

APPROVED

By: DeK Date: 10-20-15

October 19, 2015



City of Fort Collins
Engineering Department



EARTH ENGINEERING
CONSULTANTS, LLC

Giuliano & Father Construction, Inc.
308 Commerce Drive, #A
Fort Collins, Colorado 80524

Attn: Mr. John Giuliano (john@giulianoco.com)

Re: Pavement Thickness Design Report – *Mexico Way Minor Collector Roadway*
Timbervine 2nd Filing – Residential Development
Fort Collins, Colorado
EEC Project No. 1154085

Mr. Giuliano:

Earth Engineering Consultants, LLC (EEC) personnel have completed the subgrade evaluation and pavement thickness design for the main entrance roadway leading into the Timbervine 2nd Filing Residential Development (Mexico Way), in general accordance with the Larimer County Urban Area Street Standard (LCUASS) Pavement Design criteria. At the time of our field exploration, the subgrades for the entrance roadway were at or near approximate “rough” final subgrade elevations and the main sanitary sewer and water lines had been installed. Results of the subgrade evaluation are provided with this report along with recommendations for final pavement thicknesses for the referenced residential roadway as delineated on the enclosed site diagram. For design of this entrance roadway alignment we are using 18-kip equivalent daily load application (EDLA) value of 25 as per information provided to us by representatives from the City of Fort Collins’ Engineering Department on October 15, 2015.

Pavement related test borings were completed as part of this exploration at three (3) locations (identified herein as P1- thru P-3), to evaluate subgrade conditions along Mexico Way. A site diagram indicating the approximate roadway alignment evaluated as part of this assessment and the approximate boring locations is provided with this report.

At boring locations P-1 through P-3, within the entrance roadway alignment, two (2) soil borings were completed; one (1) within the sanitary sewer backfill area, (these are identified herein as the “A” borings), and one (1) within the adjacent “native” soil area, (these are identified herein as

4396 GREENFIELD DRIVE
WINDSOR, COLORADO 80550
(970) 545-3908 FAX (970) 663-0282
www.earth-engineering.com

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
EEC Project No. 1154085
October 19, 2015
Page 2

the “B” borings). The sanitary sewer alignment/backfilled borings, “A”, were extended to depths of approximately 5-feet below top of subgrade and the “native” soil borings, “B”, were completed to depths to approximately 10-feet below existing subgrade elevations. Samples of the subsurface materials encountered were obtained within the top 4 feet of both borings and near the 9-foot interval drilled within the “native” subsurface borings.

EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES

The pavement related test borings were located in the field by EEC personnel by pacing and estimating angles from identifiable site references. The borings were generally positioned on approximate 500 feet spacing between each pavement boring within the entrance roadway alignment. The approximate locations of the borings are indicated on the attached boring location diagram. The locations those borings should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used to make the field measurements.

The test borings were completed using a truck mounted, CME-55 drill rig equipped with a hydraulic head employed in drilling and sampling operations. The boreholes were advanced using 4-inch nominal diameter continuous flight augers. Samples of the subsurface materials encountered were obtained using split barrel and California barrel sampling procedures in general accordance with ASTM Specifications D1586 and D3550, respectively. In the split barrel and California barrel sampling procedures, standard sampling spoons are advanced into the ground by means of a 140-pound hammer falling a distance of 30 inches. The number of blows required to advance the split barrel and California barrel samplers is recorded and is used to estimate the in-situ relative density of cohesionless soils and, to a lesser degree of accuracy, the consistency of cohesive soils. In the California barrel sampling procedure, relatively undisturbed samples are obtained in removable brass liners. All samples obtained in the field were sealed and returned to our laboratory for further examination, classification, and testing.

Laboratory moisture content tests were completed on each of the recovered samples. In addition, the unconfined strength of appropriate samples was estimated using a calibrated hand penetrometer. Atterberg limits and washed sieve analysis tests were completed on selected samples to evaluate the quantity and plasticity of fines in the subgrade. Swell/consolidation tests

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
EEC Project No. 1154085
October 19, 2015
Page 3

were completed to evaluate the potential for the subgrade materials to change volume with variation in moisture content and load in general accordance with the LCUASS pavement design criteria. One (1) Hveem Stabilometer/R-Value test was conducted on a representative composite sample of the on-site subgrade soils to evaluate the strength characteristics and assist in determining the appropriate pavement thicknesses for the project. Results of the outlined tests are indicated on the attached boring logs and summary sheets.

