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situations unique to such property, including, but not limited to, physical conditions such as exceptional narrowness, shallowness or topography, or physical conditions which hinder the owner's ability to install a solar energy system, the strict application of the standard sought to be varied would result in unusual and exceptional practical difficulties, or exceptional or undue hardship upon the occupant of such property, or upon the applicant, provided that such difficulties or hardship are not caused by the act or omission of the occupant or applicant;
 - (2) the proposal as submitted will promote the general purpose of the standard for which the variance is requested equally well or better than would a proposal which complies with the standard for which the variance is requested; or

- (3) the proposal as submitted will not diverge from the standards of the Land Use Code that are authorized by this Division to be varied except in a nominal, inconsequential way when considered in the context of the neighborhood, and will continue to advance the purposes of the Land Use Code as contained in Section 1.2.2.

Any finding made under subparagraph (1), (2) or (3) above shall be supported by specific findings showing how the proposal, as submitted, meets the requirements and criteria of said subparagraph (1), (2) or (3).

- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not Applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Any variance which applies to the issuance of a Building Permit shall expire six (6) months after the date that such variance was granted, unless all necessary permits have been obtained; provided, however, that for good cause shown, the Zoning Board of Appeals may authorize a longer term if such longer term is reasonable and necessary under the facts and circumstances of the case, but in no event shall the period of time for obtaining all necessary permits under a variance exceed twelve (12) months in length. One (1) six-month extension may be granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals.
- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Applicable.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 228, 1998 §11, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §13, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §9, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 183, 2000 §8, 12/19/00; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §§12—16, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §1, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 087, 2002 §1, 6/4/02; Ord. No. 198, 2004 §2, 12/21/04; Ord. No. [033, 2013](#) §1, 3/5/13; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §§21, 22, 7/1/14)

DIVISION 2.11 - APPEAL FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

2.11.1 - Purpose and Applicability

- (A) **Purpose** . The purpose of this Division is to provide for appeals of certain administrative/city staff decisions to the Zoning Board of Appeals.
- (B) **Applicability** . This Division shall apply to appeals from an administrative decision regarding the interpretation and/or application of the land use regulations which preceded this Land Use Code, and to appeals from the following administrative decisions made under this Land Use Code, provided such administrative decision is not for approval, approval with conditions, or denial either of a project development plan or a final plan pursuant to Divisions 2.4 or 2.5 or of an administrative amendment/abandonment of any such plan or of any plan approved under prior law, processed pursuant to Section 2.2.10 (Step 10):
- (1) Addition of a Permitted Use by Director (but not by Planning and Zoning Board) under Section 1.3.4;
 - (2) Issuance of a written administrative interpretation under Section 1.4.3;
 - (3) Establishment of the Development Application Submittal Requirements under Section 2.2.3(C);
 - (4) Waiver of Development Application Submittal Requirements under Section 2.2.3(C);
 - (5) Waiver of a neighborhood meeting by the Director under Section 2.2.2;
 - (6) Establishment of Development Review Fees by the City Manager under Section 2.2.3(D), adopted administratively and not by Council resolution;
 - (7) The issuance of a Stockpiling Permit under Section 2.6.3.
 - (8) The issuance of a Development Construction Permit under Section 2.6.3.

- (9) The issuance of a Building Permit under Section 2.7.3.
- (10) Decisions of the City Engineer made under the provisions of Section 3.3.2(C) of this Land Use Code, or Section 29-14 of the Transitional Land Use Regulations.

Appeals from administrative decisions on a project development plan or a final plan shall be governed by Division 2.4 or 2.5, respectively. Appeals from an administrative decision on an amendment/ abandonment of an approved development plan or site specific development plan shall be governed by Section 2.2.10 (Step 10). Any action taken in reliance upon an appealed administrative decision during the pendency of the appeal shall be totally at the risk of the person(s) taking such action and the city shall not be liable for any damages arising from any such action.

- (11) The issuance, denial, modification or revocation of an Off-Site Construction Staging License under Section 3.8.35.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §§1, 2, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 178, 1998 §7, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §10, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §3, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §7, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 073, 2008 §3, 7/1/08; [Ord. No. 155, 2015 §4, 12/15/15](#); Ord. No. [129, 2017](#), § 3, 10/3/17)

2.11.2 - Administrative Appeal Review Procedures

An appeal from an administrative decision shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for an appeal from an administrative decision as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Only Section 2.2.6(A) applies, except that "14 days" shall be changed to "7 days," everywhere it occurs in Section 2.2.6. Section 2.2.6(B)-(D) shall not apply.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): Not applicable, and in substitution for Section 2.2.7(A), the Zoning Board of Appeals, pursuant to Chapter 2 of the City Code, shall review, consider and uphold, modify or overturn the administrative decision which is the subject of the appeal based on its compliance with all of the standards contained in Step 8 of this Section.
Step 7(B)—(G) (Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceedings, Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable, and an appeal from an administrative decision shall be determined based upon the same standards which applied to the underlying administrative decision. Any appeal that is taken pursuant to this Division must be taken not later than fourteen (14) days from the date that the administrative decision was made; and, except for administrative decisions which are not focused upon a specific parcel of real property (are

general in nature), may be filed only by persons who possess a legal or equitable interest in the specific real property which is the subject of the decision, or who own or reside within real property any part of which is located within eight hundred (800) feet of the specific real property which is the subject of the decision.

- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Not applicable.
- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Applicable.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 177, 2002 §4, 12/17/02; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §23, 7/1/14; [Ord. No. 065, 2015 §1, 7/7/15](#))

DIVISION 2.12 - ANNEXATION AND DISCONNECTION OF LAND

2.12.1 - Compliance with state law

Annexation of lands to the City shall be in accordance with the laws of the state in effect from time to time.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.12.2 - Petitions for Annexation and Annexation Plats

In addition to all state statutory filing and procedural requirements, all petitions for annexation and annexation plats shall be submitted to the City Clerk, with a copy, and application fee, to the Director. The City Clerk shall schedule the petitions for a meeting of the City Council held at least fifteen (15) days after the date the City Clerk receives the petition and plat.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §10, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 087, 2002 §2, 6/4/02)

2.12.3 - Hearing and Report by Planning and Zoning Board

The Planning and Zoning Board shall hold a hearing on the matter of such annexation and shall make a report and recommendation to the City Council. Such report shall include a recommendation on the proper zoning for the lands if the City Council annexes such lands into the City.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.12.4 - Annexation of Uses Not Legally Permitted

Except as provided below, any use that exists on a separately owned parcel outside the City and that is not legally permitted by the county must cease and be discontinued before the City Council adopts, on second reading, an annexation ordinance annexing any such property except as provided herein. In the event that a property containing a use that is not legal pursuant to county regulations is proposed to be annexed into the City and placed into a zone district wherein such use is a permitted use, said use must be reviewed and processed as set forth in Article 4 (i.e., Type 1 review or Type 2 review) for the zone district in which the land is proposed to be located, and shall comply with the applicable standards contained in Articles 3 and 4. A development application for such review must be filed with the City within sixty (60) days following the effective date of annexation. Such use shall be temporarily permitted for a

period not to exceed six (6) months following the date of second reading of the annexation ordinance. In the event that the development application is not approved within said six-month period, then the use shall be discontinued within thirty (30) days following the date of the decision of denial or expiration of said six-month period, whichever first occurs, except that the Director may grant one (1) extension of the foregoing six-month requirement, which extension may not exceed three (3) months in length. In the event that the development application is approved, then such use shall be brought into full compliance with this Land Use Code and the decision made thereunder by the decision maker within sixty (60) days following the date of final plan approval.

In the event that a use which is not permitted by the county exists on any property that is included in an enclave annexation consisting of more than one (1) separately owned parcel, the above-described development process shall apply only if such property is placed in a zone district wherein such use is a permitted use. If a property which contains a use that is not permitted by the county is included in such multi-parcel enclave annexation, and such property is placed in a zone district that does not allow the use within the City, such illegal use must be discontinued within: (A) two (2) years from the effective date of annexation; (B) if such illegal use is the subject of a county-initiated zoning or nuisance enforcement action, then within the time established by the court as a result of such enforcement action; or (C) if such illegal use is the subject of a zoning or nuisance complaint filed with the county and determined by the Director to be bona fide (but which has not become the subject of an enforcement action under (B) above or, if it has become the subject of an enforcement action, such action has been dismissed by the court for lack of county jurisdiction because the property has been annexed into the City), then ninety (90) days from the effective date of annexation, whichever comes first. With respect to the time limit established in (C) above, the Director may extend said time for an additional duration not to exceed one hundred eighty (180) days if necessary to prevent or mitigate undue hardship or manifest injustice.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 228, 1998 §12, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 091, 2004 §5, 6/15/04; Ord. No. 070, 2005 §4, 7/5/05; Ord. No. 108, 2005 10/4/05; Ord. No. 066, 2009 §3, 7/7/09; Ord. No. 068, 2010 §4, 7/6/10)

2.12.5 - Effective Date of Annexation

An annexation shall take effect upon the last to occur of the following events:

- (1) the tenth (10th) day following passage on second reading of the annexation ordinance (except for emergency ordinances); and
- (2) the filing for recording of three (3) certified copies of the annexation ordinance and map of the area annexed, containing a legal description of such area, with the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder.