As part of the testing program, all samples were examined in the laboratory and classified in general accordance with the attached General Notes and the Unified Soil Classification System, based on the soil's texture and plasticity. The estimated group symbol for the Unified Soil Classification System is indicated on the boring logs and a brief description of that classification system is included with this report.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Based on the results of the field borings and laboratory testing, subsurface conditions can be generalized as follows. Subsurface soils observed within the entrance roadway alignment along Mexico Way (both the "A" and "B" designated borings), consisted of engineered fill material and/or native subsoils classified as silty, clayey sand with gravel, which extended to the depths explored in the shallower borings and/or to the underlying fine to course granular subsoils below in the deeper pavement related borings. Silty sand with gravel was encountered at approximate depths of 4 to 9 feet below site grades in the general vicinity of Boring Nos. P-1 through P-3 and extended to the depths explored. The upper slightly cohesive subsoils were relatively moist in-situ; were medium stiff/dense to stiff/dense in consistency and/or medium dense to dense in relative density, and exhibited low swell potential and moderate subgrade strength characteristics.

Observations were made while drilling and after completion of the borings to detect the presence and depth to hydrostatic ground water. Free water was observed in the deeper "B" borings at the time of drilling at approximate depths of 3 to 6 feet below site grades. In general accordance with LCUASS criteria, the bottom of the pavement section should be a minimum of 3-feet above the maximum anticipated rise in groundwater. Consideration could be given to the installation of

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
EEC Project No. 1154085
October 19, 2015
Page 4

pavement edge drains to control elevated groundwater levels. Fluctuations in groundwater levels can occur over time depending on variations in hydrologic conditions and other conditions not apparent at the time of this report. Longer term observations in cased holes sealed from the influence of surface water would be required to evaluate long term water level fluctuations.

ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Swell – Consolidation Test Results

The swell-consolidation test is performed to evaluate the swell or collapse potential of soils or bedrock and assist to determine foundation, floor slab and pavement design criteria. In this test, relatively undisturbed samples obtained directly from the California ring barrel sampling device are placed in a laboratory apparatus and inundated with water under a predetermined load. The swell-index is the resulting amount of swell or collapse expressed as a percent of the sample's initial thickness, after the inundation period. Samples obtained at the 1 or 2-foot intervals are generally pre-loaded and inundated with water at an approximate 150 pounds per square foot (psf) increment to simulate the pavement loading conditions in general accordance with Larimer County Urban Area Street Standards (LCUASS) Pavement Design criteria. After the inundation period additional incremental loads are applied to evaluate consolidation response.

For this assessment, we conducted a total of four (4) swell-consolidation tests on subgrade samples collected during our subsurface exploration at approximate depths of 1 to 2-feet below existing site grades. The swell index values for the soil samples tested at the 150-psf inundation pressures revealed low swell characteristics on the order of (+) 0.0 to (+) 0.3%.

The average swell-index results for the roadway fill materials and/or native subsoils analyzed herein revealed swell-index values less than the LCUASS 2% criteria for requiring a swell-mitigation plan; therefore a swell-mitigation plan would not be required for the interior roadway alignments. However depending upon time of year and subgrade conditions at the time of a proof roll, consideration could be given to a fly ash treatment concept should pumping conditions develop and the subgrade requires a stabilization approach.

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
 Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
 EEC Project No. 1154085
 October 19, 2015
 Page 5

If a fly ash treatment concept is required, as a field decision depending upon the results of a subgrade proof roll, consideration could be given to incorporating Class C fly ash within the upper 12-inches of the interior roadway subgrade section from back of curb to back of curb, (in essence the full roadway width), prior to construction of the overlying pavement structure. Stabilization should consist of blending 12% by dry weight of Class C fly ash in the top 12 inches of the subgrades. The blended materials should be adjusted in moisture content to slightly dry of standard Proctor optimum moisture content and compacted to at least 95 % of the materials maximum dry density as determined in accordance to the standard Proctor procedure. Compaction of the subgrade should be completed within two hours after initial blending of the Class C fly ash.