(Ord. No. 177, 2002 §5, 12/17/02)

2.12.6 - Application for Disconnection, Enactment, Filing

When the owner of a tract of land within and adjacent to the boundary of the City desires to have said tract disconnected from the City, such owner may apply to the City Council for the enactment of an ordinance disconnecting such tract of land from the City. On receipt of such application, it is the duty of the City Council to give due consideration to such application, and, if the City Council is of the opinion that the best interests of the City will not be prejudiced by the disconnection of such tract, it shall enact an ordinance effecting such disconnection. If such an ordinance is enacted, it shall be immediately effective upon filing with the county Clerk and Recorder to accomplish the disconnection, and two (2) certified copies thereof shall also be filed with the county Clerk and Recorder. The county Clerk and Recorder shall file one (1) certified copy with the Division of Local Government in the Department of Local Affairs,

as provided by Section 24-32-109, C.R.S., and the other copy shall be filed with the Department of Revenue, as provided by Section 31-12-113(2)(a.5), C.R.S.

(Ord. No. 129, 2008 §1, 10/14/08)

DIVISION 2.13 - VESTED RIGHTS AND TAKINGS DETERMINATIONS

2.13.1 - Purpose

The purpose of this division is to provide a procedure for relief, where appropriate, to persons who claim that the application of this code has interfered with their vested rights to develop, or who claim that their property has been taken by reason of the application of this code.

The provisions and procedures of this Division shall be followed to conclusion prior to seeking relief from the courts based upon any claim of vested rights, or any alleged denial of economically beneficial use of land, any alleged lack of reasonable nexus of a condition imposed by the City to potential impacts of development, any lack of rough proportionality of a condition imposed by the City to potential impacts of development, any deprivation of due process which causes a taking, or any other taking of real property.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §24, 7/1/14)

2.13.2 - Administrative Process/Hearing Officer

There is hereby established the following Vested Rights Determination and Takings Determination Procedures for the purpose of identifying certain parcels of real property in the City that should be made exempt, or partially exempt, from the application of any portion of this Code. An owner or developer of real property in the City who claims such an exemption on the basis of development rights that have vested under the criteria contained in Section 2.13.10 may seek a Vested Rights Determination in accordance with the procedures described in this Division. Furthermore, an owner or developer of real property in the City who claims that such property has been taken without just compensation or who claims a deprivation of due process may seek a Takings Determination in accordance with the procedures described in this Division. With regard to a Takings Determination, the owner or developer may assert any legally recognized takings claim, including, but not limited to, a claim that he or she has been deprived of "all economically beneficial use" of his or her property, that a condition imposed by the City does not have a "reasonable nexus" to the potential impacts of his or her development, that such a condition is not "roughly proportional" to the potential impacts of his or her development, or that actions taken by the City under this Code have resulted in a deprivation of due process. Such persons will be provided an opportunity for a public hearing, the right to present and rebut evidence, a formal record and an impartial Hearing Officer in accordance with the following procedures. Such Hearing Officer shall be selected and appointed by the City Manager and shall be an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Colorado, with experience in land use matters. Subject to the procedures hereinafter provided, the Hearing Officer shall issue formal findings of fact, conclusions of law and a Vested Rights Determination and/or Takings Determination, depending on the nature of the claim asserted by the applicant. The claims shall be reviewed according to the following procedure:

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §25, 7/1/14)

2.13.3 - Application

An Application for vested rights determination or takings determination shall be submitted to the Director in the form established by the director. An application fee in the amount of two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500.00) per application (i.e., \$2,500.00 for vested rights, \$2,500.00 for takings, whichever is applied for) shall accompany and be part of the application. the application shall, at a minimum, include:

- (A) the name, address and telephone number of the property owner and authorized applicant if other than the owner;
- (B) the street address, legal description and acreage of the property; and
- (C) for Vested Rights Determinations, all factual information and knowledge reasonably available to the owner and applicant to address the criteria established in Section 2.13.10.
- (D) for Takings Determination, all factual information and knowledge reasonably available to the owner and applicant to address the criteria established in Section 2.13.11, including, without limitation, the following:
 - (1) documentation of the date of purchase and the purchase price of such property, and any and all offers to purchase such property made by any person within the last three (3) years;
 - (2) a description of the physical features present on such property, the present use of such property, the use of such property at the time it was purchased, the use of such property on the day prior to the time of the adoption of this Code, the uses permitted on such property at the time of application pursuant to this Section, and a detailed description of the regulations which are alleged to result in an elimination of economically beneficial use of the land;
 - (3) evidence of any investments made by the owner to improve such property, the date the improvements were made, and the costs of the improvements;
 - (4) all appraisals, studies and any other supporting evidence related to such property;
 - (5) any actions taken by the City related to such property;
 - (6) a description of the use which the owner believes represents the minimum legally required economically beneficial use of such property, and all documentation, studies and other supporting evidence thereof.

The application fee shall be applied to all out-of-pocket expenses actually incurred by the City in connection with the hearing process, including without limitation fees for, and expenses incurred by, the Hearing Officer; costs of reporting and transcribing the proceedings before the Hearing Officer; and costs of producing of exhibits. The application fee shall not be applied to any in-house costs incurred by the City, such as compensation for city staff time. Any portion of the application fee not used by the City to pay the costs referred to above shall forthwith be returned to the applicant upon completion of the hearing and appeal process.

- (E) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the City Council may, by ordinance, waive the imposition of any fee imposed by the provisions of this Chapter for an affordable housing project if the City Council, in its sole discretion, determines that:
 - (1) the affordable housing project is intended to house homeless or disabled persons, as such terms are defined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, or households with an annual income that does not exceed thirty (30) percent of the area median income for the applicable household size in the Fort Collins-Loveland metropolitan statistical area, as published by the Department of Housing and Urban Development; and
 - (2) the proposed waiver, if approved by the City Council, will not jeopardize the financial interests of the City or the timely construction of the capital improvements to be funded by the fees for which a waiver is sought.

Any waiver of fees hereunder must be applied for in accordance with City application requirements prior to the City's issuance of any certificates of occupancy for the project that is the subject of the waiver request.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. [037, 2013](#) §9, 3/19/13; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §26, 7/1/14; Ord. No. [148, 2017](#), §7, 11/21/17; Ord. No. [063, 2018](#), §5, 6/5/18)

2.13.4 - Determination of Completeness

Within five (5) working days after receipt of an Application for Vested Rights or Takings Determination, the Director shall determine whether the application submitted is complete. If he or she determines that the application is not complete, the Director shall notify the applicant in writing of the deficiencies. The Director shall take no further steps to process the application until the deficiencies have been remedied.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.13.5 - Review and Determination or Recommendation by Director and City Attorney

After receipt of a completed Application for Vested Rights Determination or Takings Determination, the Director and the City Attorney shall review and evaluate the application in light of all of the criteria in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable. Within twenty (20) days of such receipt and based on the review and evaluation, the Director and the City Attorney shall prepare a written recommendation to the Hearing Officer that the application should be denied, granted or granted with conditions by the Hearing Officer. Such recommendations shall include findings of fact for each of the criteria established in Section 2.13.10 or 2.13.11, whichever is applicable, to the extent that the information is presented or obtained or inclusion is feasible or applicable.

If the Director and the City Attorney agree, based on the review and evaluation, that the Application for Determination clearly should be granted or granted with conditions, then they may enter into a written Stipulated Determination with the applicant, in lieu of the written recommendation to the Hearing Officer and the provisions in Sections 2.13.6, 2.13.7, and 2.13.8. Any such Stipulated Determination shall be in writing, signed by the City Manager, the City Attorney and the applicant, and shall be approved by the City Council by resolution at its next regularly-scheduled meeting which is at least fourteen (14) days from the date such Stipulated Determination is signed. Said Stipulated Determination shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law based on the criteria established in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable, and the determination granting or granting with conditions, in whole or in part, the application. In the event that a proposed Stipulated Determination is rejected by the City Council, it shall be referred to the Hearing Officer for a hearing and Determination in accordance with the procedures described in Sections 2.13.6 through 2.13.9 below.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.13.6 - Review and Determination by Hearing Officer

No later than thirty (30) days after receipt by the Hearing Officer of the Application for Determination and the written recommendation of the Director and the City Attorney, the Hearing Officer shall hold a public hearing on the application. Written notice of the hearing shall be mailed by the City to the applicant at least fourteen (14) days prior to the scheduled hearing. At the hearing, the Hearing Officer shall take evidence and sworn testimony in regard to the criteria set forth in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable, and shall follow such rules of procedure as may be established by the Director. The parties before the Hearing Officer shall include the City and the applicant. Testimony shall be limited to the matters directly relating to the standards set forth in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable. The City Attorney shall represent the City, shall attend the public hearing and shall offer such evidence as is relevant to the proceedings. The other parties to the proceedings, or their authorized agents, may offer such evidence at the public hearing as is relevant to the proceedings and criteria. The order of presentation before the Hearing Officer at the public hearing shall be as follows: (1) the City's summary of the application, written recommendation, witnesses and other evidence; (2) the applicant's witnesses and evidence; and (3) city rebuttal, if any.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.13.7 - Issuance of Determination by Hearing Officer

Within thirty (30) working days after the completion of the public hearing under Section 2.13.6, the Hearing Officer shall consider the Application for Determination, the recommendation of the Director and the City Attorney, and the evidence and testimony presented at the public hearing, in light of all of the criteria set forth in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable, and shall deny, grant, grant with conditions, or grant in part and deny in part, the Application for Determination for the property or properties at issue. The Determination shall be in writing and shall include findings of fact for each of the applicable criteria established in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable, conclusions of law for each of such criteria, and a determination denying, granting, or granting with conditions, in whole or in part, the vested rights.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.13.8 - Appeal to the City Council

Within twenty (20) days after issuance of the Hearing Officer's written Determination, the City Attorney, the Director, the applicant, its authorized attorney or agent, or any resident of the City who appeared at the public hearing before the Hearing Officer may appeal the Determination of the Hearing Officer to the City Council by filing a written notice of appeal with the City Clerk. A fee of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) shall be paid for the application and processing of any such appeal except an appeal filed by the City Attorney or the Director. The appeal shall be determined by the City Council at a hearing based solely upon the record of the proceedings before the Hearing Officer. The City Council shall adopt the Hearing Officer's Determination, with or without modifications or conditions, or reject the Hearing Officer's Determination. Such appeal shall be based upon the criteria established in Section 2.13.10 or Section 2.13.11, whichever is applicable.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.13.9 - Waiver of Time Limits

Any time limit specified in the Determination Procedure may be waived upon receipt by the City Clerk of a written stipulation requesting such waiver and signed by the applicant and the Director.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.13.10 - Criteria for Vested Rights

(A) This Section is intended to strictly adhere to and implement existing case law and statutory law controlling in the State of Colorado as they relate to the doctrine of vested rights and equitable estoppel as applied to a home rule municipality exercising its authority and powers in land use planning, zoning, the provisions of adequate public facilities concurrent with development (APF), subdivision, site development, land development regulations and related matters addressed in this Code. It is the express intent of the City to require application of the provisions of this Division 2.13 to as much development and property in the City as is legally possible without violating the legally vested rights of an owner/developer under case law or statutory law. The criteria herein provided shall be considered in rendering a Vested Rights Determination hereunder. It is intended that each case be decided on a case-by-case factual analysis. An applicant shall be entitled to a positive Vested Rights Determination only if such applicant demonstrates, by clear and convincing evidence, entitlement to complete his or her development without regard to the otherwise applicable provisions of this Code by reason of: (A) the provisions of Title 24, Article 68, C.R.S.; (B) Section 2.2.11(D) of this Code; or (C) the existence of all three (3) of the following requirements:

- (1) some authorized act of the City;

- (2) reasonable good faith reliance upon such act by the applicant; and
 - (3) such a substantial change in position or expenditure by the applicant that it would be highly inequitable or unjust to destroy the rights acquired.
- (B) In evaluating whether an applicant (property owner, developer or the successor in interest of either) has met the requirements as set forth in paragraph (A)(3) above, the Hearing Officer shall consider and give weight to the following factual matters:
- (1) the total investment made in the project, including all costs incurred subsequent to the act of the City relied upon by the applicant, which costs may include, without limitation, the costs of land acquisition, architectural and engineering fees and the costs of on-site and off-site infrastructure improvements to service the project;
 - (2) any dedication of property made to public entities in accordance with the approved overall development plan for the project or the approved project development plan or plat for the project;
 - (3) whether infrastructure improvements which have been installed have been sized to accommodate uses approved in the approved overall development plan or the approved project development plan or plat for the project;
 - (4) the acreage of the approved overall development plan or the approved project development plan or plat for the project and the number of phases within the overall development plan or the approved project development plan or plat and their respective acreages which have received final approval;
 - (5) whether the completion of the project has been timely and diligently pursued; and
 - (6) the effect of the applicant's existing development loans on the application of this Land Use Code to the project.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §8, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 066, 2009 §4, 7/7/09; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §27, 7/1/14)

2.13.11 - Criteria for Takings

This Section is intended to strictly adhere to and implement existing case law and statutory law controlling in the State of Colorado as they relate to the takings doctrine as applied to a home rule municipality exercising its authority and powers in land use planning, zoning, the provision of adequate public facilities concurrent with development (APF), subdivision, site development, land development regulations and related matters addressed in this Land Use Code. It is the express intent of the City to require application of the provisions of this Land Use Code to as much development and property in the City as is legally possible without violating takings law.

The criteria herein provided shall be considered in rendering a Takings Determination hereunder. It is intended that each case be decided on a case-by-case factual analysis. While the criteria for takings established in this Section are intended to provide fair standards in a pre-litigation forum and to reflect the current state of the law for Colorado, the City's adoption or use of these criteria for takings shall not in any way be deemed an admission, concession or statement by the City that such criteria apply or are controlling in a court of law, and the City hereby unconditionally reserves all defenses and claims which would otherwise be available to it under the law. For example, but without limitation, the City does not concede for litigation purposes that the "reasonable nexus/rough proportionality" doctrines apply to monetary exactions or to legislative acts, although the City chooses to apply such criteria to the Takings Determination process described herein.

- (A) ***Economically Beneficial Use*** . With regard to the takings doctrine of "economically beneficial use," an applicant shall be entitled to the minimum increase in use, density, intensity or other possible concessions from this Land Use Code necessary to permit an economically beneficial

use of the land or a use that is determined to be required by law. The highest use, or even an average or generally reasonable expectation, is not required or intended as the appropriate remedy.

The following factors shall be used to determine whether an economically beneficial use of such property is available:

- (1) *Actual Condition of Land* . The actual condition of the land shall be considered. The reality of limited development potential, given the natural condition of the land, shall not be attributed to the regulations applied to the land. If the land is such that it cannot safely or properly accommodate development with normal grading and clearing practices, this fact shall lower the intensity of use that is considered a minimum economically beneficial use.
 - (2) *Common Land Use* . A land use commonly found in the City, although it may not involve further development of the land, is considered an economically beneficial use. Furthermore, a land use that is considered to be the lowest intensity in the City, but which use still provides for residence within the City, is considered an economically beneficial use.
 - (3) *No Government Subsidy* . A minimum economically beneficial use of the land is one that does not have any governmental subsidy attached to the long-term safe occupation or use of the land. If such a subsidy is needed, then that must be reflected by lowering the use intensity that is considered a minimum economically beneficial use on a market valuation basis, or by deducting the cost of such a subsidy from the otherwise established minimum economically beneficial use.
 - (4) *Potential for Damages* . The potential for damages to either residents or property shall be assessed in determining economically beneficial use. Such damage potential shall be calculated and must be reflected by deducting the damage potential from the otherwise established minimum economically beneficial use, or otherwise taking account of such damage.
 - (5) *No Investment-Backed Expectations*. Speculative expectations of land value and development potential shall not be considered. Reasonable development expectations backed by investments shall not be considered, unless required by the current state of the law.
 - (6) *Conservative Financial Investment*. The opportunity to make a return on the use of the land equivalent to that which would have been received from a conservative financial investment shall be indicative of an economically beneficial use. However, general downturns in the real estate market or the economy shall not be attributed to the regulations applied to the land.
 - (7) *No Diminution in Value*. The market value of the land, as established by the comparable sales approach, one (1) day prior to the adoption of this Land Use Code, shall be compared to the market value of the land, as established by the comparable sales approach, with the regulations as applied. Market value of the land one (1) day prior to the adoption of this Land Use Code shall constitute its highest and best use on the day prior to the adoption of this Land Use Code or the date of the purchase of the land by the applicant, whichever is later. All appraisals or other land value information, if any, shall be proposed by qualified licensed appraisers, and shall follow the best professional practices established by the profession. Mere diminution in market value shall not be sufficient to support a determination of denial of economically beneficial use.
 - (8) *Current State of Law*. The current state of law established by the United States Supreme Court, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, the Colorado Supreme Court and other controlling Colorado courts, and controlling statutory law, shall be considered.
- (B) **Reasonable Nexus/Rough Proportionality**. With regard to the takings doctrines of "reasonable nexus" and "rough proportionality," an applicant shall be entitled to the minimum

revision of any required dedication or reduction of its property, or the minimum revision of any payment of money to ensure "rough proportionality," or the reevaluation of the offending condition or action, including invalidation if necessary, to ensure that the "reasonable nexus" and "rough proportionality" doctrines are satisfied.