Subgrade stabilization of the proposed interior local roadways within the Pateros Creek Residential Development should be completed in general accordance with the recommendations presented in the LCUASS Pavement Design Manual – Chapter 22.

Hveem Stabilometer (R-Value)

One (1) composite sample of subgrade materials from the upper 4 feet below pavement grades was obtained during the field exploration for laboratory Hveem Stabilometer/R-Value, (ASTM Specification D2844) analyses to determine the subgrade strength characteristics of the in-place subgrade materials. A summary of those test results is provided below in Table I and graphical results are presented in the Appendix of this report. As presented in the *Pavement Design and Construction* section of this report, an R-Value of 25 was used to determine the design structural number (SN) for the entrance roadway/Mexico Way roadway improvements.

Boring Nos.	Depth, Ft.	Hveem Stabilometer R-Value	Atterberg Limits and Classification			
			Liquid Limit	Plastic Index	% (-) No. 200 Sieve	Soil Description
P-1 thru P-3	Upper 4'	34	22	3	30.4	Clayey Sand w/ Gravel (SC)

Water Soluble Sulfates – (SO₄)

The water soluble sulfate (SO₄) testing of the on-site overburden subgrade subsoils indicated a soluble sulfate content result of 0.01%. This pavement evaluation report includes a recommendation for the type of cement for use associated with the on-site concrete in contact with the subgrade soils. Based on the results as presented above, ACI 318, Section 4.2 indicates the site soils have a negligible/low risk of sulfate attack on Portland cement concrete. Therefore, according to the information presented in Table II below, Class 0 and/or Type I/II cement could be used for concrete on and below site grade within the overburden soils.

Severity of Sulfate exposure	Water-soluble sulfate (SO₄) in dry soil, percent	Water-cement ratio, maximum	Cementitious material Requirements
Class 0	0.00 to 0.10%	0.45	Class 0
Class 1	0.11 to 0.20%	0.45	Class I
Class 2	0.21 to 2.00%	0.45	Class 2
Class 3	2.01 of greater	0.45	Class 3

Site concrete should be designed in accordance with the provisions of the ACI Design Manual, Section 318, Chapter 4.

PAVEMENT – DESIGN and CONSTRUCTION

Pavement Subgrade Preparation

The subgrade soils are generally low to moderate strength silty, clayey sand with gravel with intermittent cobbles subsoil material, exhibiting low swell potential characteristics. Proof rolling the subgrade section is recommended immediately prior to placement of the aggregate road base section. Soft or weak areas delineated by the proofrolling operations should be undercut or stabilized in-place to achieve the appropriate subgrade support.

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
EEC Project No. 1154085
October 19, 2015
Page 7

Pavement design methods are intended to provide structural sections with adequate thickness over a particular subgrade such that wheel loads are reduced to a level the subgrade can support. The support characteristics of the subgrade for pavement design do not account for shrink/swell movements of a clay subgrade or consolidation of a wetted subgrade. Thus, the pavement may be adequate from a structural standpoint, yet still experience cracking and deformation due to shrink/swell related movement of the subgrade. It is therefore important to minimize moisture changes in the subgrade to reduce shrink/swell movements.

Care will be needed after preparation of the subgrades to avoid disturbing the subgrade materials. Positive drainage should be developed away from the roadways to avoid wetting of subgrade materials. Subgrade materials becoming wet subsequent to construction of the site improvements can result in unacceptable performance.

Pavement section recommendations provided in this report are based on the traffic information provided to us by the City of Fort Collins, and included an EDLA value of 25 for Mexico Way, classified as a minor collector roadway, and the subgrade field and laboratory test results as previously discussed herein.

A Hveem Stabilometer/R-value of 34 was determined for the rough-graded pavement subgrades completed for the Timbervine 2nd Filing residential development; however due to potential variability across the site we are using an R-Value of 25. Using the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) and the current LCUASS Pavement Design Criteria, an R-value of 25 corresponds to a resilient modulus value of 5816 psi, which was used in the pavement evaluation for the roadways included herein.