- (1) In evaluating an applicant's "reasonable nexus/rough proportionality" takings claim, a determination shall first be made as to whether a "reasonable nexus" exists between a "legitimate state interest" and the condition imposed by the City.
- (2) The second part of the "reasonable nexus/rough proportionality" takings analysis requires that a determination then be made as to whether the exaction or condition is reasonably related to the needs created by the development or the impacts of such development.
- (3) Finally, a determination shall be made as to whether the degree of the exaction demanded by the City's condition is reasonably related to the projected impacts of the applicant's proposed development. No precise mathematical calculation is required, but the City must make some sort of individualized determination that the required exaction or condition is related both in nature and extent to the impact of the proposed development.
- (4) The current state of law established by the United States Supreme Court, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, the Colorado Supreme Court and other controlling Colorado courts, and controlling statutory law, shall be considered in making each of these determinations.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

DIVISION 2.14 - ENFORCEMENT

2.14.1 - Methods of Enforcement

The provisions of this Land Use Code shall be enforced by the following methods:

- (A) requirement of a Building Permit;
- (B) requirement of a certificate of occupancy;
- (C) inspection and ordering removal of violations;
- (D) criminal or civil proceedings; and
- (E) injunction or abatement proceedings.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 123, 2005 §1, 11/15/05)

2.14.2 - Permits and Certificates of Occupancy

- (A) No building shall be erected, moved or structurally altered unless a Building Permit has been issued by the Building and Zoning Director. All permits shall be issued in conformance with the provisions of this Land Use Code and shall expire six (6) months after the date that such Building Permit was issued unless properly acted upon in accordance with the provisions of the International Building Code, as amended. One (1) six-month extension may be granted by the Building and Zoning Director.
- (B) No land or building shall be changed in use, nor shall any new structure, building or land be occupied or used, unless the owner (or the owner's contractor, if any) shall have obtained a certificate of occupancy from the Building and Zoning Director. If the use is in conformance with the provisions of this Land Use Code, a certificate of occupancy shall be issued within three (3) days of the time of notification that the building is completed and ready for occupancy. A copy of all

certificates of occupancy shall be filed by the Director and shall be available for examination by any person with either proprietary or tenancy interest in the property or building.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 177, 2002 §6, 12/17/02; Ord. No. 049, 2008 §2, 5/20/08)

2.14.3 - Inspection

The City manager is hereby empowered to cause any building, other structure or tract of land to be inspected and examined and to order in writing the remedying of any condition found to exist therein or thereat in violation of any provision of this land use code. after any such order has been served, no work shall proceed on any building, other structure or tract of land covered by such order, except to correct such violation or comply with the order.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.14.4 - Criminal and Civil Liability; Penalties

- (A) Except as otherwise specified in this Land Use Code, any person (including, without limitation, the developer of, owner of, or any person possessing, occupying or trespassing upon, any property which is subject to this Land Use Code, or any agent, lessee, employee, representative, successor or assign thereof) who violates this Land Use Code or who fails to comply with any of its requirements or who fails to comply with any orders made thereunder, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be subject to the penalties provided in § 1-15 of the City Code. Each day that such a violation occurs shall constitute a separate offense. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the City from taking such other lawful action as is necessary to prevent or remedy any violations of this Land Use Code.
- (B) An owner, property manager or occupant commits a civil infraction by violating any provision of Section 3.8.16 of this Land Use Code. Each day during which the limitation on the number of occupants is exceeded shall constitute a separate violation. A finding that such civil infraction has occurred shall subject the offender(s) to the penalty provisions of § 1-15(f) of the Code of the City of Fort Collins and any or all of the following actions:
 - (1) the imposition of a civil penalty of not less than five hundred dollars (\$500.00) and not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for each violation;
 - (2) an order to comply with any conditions reasonably calculated to ensure compliance with the provisions of Section 3.8.16 of this Land Use Code or with the terms and conditions of any permit or certificate granted by the city;
 - (3) an injunction or abatement order; and/or
 - (4) denial, suspension or revocation of any city permit or certificate relating to the dwelling unit.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98; Ord. 123, 2005 §2, 11/15/05; Ord. No. 085, 2008 §9, 7/15/08)

2.14.5 - Liability of City and Injunction

- (A) In addition to any of the foregoing remedies, the City Attorney acting on behalf of the City Council may maintain an action for an injunction to restrain any violation of this Land Use Code.
- (B) This Land Use Code shall not be construed to hold the city responsible for any damage to persons or property by reason of the inspection or reinspection authorized herein or failure to inspect or reinspect or by reason of issuing a Building Permit as herein provided, or by reason of pursuing or failing to pursue an action for injunctive relief as authorized in (A), above.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

2.14.6 - Enforcement of the Requirements and Conditions of Development Approval

The occurrence of either of the following events may subject the developer of, owner of, or any person possessing, occupying or trespassing upon, any property which is subject to this Land Use Code, or any agent, lessee, employee, representative, successor or assign thereof to the enforcement remedies contained in this Division:

- (A) failure to comply with any terms, conditions or limitations contained on the site plan, landscape plan, building elevations or other approved documents pertaining to a development which has received final approval from the city, whether under the provisions of this Land Use Code or under the provisions of prior law.
- (B) failure to comply with any conditions of record imposed by the appropriate decision maker upon its review of the site specific development plan for the development, whether under the provisions of this Land Use Code or under the provisions of prior law.

(Ord. No. 177, 1998 §1, 10/20/98)

DIVISION 2.15 - PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY REVIEW PROCEDURE*[1](#)

- (A) **Purpose** . To provide an avenue for property owners with larger and more complex development projects to achieve flexibility in site design in return for significant public benefits not available through traditional development procedures.
- (B) **Applicability** . Application for approval of a PUD Overlay is available to properties of 50 acres or greater in size.
- (C) **Process** .
 - (1) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review/Preliminary Design Review): Applicable.
 - (2) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Applicable to any proposed PUD Overlay subject to Planning and Zoning Board or City Council review. If a neighborhood meeting is required at the conceptual planning stage pursuant to Section 2.2.2, a second neighborhood meeting shall be required after the PUD Overlay application has been submitted and the first round of staff review completed.
 - (3) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents as described in the development application submittal master list for a PUD Overlay shall be submitted. Notwithstanding, the Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
 - (4) **Step 4** (Review of Application): Applicable.
 - (5) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
 - (6) **Step 6** (Notice): Applicable.
 - (7) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): Applicable as follows:
 - a. Planning and Zoning Board review (Type 2 review) applies to PUD Overlay applications between 50 and 640 acres;
 - b. City Council is the decision maker for PUD Overlay applications greater than 640 acres after receiving a Planning and Zoning Board recommendation. City Council approval of a PUD Overlay shall be by ordinance.

Step 7(B) through (G) (Conduct of a Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceeding, Recording of Decision): Applicable.

- (8) **Step 8 (Standards)** : Applicable. Except as modified pursuant to Sections 4.29 (E) and (G), a PUD Master Plan shall be consistent with all applicable General Development Standards (Article 3) and District Standards (Article 4) including Division 4.29.
- (9) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (10) **Step 10** (Amendments): Applicable.
- (11) **Step 11** (Lapse): Applicable.
- (12) **Step 12 (Appeals)** : Applicable. A Planning and Zoning Board decision on a PUD Overlay between 50 and 640 acres is appealable to City Council pursuant to Section 2.2.12(A). Appeals of Project Development Plans within PUD Overlays are subject to the limitations of Section 4.29(J).

(Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §10, 7/17/18)

Footnotes:

--- () ---

Editor's note— *Ord. No. [091, 2018](#), §10, adopted July 17, 2018, repealed Div. 2.15 in its entirety and reenacted a new Div. 2.15 as set out herein. Former Div. 2.15 pertained to similar subject matter and derived from Ord. No. [024, 2013](#) §4, adopted February 26, 2013; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §28, adopted July 1, 2014; and Ord. No. [116, 2014](#) §1, September 16, 2014.

2.15.2 - Complete Development Plan

- (A) **Purpose** . The purpose and applicability of a complete development plan is contained in subsection 2.1.3(D).
- (B) **Process** . A complete development plan may only be submitted after approval of a detailed development plan for the subject property or concurrently with a detailed development plan for the subject property. For consolidated applications for a detailed development plan and a complete development plan, the applicant shall follow both the detailed development plan and complete development plan review procedures.

A complete development plan shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (1) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (2) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.
- (3) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for complete development plans as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- (4) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.

- (5) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Not applicable.
- (6) **Step 6** (Notice): Not applicable.
- (7) **Step 7(A)—(C)** (Decision Maker, Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceeding at Public Hearing): Not applicable, and in substitution therefor, the Director is hereby authorized to, and shall, review, consider and approve, approve with conditions or deny the development application for a complete development plan based on its consistency with a valid detailed development plan for the subject property and its compliance with all of the standards established in Step 8 of this Section. The Director may, but is not obligated to, confer with the applicant or other City staff to obtain clarification or explanation, gain understanding, suggest revisions, or otherwise discuss or learn about the development proposal and a complete development plan, all for the purpose of ensuring a fully consistent and compliant complete development plan.
 - Step 7(D)** (Decision and Findings): Not applicable, except that Step 7(D)(3) shall apply.
 - Step 7(E)** (Notification to Applicant): Applicable.
 - Step 7(F)** (Record of Proceedings): Not applicable, except that Step 7(F)(2) shall apply.
 - Step 7(G)** (Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable.
- (8) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable. A complete development plan shall comply with Division 4.29 and be consistent with the detailed development plan.
- (9) **Step 9** (Conditions of approval): Applicable.
- (10) **Step 10** (Amendments): Applicable.
- (11) **Step 11** (Lapse): Applicable. Except that the term "complete development plan" is referred to as "final plan."
- (12) **Step 12** (Appeals): Not applicable. The Director's decision shall be final and no appeal of the Director's decision will be allowed; however, the Director may refer the decision to the Planning and Zoning Board when the Director is in doubt as to the compliance and consistency of the complete development plan with the approved detailed development plan. If the Director refers the decision to the Planning and Zoning Board, the decision of the Planning and Zoning Board shall be final and shall not be appealable to the City Council, notwithstanding any provision of the City Code to the contrary.

(Ord. No. [024, 2013](#) §4, 2/26/13; Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §29, 7/1/14)

DIVISION 2.16 - SITE PLAN ADVISORY REVIEW

2.16.1 - Purpose and applicability

The purpose and applicability of a Site Plan Advisory Review is contained in Section 2.1.3(E).

(Ord. No. [086, 2014](#) §30, 7/1/14)

2.16.2 - Site Plan Advisory Review Procedures

A Site Plan Advisory Review shall be processed according to, in compliance with and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps 1 through 12 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive) as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Applicable.

- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Applicable.
- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application Submittal): All items or documents required for Site Plan Advisory Review as described in the development application submittal master list shall be submitted. The Director may waive or modify the foregoing submittal requirements if, given the facts and circumstances of the specific application, a particular requirement would either be irrelevant, immaterial, redundant or otherwise unnecessary for the full and complete review of the application.
- Prior to acquisition of land or contracting for the purchase of a facility, a public school or charter school shall advise the Planning and Zoning Board in writing. The Planning and Zoning Board shall have ten (10) days in which to request submittal of a site development plan.
- Prior to constructing or authorizing any other public building or structure, a site development plan identifying the location, character and extent shall be submitted to the Planning and Zoning Board.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Application): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Applicable.
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice): Applicable.
- (G) **Step 7(A)** (Decision Maker): Not applicable, and in substitution thereof, the Planning and Zoning Board shall consider a Site Plan Advisory Review and approve or disapprove the application in a public hearing held within sixty (60) days after receipt of the application under Section 31-23-209, C.R.S. In the case of a public or charter school application under Section 22-32-124, C.R.S., the Planning and Zoning Board shall provide review comments at a public hearing held within thirty (30) days (or such later time as may be agreed to in writing by the applicant) after receipt of the application.
- Step 7(B)-(G)** (Conduct of Public Hearing, Order of Proceedings at Public Hearing, Decision and Findings, Notification to Applicant, Record of Proceedings, Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Not applicable, and in substitution thereof, an application for a Site Plan Advisory Review shall comply with the following criteria:
- (1) The site location for the proposed use shall be consistent with the land use designation described by the City Structure Plan Map, which is an element of the City's Comprehensive Plan.
 - (2) The site development plan shall conform to architectural, landscape and other design standards and guidelines adopted by the applicant's governing body. Absent adopted design standards and guidelines, the design character of the site development plan shall be consistent with the stated purpose of the respective land use designation as set forth in the City's Comprehensive Plan.
 - (3) The site development plan shall identify the level of functional and visual impacts to public rights-of-way, facilities and abutting private land caused by the development, including, but not limited to, streets, sidewalks, utilities, lighting, screening and noise, and shall mitigate such impacts to the extent reasonably feasible.
- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Not applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Not applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Not applicable.
- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Not applicable, and in substitution thereof, a disapproved Site Plan Advisory Review made under Section 31-23-209, C.R.S., may be overruled by the governing board of the public entity by a vote of not less than two-thirds (2/3) of its entire membership.

Further, with respect to a review made under Section 22-32-124, C.R.S., the Planning and Zoning Board may request a hearing before the applicable board of education.

(Ord. No. [086, 2014 §30, 7/1/14](#); [Ord. No. 175, 2014 § 7, 12/16/14](#))

DIVISION 2.17 - CITY PROJECTS

Development projects for which the City is the applicant shall be processed in the manner described in this Land Use Code, as applicable, but shall be subject to review by the Planning and Zoning Board in all instances, despite the fact that certain uses would otherwise have been subject to administrative review.

([Ord. No. 082, 2015 §2, 7/21/15](#))

DIVISION 2.18 - BASIC DEVELOPMENT REVIEW

2.18.1 - Purpose and Applicability

The purpose of the Basic Development Review process is to establish a process for approval of a site specific development plan where the decision maker is the Director. There is no public hearing and the Basic Development Review process shall not be construed to be the same as an Administrative (Type 1) review process for which the Director, or his designee, conducts a public hearing. The Basic Development Review shall be the review process for:

- (A) Those uses listed as such in each of the Article Four Zone Districts.
- (B) Existing Limited Permitted Uses (Section 1.6.5).
- (C) Expansions and Enlargements of Existing Buildings (Sections 3.8.20 and 3.8.25).
- (D) Building Permit Applications (Division 2.7).
- (E) Minor Subdivisions (Section 2.18.2).

([Ord. No. 155, 2015 §5, 12/15/15](#))

2.18.2 - Minor Subdivisions

A Minor Subdivision is a plat or replat that does not create more than one (1) new lot. A minor subdivision shall not be permitted if the property is within a parcel, any part of which has been subdivided by a Minor Subdivision plat within the immediately preceding twelve (12) months. For an unplatted metes and bounds lot undergoing the Minor Subdivision process to create a platted lot with the same boundaries, Step 6 (Notice) of Section 2.18.3 is not applicable.

([Ord. No. 155, 2015 §5, 12/15/15](#))

2.18.3 - Basic Development Review and Minor Subdivision Review Procedures

An application for a Basic Development Review or Minor Subdivision shall be processed according to, in compliance with, and subject to the provisions contained in Division 2.1 and Steps (1) through (12) of the Common Development Review Procedures (Sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.12, inclusive), as follows:

- (A) **Step 1** (Conceptual Review): Not applicable.
- (B) **Step 2** (Neighborhood Meeting): Not applicable.

- (C) **Step 3** (Development Application): Applicable.
- (D) **Step 4** (Review of Applications): Applicable.
- (E) **Step 5** (Staff Report): Not applicable and in substitution thereof, a staff report shall be prepared in the case of an appeal of a final decision pursuant to Section 2.2.12 (Step 12).
- (F) **Step 6** (Notice):
 - Step 6(A)** (Mailed Notice): Applicable. Notice to be mailed to the owners of record of all real property within eight hundred (800) feet (exclusive of property rights-of-way, public facilities, parks or public open space) of the property lines of the parcel of land to be subdivided.
 - Step 6(B)** (Posted Notice): Applicable.
 - Step 6(C)** (Published Notice): Applicable.
 - Step 6(D)** (Supplemental Notice): Not Applicable.
 - Step 6(E)** Applicable.
- (G) **Step 7** (Public Hearing): Not Applicable.
 - Step 7(A)(1 and 2)** : (Decision maker): Not applicable and in substitution thereof, the Director shall be the decision maker and there shall be no public hearing.
 - Steps 7(B - C)** - Not Applicable.
 - Step 7(D)(1 and 2)** : (Decision and Findings): Not applicable and in substitution thereof, after consideration of the development application, the Director shall issue a written decision to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the development application based on compliance with the standards referenced in Step 8 of the Common Development Review Procedures (Section 2.2.8). The written decision shall be mailed to the applicant and to any person who provided comments during the comment period and shall also be posted on the City's website at www.fcgov.com.
 - Step 7(D)(3)** : (Findings): Applicable
 - Step 7(E)** : (Notification to Applicant): Applicable.
 - Step 7(F)(1)** : (Recording of the Public Hearing): Not Applicable.
 - Step 7(F)(2)(a)** : (The Record): Not Applicable.
 - Step 7(F)(2)(b)** : (Minutes): Not applicable and in substitution thereof, the Director shall issue the decision in writing.
 - Step 7(F)(2)(c and d)** : (Verbatim Transcript and Videotape Recording): Not Applicable.
 - Step 7(G)** : (Recording of Decisions and Plats): Applicable for Minor Subdivisions only.
- (H) **Step 8** (Standards): Applicable.
- (I) **Step 9** (Conditions of Approval): Applicable.
- (J) **Step 10** (Amendments): Applicable.
- (K) **Step 11** (Lapse): Applicable
 - Step 11(A)** : (Application Submittals): Applicable
 - Step 11(B and C)** (Lapse): Not Applicable.