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) design guidelines for pavement thicknesses were used to evaluate recommended pavement sections for this project along with the current LCUASS Pavement Design Criteria. Recommended pavement sections based on those evaluations are provided in the table below.

TABLE III: Timbervine 2 nd Filing – Entrance Roadway / Minor Collector	
18 kip Equivalent Daily Load Axles (EDLA)	25 – Mexico Way
18 kip Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESAL's) 20-year	182,500
Resilient Modulus (R = 25)	5816
Reliability	75%
Serviceability Loss (Initial = 4.5 and Terminal= 2.30)	<u>2.2</u>
Design Weighted Structural Number - S _N	2.54
(1) Composite Section: (assume passing proof roll of subgrade) Option A	
Hot Bituminous Pavement SX-75, PG 64-22	2" @ 0.44 = 0.88
Hot Bituminous Pavement S-75, PG 58-28	3" @ 0.44 = 1.32
Aggregate Base (Class 5 or Class 6)	<u>6" @ 0.11 = 0.66</u>
Structural Number	2.86
(2) Composite Section with Fly Ash: Option B	
Hot Bituminous Pavement SX-75, PG 64-22	2" @ 0.44 = 0.88
Hot Bituminous Pavement S-75, PG 58-28	3" @ 0.44 = 1.32
Aggregate Base (Class 5 or Class 6)	6" @ 0.11 = 0.66
(3) Fly Ash treated subgrade (12% Class C Fly ash – 12", 10" Credit)	<u>10" @ 0.05 = 0.50</u>
Structural Number	3.36

- (1) **Option A:** Provides the minimum pavement thicknesses for use of asphalt concrete surface material, Grading SX, and/or S, (i.e., a minimum of 5-inches for minor collector roadway local residential roadway) underlain by a minimum of 6 inches of either CDOT Class 5 or 6 ABC, without the necessity for a fly ash treated subgrade.
- (2) **Option B:** Provides the minimum pavement thicknesses for use of asphalt concrete surface material, Grading SX, and/or S, (i.e., a minimum of 5-inches for minor collector roadway local residential roadway) underlain by a minimum of 6 inches of either CDOT Class 5 or 6 ABC, with a minimum of 12 inches of fly ash treated subgrade below should the final subgrade section not pass a proof roll. The City of Fort Collins typically allows for a pavement thickness reduction concept/credit of 12 inches for use of fly ash treated subgrade using a 10-inch section credit and applying a structural coefficient of either 0.05 or 0.10, depending upon the compressive strength achieved in the laboratory of the blended fly ash treated subgrade material. If in excess of 150 psi is achieved then a strength coefficient of 0.10 for a 10-inch section could be used in the overall pavement structural number evaluation; otherwise a half-strength credit of 0.05 could be used. However for this roadway alignment the use of fly ash would only be for stabilization purposes and not necessarily for a pavement thickness reduction concept.

- (3) If fly ash is utilized for the on-site pavement improvement areas for stabilization purposes, it is recommended that at least the upper 12-inches of the prepared subgrade be treated with 12% fly ash.

The collection and diversion of surface drainage away from paved areas is critical to the satisfactory performance of the pavement. Drainage design should provide for the removal of water from paved areas in order to reduce the potential for wetting of the subgrade soils.

Long-term pavement performance will be dependent upon several factors, including maintaining subgrade moisture levels and providing for preventive maintenance. The following recommendations should be considered the minimum:

- The subgrade and the pavement surface should be adequately sloped to promote proper surface drainage.
- Install pavement drainage surrounding areas anticipated for frequent wetting (e.g. landscaped and irrigated islands, etc.),
- Install joint sealant and seal cracks immediately,
- Seal all landscaped areas in, or adjacent to pavements to minimize or prevent moisture migration to subgrade soils;
- Placing compacted, low permeability backfill against the exterior side of curb and gutter; and,
- Placing curb, gutter, and/or sidewalk directly on approved proof rolled subgrade soils without the use of base course materials.

Preventive maintenance should be planned and provided for through an on-going pavement management program. Preventive maintenance activities are intended to slow the rate of pavement deterioration, and to preserve the pavement investment. Preventive maintenance consists of both localized maintenance (e.g. crack and joint sealing and patching) and global maintenance (e.g. surface sealing). Preventive maintenance is usually the first priority when implementing a planned pavement maintenance program and provides the highest return on investment for pavements.