Step 11(D)(1—8) : (Final Plan and Plan and Other Site Specific Development Plan): Applicable.

Step 11(D)(9) : (Post denial re-submittal delay): Not Applicable.

Step 11(D)(10) : (Automatic repeal; waiver): Applicable

- (L) **Step 12** (Appeals): Applicable and in explanation thereof, appeals of the decision of the Director regarding approval, approval with conditions or denial of a Basic Development Review and Minor Subdivision shall be to the Planning and Zoning Board. Any such appeal shall be taken by filing a notice of appeal of the final decision of the Director within 14 days after the action that is the subject of the appeal. The appeal hearing with the Planning and Zoning Board shall be considered a new, or *de novo*, hearing. The decision of the Planning and Zoning Board on such appeals shall constitute a final decision appealable to City Council pursuant to Section 2.2.12 (Step 12).

([Ord. No. 155, 2015 §5, 12/15/15](#))

DIVISION 2.19 - REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROCESS

- (A) **Intent.** It is the policy of Fort Collins to provide reasonable accommodation for exemptions in the application of its zoning laws to rules, policies, and practices for the siting, development, and use of housing, as well as other related residential services and facilities, to persons with disabilities seeking fair access to housing. The purpose of this section is to provide a process for making a request for reasonable accommodation to individual persons with disabilities.
- (B) **Application.** Any person who requires reasonable accommodation, because of a disability, in the application of a zoning law that may be acting as a barrier to equal opportunity to housing opportunities, or any person or persons acting on behalf of or for the benefit of such a person, may request such accommodation. For purposes of this section, "disabled," "disability," and other related terms shall be defined as in the federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), the Fair Housing Act ("FHA"), or their successor laws. Requests for reasonable accommodation shall be made in the manner prescribed by Division 2.19(C).
- (C) **Required Information.**
- (1) The applicant shall provide the following information:
 - (a) Applicant's name, address, and telephone number;
 - (b) Address of the property for which the request is being made;
 - (c) The current actual use of the property;
 - (d) Confirmation that the subject individual or individuals are disabled under the Acts. Any information related to the subject individual or individuals' disability shall be kept confidential;
 - (e) The specific zoning code provision, regulation, or policy from which accommodation is being requested; and
 - (f) Why the reasonable accommodation is necessary for the subject individual or individuals with disabilities to have equal opportunity to use and enjoy the specific property.
 - (2) **Review With Other Land Use Applications.** If the project for which the request for reasonable accommodation is being made also requires some other development review, then the applicant shall file the information required by Division 2.19(C) together for concurrent review with any other application for development review approval. The application for reasonable accommodation will be decided prior to any concurrent development review application that is affected by the request for reasonable accommodation, including but not

limited to applications reviewed by the City Council, Planning and Zoning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals.

- (3) **Timing of Application.** An application for reasonable accommodation may be filed at any time prior to a final decision on a development application, including any applicable time for appeal.
- (4) **Effect of Application on Appeals.** *Notwithstanding any limitation found in §2-49 or §2-52 of the City Code, filing an application for reasonable accommodation will toll the time for filing an appeal regarding a development application, or hearing an appeal that has been filed, until a decision on the application for reasonable accommodation is rendered.*

(D) **Review Procedure.**

- (1) **Director.** Requests for reasonable accommodation shall be reviewed by the Director, or his/her designee.
- (2) **Interactive Meeting.** Upon either the request of the Director or the applicant, the Director or his or her designee shall hold an interactive meeting with the applicant to discuss the reasonable accommodation request in order to obtain additional information or to discuss what may constitute a reasonable accommodation for a particular application.
- (3) **Director Review.** The Director, or his or her designee, shall make a written determination within forty-five (45) days of receiving an application, or having an interactive meeting, whichever date comes later, and either grant, grant with modifications, or deny a request for reasonable accommodation in accordance with Division 2.19(E). Information related to the subject individual or individuals' disability shall be kept confidential and shall not be included in a public file.

(E) **Findings and Decision.**

- (1) **Findings.** The written decision to grant, grant with conditions or deny a request for reasonable accommodation shall be based on consideration of the following factors:
 - (a) Whether the property, which is the subject of the request, will be used by an individual disabled under the Acts;
 - (b) Whether the request for reasonable accommodation is necessary to make specific housing available to an individual with a disability under the Acts;
 - (c) Whether the requested reasonable accommodation would impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the City;
 - (d) Whether the requested reasonable accommodation would require a fundamental alteration in the nature of a land use code provision; and
 - (e) Any other applicable requirements of the FHA and ADA.
- (2) **Conditions of Approval.** In granting a request for reasonable accommodation, the reviewing authority may impose any conditions of approval deemed reasonable and necessary to ensure that the reasonable accommodation would comply with the findings required by Division 2.19(E)(1).
- (3) **Effect of Approval.** An approval, with or without conditions, of an application for reasonable accommodation will be treated as compliance with the Code section being accommodated but will not affect any concurrent review not related to the reasonable accommodation, except that the decision maker shall amend or modify any concurrent decision to incorporate the approved reasonable accommodation.
- (F) **Appeal of Determination.** The applicant may appeal a determination granting or denying a request for reasonable accommodation to the City Manager in accordance with Chapter 2, Article VI of the Code of the City of Fort Collins. No other review of a reasonable accommodation determination shall be allowed except as expressly provided within this Section.

(Ord. No. [146, 2017](#), §2, 11/21/17)

3.3.2 - Development Improvements

(A) **Approval of City Engineer.**

- (1) Before the Director certifies the acceptance of any final plat, the Director must be notified by the City Engineer that the required improvements have been designed according to the city's various design criteria and construction standards.
- (2) No improvements shall be made until all required plans, profiles and specifications, including reproducible Mylar prints for the same, have been submitted to and approved by the City Engineer.
- (3) As each portion of the improvements in a subdivision is completed, and after inspection and acceptance by the City Engineer, the amount of guaranty covering that phase of the development shall be released following the written request of the applicant to the Director.

(B) **Development Agreement.** At the time the plans, profiles and specifications required in this Division are approved, the applicant shall enter into an agreement providing for the installation of all improvements in the subdivision required by this Land Use Code. Such agreement shall establish and set forth the extent to which the City is to participate in the cost of constructing any public improvements, including, without limitation, collector or arterial streets. No final plan or plat or other site specific development plan shall be approved by the City or recorded until such agreement has been fully executed. Such agreement shall further provide that the applicant will fully account to the City for all costs incurred in the construction of any public improvement in which the City is participating, and the books and records of the applicant relating to such public improvement shall be open to the City at all reasonable times for the purpose of auditing or verifying such costs. Such agreement (and any amendments thereto) shall be recorded by the City with the Larimer County Clerk and Recorder with all recording costs to be paid to the City by the applicant.

(C) **Development Guarantee and Maintenance and Repair Guarantees.**

- (1) **Construction Security.** Prior to the issuance of a Development Construction Permit for a new development, the developer must provide to the City a guarantee in the form of a development bond, performance bond, letter of credit, cash, certificate of deposit or other city-approved means to guarantee the completion of all public improvements to be constructed as shown on the approved plans for the development (hereafter referred to as the "construction security"). The amount of the construction security shall be equal to the total cost of the developer's portion of the public improvements, as estimated by the developer and approved by the City Engineer. As progress is made on the construction of the new public infrastructure, the developer may request a reduction in the amount of construction security in proportion to the actual completion percentage of the installed infrastructure. However, draws upon such construction security shall not exceed the actual cost of completing a deficient development project or making any necessary repairs. Upon receipt of such a request, the City shall verify the completion percentage and permit the substitution of an approved construction security instrument in an amount equal to the cost of the developer's portion of the remaining public improvements.
- (2) **Maintenance/Repair Security.** The plat shall contain a two-year maintenance guarantee and a five-year repair guarantee covering all errors or omissions in the design and/or construction. Said guarantees shall run concurrently and shall commence upon the date of completion of the public improvements and acceptance by the City, as described in paragraph 2.2.3(C)(3) (Execution of Plats/Deeds; Signature Requirements). If a plat is not required or if the plat does not include the entire area being developed, then said maintenance and repair guarantees shall be established in a development agreement. Security for the maintenance guarantee and the repair guarantee (hereinafter referred to as the "maintenance/repair security") shall be in the

form of a bond, letter of credit, cash, certificate of deposit, an extension of the security as provided in paragraph (1) above or other city-approved means to secure said maintenance and repair. The amount of the maintenance/repair security during the maintenance guarantee period shall be based on a percentage of the cost of the public improvements. Said percentage shall be determined by the City Engineer based on the potential costs of repairs within the development and shall not exceed twenty-five (25) percent. At the conclusion of the two-year maintenance/repair period, representatives of the City and the developer shall jointly conduct an inspection of the development for the purpose of identifying any repairs or maintenance actions necessary before transfer of the maintenance responsibility from the developer to the City. Upon satisfactory completion of said repairs or maintenance actions, the City will assume the responsibility for maintaining the streets and other improvements which have been dedicated to the City.

- (3) *Maintenance/Repair Security Extension.* Whether maintenance/repair security must be provided by the developer for the remaining three (3) years of the repair guarantee period shall depend upon the condition of the streets and other public infrastructure within the development. The developer shall not be required to provide such additional maintenance/ repair security for streets or infrastructure that, upon inspection by the City Engineer, are found not to exhibit any evidence of deterioration or defect (including, without limitation, excessive cracking, settlements, deflections, rutting, potholes or other similar defects), other than normal wear and tear. However, if evidence of such deterioration or defect is exhibited, then the existing maintenance/repair security shall be required to be renewed, or a new security shall be required for the final three (3) years of the repair guarantee period. The amount of the maintenance/ repair security during the repair guarantee period shall be based on a percentage of the cost of the public improvements. Said percentage shall be determined by the City Engineer based on the potential costs of repairs within the development, shall not exceed twenty-five (25) percent, and may be adjusted if appropriate during the guarantee period.
- (4) *Affordable Housing Security Exemption.* Notwithstanding the security requirements contained in subparagraphs (1), (2) and (3) above, applications for the construction of affordable housing projects shall be totally or partially exempt from such security requirements according to the following criteria:
 - (a) The security authorized under this subsection (C) shall be entirely waived for development projects in which one hundred (100) percent of the dwelling units qualify as affordable housing units for sale or for rent.
 - (b) The security authorized under this subsection (C) shall be reduced in direct proportion to the percentage of affordable housing units for sale or for rent that are provided in the development project (within the authorized waiver range of ten [10] percent to one hundred [100] percent), in accordance with the following formula:
$$\text{number of affordable housing units} \div \text{total number of housing units} \times \text{total security required} = \text{amount of security waived}$$
 - (c) The security authorized under this subsection (C) shall not be reduced if less than ten (10) percent of the dwelling units within the project qualify as affordable housing units for sale or for rent.
 - (d) In order to determine whether a development project is eligible for a waiver or reduction of fees under this subparagraph (4), any applicant seeking such waiver or reduction must submit documentation evidencing the eligibility of the development project to the City Engineer, who may, upon review of such documentation, reduce the amount of said security in accordance with this subparagraph (4). Prior to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy for the development project, a final determination shall be made by the City Engineer as to whether the development project qualifies for a waiver or reduction of the security. In the event that the City Engineer determines that the development project does not so qualify, security shall be increased to the level required in the applicable

subparagraph (1), (2) or (3) above, and the security shall be deposited with the city prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

(D) **Required Improvements Prior to Issuance of Building Permit.** The following improvements shall be required prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, unless otherwise specified in the development agreement:

- (1) *Survey Monuments.* The applicant shall provide survey monuments as required by Articles 51 and 53, Title 38, C.R.S.
- (2) *Sanitary Sewers.* The applicant shall provide adequate lines and stubs to each lot as required by the current standards of the utility provider (if not the city) or current city design criteria and construction standards, whichever is applicable.
- (3) *Water Mains.* The applicant shall provide adequate mains and stubs to each lot as required by the current standards of the utility provider (if not the city) or current city design criteria and construction standards, whichever is applicable.
- (4) *Fire Hydrants.* The applicant shall provide sufficient fire hydrants as required according to the Fire Code.
- (5) *Stormwater Drainage.* The applicant shall provide stormwater facilities and appurtenances as required by Section 26-544 of the City Code and, where applicable, such facilities shall conform to Section 10-37 of the City Code.
- (6) *Streets, Alleys and Paths.* The applicant shall provide street improvements necessary to serve the lot or lots in accordance with Section 24-95 of the City Code.
- (7) *Utilities (including, without limitation, communications, electric power, gas, water, sewer).* Except as hereafter provided, all new utility facilities on or adjoining the development shall be installed underground and, if located in a street or alley, shall be installed, inspected and approved in accordance with the permit required pursuant to Section 23-16 of the City Code, prior to the completion of street or alley surfacing. To the extent feasible, the undergrounding of utilities shall be planned, coordinated and installed in an orderly fashion from deepest to shallowest. Aboveground facilities necessarily appurtenant to underground facilities shall be allowed, but shall be located outside of the parkway area that is between the street and sidewalk where detached sidewalks exist and, in all circumstances, shall be located at least two (2) feet behind the back of the sidewalk, or if there is no sidewalk, behind the edge of the pavement. Roadway lighting fixtures with their poles and junction boxes, as well as traffic signals with their controller cabinets, are exempt from this requirement. Any aboveground facilities shall be located so as to not cause a sight obstruction for vehicular, pedestrian or bicycle traffic. In addition, all existing overhead utilities located on the development site, or adjoining the development site in public rights-of-way or utility easements, whether they serve the development or not, shall be relocated underground when such relocation is an incidental conversion associated with other public improvements in conjunction with the development project.

Exceptions:

- (a) New or existing overhead utility facilities shall be allowed if they:
 1. are electric transmission lines above forty (40) kilovolts nominal, or
 2. are temporary in nature for the purpose of servicing construction or lands not developed to urban qualifications, or
 3. are required to be installed on a temporary basis while an underground utility facility is being repaired, or
 4. are necessary to span natural barriers such as canyons, rivers or boulder fields where an underground installation would be extremely impractical.
- (b) Existing overhead utility facilities shall be allowed if they:

1. are capable of serving only territories anticipated to be annexed to the city in the future, or
2. traverse the periphery of the development for a distance less than four hundred (400) feet (and provided that the developer has installed conduit to accommodate future undergrounding), or
3. are distribution lines which will be removed upon future development, or
4. are electric distribution circuits of utilities that do not provide electric service to persons within the city.

(E) **Required Improvements Prior to Issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.**

- (1) The following improvements shall be required prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. In cases where the strict interpretation of this provision would place undue hardship upon the person requesting the certificate of occupancy, and the health, safety and welfare of the public would not be placed at risk, he or she may be permitted to establish an escrow account in an amount acceptable to the Director which will cover the costs of completion of the required improvements and the maintenance of any incomplete street sections which might be involved. The amount so placed in escrow shall be available to ensure to the city that the subject improvements are installed in the event that the person requesting the certificate of occupancy fails to install the same as agreed:
 - (a) Sidewalks. All on-site sidewalks shall be installed as required by city specifications.
 - (b) Street signs . All street signs shall be installed as required by the Traffic Operations Engineer and shall conform to the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
 - (c) Streets, alleys and paths . All streets shall be paved with curbs and gutters installed in accordance with the approved utility plans. All alleys and paths required to be constructed by the city shall be paved. In cases where a previously existing street which has not been brought up to city specifications is located within a subdivision, such street shall be paved with curbs and gutters installed in order to meet city specifications. All streets existing within ownership of the lands which make up any subdivision shall be shown on the subdivision plat. If any subdivision is located adjacent to any existing street right-of-way, the applicant shall improve local streets to the full width and collector and arterial streets to one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) width except as is otherwise provided herein below, with pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk and any other required street improvements as necessary to bring such street up to city specifications. Notwithstanding the foregoing, collector and arterial streets shall be constructed to such specifications as shall be necessary in the judgment of the City Engineer based upon traffic safety considerations, and taking into account the traffic impact of the development upon such arterial or collector street. No such arterial street shall be constructed to a width of less than thirty-six (36) feet.
 - (d) Streetlights . All streetlights shall be installed as required according to city specifications.
 - (e) Drainage. The construction of stormwater drainage facilities required by the approved Development Plan Documents must be consistent with the Stormwater Criteria Manual as it may be modified from time to time. Such stormwater drainage facility must be verified by an authorized City inspector at the appropriate phases of construction activities as specified in the Development Certification Checklist issued by and available from the Department, including but not limited to the following:
 - (1) Porous Pavers:
 - (a) Installation must be verified via inspection by an authorized City inspector at the point of installation of the outlet, underdrain, geomembrane layer, if included in whole or in part in the design detail set forth in the Development Plan Documents, and sub-base course.

- (b) Installation of this facility must be verified via inspection by an authorized City inspector at the point of installation of the pavers and joint fill material.
- (2) Bioretention Cells, Rain Gardens, and/or Sand Filters:
 - (a) Installation of this facility was verified via inspection by an authorized City inspector at the point of installation of the outlet, underdrain and geomembrane layer, if included in whole or in part in the design detail set forth in the Development Plan Documents, and base course.
 - (b) Installation of this facility was verified via inspection by an authorized City inspector at the point of installation of the pea gravel course and sand or growing media layer course.
- (3) Extended Detention Basins: Installation of this facility was verified via inspection by an authorized City inspector at the point of installation of the water quality control box(es).
- (4) Underground Treatment: Installation of this facility was verified via inspection by an authorized City inspector at the point at which the feature is installed but not buried.

In the event of non-compliance, the City shall have the option to withhold building permits and/or certificates of occupancy or use any other legal remedy that may be provided in the City Code, the Land Use Code and/or the Development Agreement, as determined appropriate to ensure that the Developer properly installs all privately owned stormwater improvements associated with the development as specified in the Development Plan Documents.

In addition, a "Drainage Certification" prepared by a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Colorado must be provided. The "Certification" must confirm to the City that all stormwater drainage facilities required to serve the property have been constructed in conformance with the approved Development Plan Documents so as to protect downstream property and the quality of Stormwater runoff from the property to comply with the City's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System permit. Such certification must be in the form required by the City's Stormwater Criteria Manual and Construction Standards.

- (f) Other . All other improvements required as a condition of approval of the plat shall be completed.
- (g) Where applicable, the person requesting a certificate of occupancy shall be required to conform to the provisions of Section 10-38 of the City Code by submitting a post-construction floodproofing elevation certificate to the Utilities Executive Director for the City's permanent records.

(F) Off-Site Public Access Improvements.

- (1) *Path Improvements.* All developments must have adequate access to the City's Improved Arterial Street Network, as described below, or to a street that connects to the Improved Arterial Street Network. Exceptions to the foregoing requirements may be granted for streets which have adequate funds appropriated by the City for improvement to current standards. The developer of any property which does not have such adequate access to an Improved Arterial Street or which does not have such adequate access to streets which connect to the Improved Arterial Street Network, along the primary access routes for the development, shall be required to improve the impacted intervening streets as follows:
 - (a) For arterial and collector streets, such improvements shall consist, at a minimum, of constructing a thirty-six-foot-wide paved street cross section on a base that is adequate to accommodate the ultimate design of the street either (1) as designated on the Master Street Plan, or (2) in accordance with the City design criteria for streets, whichever is applicable.

- (b) For all other street classifications, the off-site improvements shall be designed and constructed to City standards including, without limitation, curb, gutter, sidewalk and pavement.
- (c) All streets that connect to the Improved Arterial Street Network shall include the width and improvements necessary to maintain a level of service as defined by Part II of the City of Fort Collins Multi-modal Transportation Level of Service Manual for the length required to connect to the Improved Arterial Street Network.

Off-site public access improvements shall be required for all primary access routes that will, in the judgment of the Traffic Engineer, carry the most trips (per travel mode) generated by the development as defined by the Transportation Impact Study required by Section 3.6.4. To identify the improvements to be made as a condition of approval of the development, the City Engineer shall utilize a map entitled the "Improved Arterial Street Network" depicting, as nearly as practicable, (1) all existing arterial and collector streets in the City; and (2) the current structural condition of the same. A waiver to these requirements may be granted by the City Engineer for primary access routes which, in the judgment of the City Engineer, are in substantial compliance with the City standards applicable for such routes and are designed and constructed to adequately accommodate the traffic impacts of the development.

(2) *Costs and Reimbursements .*

- (a) Off-site streets, street intersections, sidewalks, alleys, paths or other related improvements to serve the development site or such improvements along the perimeter of the development site shall be funded by the developer unless otherwise agreed by the City Manager, in his or her discretion. The developer (and others providing funding, including but not limited to the City) may be entitled to request reimbursement under paragraph (b).
- (b) The entire cost of such construction (including right-of-way acquisition) shall be the responsibility of such developer. If, within twelve (12) months of the completion and acceptance by the City of such improvements, the developer installing such improvements (the "Funding Developer") has entered into a reimbursement agreement with the City in the manner prescribed by this Section, then, at the time that other property adjacent to the improvements (the "Adjacent Property") is developed or redeveloped and access to such improvements is accomplished or other benefit from such improvements is conferred, the City may collect from the developer of the Adjacent Property a proportionate charge, based upon the cost incurred by the Funding Developer, plus an inflation factor, and based upon the benefit conferred upon the Adjacent Property. For the purpose of this provision, benefit to the Adjacent Property may include, among other things, the construction of improvements that will allow the Adjacent Property to be developed in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.6.4, where, in the absence of the improvements, such development would not be allowed to proceed. Said charge, if imposed by the City, shall be paid prior to the issuance of any building permits for the Adjacent Property; provided, however, that the City shall not attempt to make such collection unless the reimbursement agreement has been timely and properly prepared, executed and delivered to the City. If such charge is collected, the City shall reimburse the Funding Developer to the extent of such collection after deducting a service charge of three (3) percent to cover administrative costs. All costs for the construction (including right-of-way acquisition) of such improvements must be fully paid by the Funding Developer before such person shall be entitled to reimbursement under any agreement established hereunder. The amount of the reimbursement assessed by the City for each Adjacent Property as it develops shall be based on: (1) The fair market value (as determined by the City) of any right-of-way acquired by the Funding Developer that was needed for, and is directly attributable to, the improvements; and (2) The original cost of design and construction of the improvements plus an adjustment for inflation based on the construction cost index for Denver, Colorado, as published monthly by "Engineering News Record." (If said index shows deflation, the adjustment shall be made accordingly, but not below the original cost as submitted by the

Funding Developer and approved by the City Engineer.) The original cost of the right-of-way and design and construction shall mean the cost of right-of-way acquisition, financing, engineering, construction and any other costs actually incurred which are directly attributable to the improvements, including any costs incurred for the formation or administration of a special improvement district. The City's obligation to reimburse the Funding Developer shall be contingent upon the City's actual collection of the charge from the developer of the Adjacent Property. In order to obtain approval of a reimbursement agreement from the City, the Funding Developer shall provide the City Engineer with copies of the following, after acceptance of the improvements:

1. real estate closing documents and/or appraisals or other documents showing to the satisfaction of the City the fair market value of the right-of-way for the improvements;
2. an invoice from the Funding Developer's engineer for any fee assessed on the project;
3. the contractor's application for final payment approved by the Funding Developer's engineer;
4. a letter from the Funding Developer and/or contractor certifying that final payment has been received by the contractor;
5. a letter from the Funding Developer and/or engineer certifying that final payment of engineering fees has been made;
6. a letter from the Funding Developer certifying the portion of the cost which has been funded by such developer and also any portions funded by others, and naming such proportionate contributors, if any;
7. a map prepared by a licensed engineer or surveyor which shows:
 - a. the location of the improvements constructed;
 - b. the name of the owner of each Adjacent Property which is benefited by the improvements;
 - c. the proportionate benefit conferred upon each Adjacent Property, together with the assessment due based on the original costs;
 - d. the acreage and parcel number of each Adjacent Property;
 - e. a reference to the book, page and reception number from the records of the county Clerk and Recorder where the information for each property was obtained; and
 - f. any other information deemed necessary by the City Engineer.

Any right to reimbursement pursuant to this provision shall not exceed a period of ten (10) years from the acceptance by the City of the street improvements. The City Council may approve extensions of the reimbursement agreement for additional ten-year periods. No such reimbursement shall be made unless the person entitled to reimbursement has fully satisfied his or her obligations under any other reimbursement agreements with the City.

(G) City Participation in Certain Street Improvements.

- (1) If a street within or adjacent to the development is improved as an arterial or collector street rather than as a local street, the developer making such improvements shall be reimbursed in accordance with the provisions of Section 24-112 of the City Code.
- (2) If an off-site street is improved to a width in excess of thirty-six (36) feet, and provided that such excess width is not required because of the traffic impacts of the development, the City Engineer shall compute the extra expense caused by such street being improved to such excess width. Such extra expense shall be paid by the City out of the Transportation Improvements Fund established in § 8-87. The City's obligations to participate in such costs

shall be limited to those funds budgeted and appropriated for the payment requested. The participation of the City shall be limited to the costs of design, construction and right-of-way acquisition as limited pursuant to Section 24-112 of the City Code and costs of curbs, gutters or sidewalks exceeding local standards.

- (3) If the right to develop has lapsed or been abandoned pursuant to Sections 2.2.10 and 2.2.11 and no extension has been granted, any right to city participation, pursuant to this Section and Chapter 24 of the City Code, shall be limited to those improvements substantially completed and accepted by the City Engineer at the time of the termination.

(Ord. No. 90, 1998, 5/19/98; Ord. No. 178, 1998 §5, 10/20/98; Ord. No. 228, 1998 §§16—19, 92, 12/15/98; Ord. No. 99, 1999 §8, 6/15/99; Ord. No. 165, 1999 §18, 11/16/99; Ord. No. 59, 2000 §16, 6/6/00; Ord. No. 183, 2000 §11, 12/19/00; Ord. No. 107, 2001 §20, 6/19/01; Ord. No. 204, 2001 §§14, 15, 12/18/01; Ord. No. 087, 2002 §§6, 7, 6/4/02; Ord. No. 173, 2003 §10, 12/16/03; Ord. No. 198, 2004 §7, 12/21/04; Ord. No. 073, 2008 §5, 7/1/08; Ord. No. 066, 2009 §11, 7/7/09; Ord. No. 068, 2010 §5, 7/6/10; Ord. No. 080, 2011 §2, 9/6/11; Ord. No. [049, 2017](#), § 29, 6/6/17; Ord. No. [063, 2018](#),