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
EEC Project No. 1154085
October 19, 2015
Page 10

Prior to implementing any maintenance, additional engineering observation is recommended to determine the type and extent of preventive maintenance.

Site grading is generally accomplished early in the construction phase. However as construction proceeds, the subgrade may be disturbed due to utility excavations, construction traffic, desiccation, or rainfall. As a result, the pavement subgrade may not be suitable for pavement construction and corrective action will be required. The subgrade should be carefully evaluated at the time of pavement construction for signs of disturbance, rutting, or excessive drying. If disturbance has occurred, pavement subgrade areas should be reworked, moisture conditioned, and properly compacted to the recommendations in this report immediately prior to paving.

Please note that if during or after placement of the stabilization or initial lift of pavement, the area is observed to be yielding under vehicle traffic or construction equipment, it is recommended that EEC be contacted for additional alternative methods of stabilization, or a change in the pavement section.

GENERAL COMMENTS

The analysis and recommendations presented in this report are based upon the data obtained from the soil borings performed at the indicated locations and from any other information discussed in this report. This report does not reflect any variations which may occur between borings or across the site. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until construction. If variations appear evident, it will be necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report.

It is recommended that the geotechnical engineer be retained to review the plans and specifications so that comments can be made regarding the interpretation and implementation of our geotechnical recommendations in the design and specifications. It is further recommended that the geotechnical engineer be retained for testing and observations during earthwork and pavement construction phases to help determine that the design requirements are fulfilled.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Giuliano & Father Construction, Inc., for specific application to the project discussed and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. No warranty, express or implied, is made. In the

Timbervine 2nd Filing – Pavement Thickness Design Report
Entrance Roadway – Mexico Way
EEC Project No. 1154085
October 19, 2015
Page 11

event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the project as outlined in this report are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and the conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing by the geotechnical engineer.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report, or if we can be of further service to you in any other way, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,
Earth Engineering Consultant, LLC



David A. Richer, P.E.
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Reviewed by: Lester L. Litton, P.E.
Senior Principal Engineer

cc: City of Fort Collins Mr. Rick Richter rrichter@fcgov.com
Mr. Steve Cicione scicione@fcgov.com

DRILLING AND EXPLORATION

DRILLING & SAMPLING SYMBOLS:

SS: Split Spoon - 13/8" I.D., 2" O.D., unless otherwise noted
 ST: Thin-Walled Tube - 2" O.D., unless otherwise noted
 R: Ring Barrel Sampler - 2.42" I.D., 3" O.D. unless otherwise noted
 PA: Power Auger
 HA: Hand Auger
 DB: Diamond Bit = 4", N, B
 AS: Auger Sample
 HS: Hollow Stem Auger

PS: Piston Sample
 WS: Wash Sample
 FT: Fish Tail Bit
 RB: Rock Bit
 BS: Bulk Sample
 PM: Pressure Meter
 WB: Wash Bore

Standard "N" Penetration: Blows per foot of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches on a 2-inch O.D. split spoon, except where noted.

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT SYMBOLS:

WL : Water Level
 WCI: Wet Cave in
 DCI: Dry Cave in
 AB : After Boring

WS : While Sampling
 WD : While Drilling
 BCR: Before Casing Removal
 ACR: After Casting Removal

Water levels indicated on the boring logs are the levels measured in the borings at the time indicated. In pervious soils, the indicated levels may reflect the location of ground water. In low permeability soils, the accurate determination of ground water levels is not possible with only short term observations.

DESCRIPTIVE SOIL CLASSIFICATION

Soil Classification is based on the Unified Soil Classification system and the ASTM Designations D-2488. Coarse Grained Soils have more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are described as: boulders, cobbles, gravel or sand. Fine Grained Soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are described as: clays, if they are plastic, and silts if they are slightly plastic or non-plastic. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size. In addition to gradation, coarse grained soils are defined on the basis of their relative in-place density and fine grained soils on the basis of their consistency. Example: Lean clay with sand, trace gravel, stiff (CL); silty sand, trace gravel, medium dense (SM).

CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS

Unconfined Compressive Strength, Qu, psf	Consistency
< 500	Very Soft
500 - 1,000	Soft
1,001 - 2,000	Medium
2,001 - 4,000	Stiff
4,001 - 8,000	Very Stiff
8,001 - 16,000	Very Hard

RELATIVE DENSITY OF COARSE-GRAINED SOILS:

N-Blows/ft	Relative Density
0-3	Very Loose
4-9	Loose
10-29	Medium Dense
30-49	Dense
50-80	Very Dense
80 +	Extremely Dense

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF BEDROCK

DEGREE OF WEATHERING:

Slight Slight decomposition of parent material on joints. May be color change.
 Moderate Some decomposition and color change throughout.
 High Rock highly decomposed, may be extremely broken.

HARDNESS AND DEGREE OF CEMENTATION:

Limestone and Dolomite:

Hard Difficult to scratch with knife.
 Moderately Can be scratched easily with knife.
 Hard Cannot be scratched with fingernail.
 Soft Can be scratched with fingernail.

Shale, Siltstone and Claystone:

Hard Can be scratched easily with knife, cannot be scratched with fingernail.
 Moderately Can be scratched with fingernail.
 Hard
 Soft Can be easily dented but not molded with fingers.

Sandstone and Conglomerate:

Well Capable of scratching a knife blade.
 Cemented
 Cemented Can be scratched with knife.
 Poorly
 Cemented Can be broken apart easily with fingers.



UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Criteria for Assigning Group Symbols and Group Names Using Laboratory Tests				Soil Classification		
				Group Symbol	Group Name	
Coarse - Grained Soils more than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve	Gravels more than 50% of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve	Clean Gravels Less than 5% fines	$Cu \geq 4$ and $1 < Cc \leq 3^E$	GW	Well-graded gravel ^F	
		Gravels with Fines more than 12% fines	Fines classify as ML or MH Fines Classify as CL or CH	GP GM	Poorly-graded gravel ^F Silty gravel ^{G,H}	
		Sands 50% or more coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Clean Sands Less than 5% fines	$Cu \geq 6$ and $1 < Cc \leq 3^E$ $Cu < 6$ and/or $1 > Cc > 3^E$	SW SP	Well-graded sand ^I Poorly-graded sand ^I
		Sands with Fines more than 12% fines	Fines classify as ML or MH Fines classify as CL or CH	SM SC	Silty sand ^{G,H,I} Clayey sand ^{G,H,I}	
	Fine-Grained Soils 50% or more passes the No. 200 sieve	Silt and Clays Liquid Limit less than 50	inorganic	$PI > 7$ and plots on or above "A" Line $PI < 4$ or plots below "A" Line	CL ML	Lean clay ^{K,L,M} Silt ^{K,L,M}
			organic	Liquid Limit - oven dried < 0.75 Liquid Limit - not dried	OL	Organic clay ^{K,L,M,N} Organic silt ^{K,L,M,O}
inorganic			PI plots on or above "A" Line PI plots below "A" Line	CH MH	Fat clay ^{K,L,M} Elastic Silt ^{K,L,M}	
organic			Liquid Limit - oven dried < 0.75 Liquid Limit - not dried	OH	Organic clay ^{K,L,M,P} Organic silt ^{K,L,M,O}	
Silt and Clays Liquid Limit 50 or more		inorganic	PI plots on or above "A" Line PI plots below "A" Line	CH MH	Fat clay ^{K,L,M} Elastic Silt ^{K,L,M}	
		organic	Liquid Limit - oven dried < 0.75 Liquid Limit - not dried	OH	Organic clay ^{K,L,M,P} Organic silt ^{K,L,M,O}	
Highly organic soils		Primarily organic matter, dark in color, and organic odor			PT	Peat

^ABased on the material passing the 3-in. (75-mm) sieve

^BIf field sample contained cobbles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name.

^CGravels with 5 to 12% fines required dual symbols:
GW-GM well graded gravel with silt
GW-GC well-graded gravel with clay
GP-GM poorly-graded gravel with silt
GP-GC poorly-graded gravel with clay

^DSands with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols:

SW-SM well-graded sand with silt
SW-SC well-graded sand with clay
SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt
SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay

$$C_u = D_{60}/D_{10} \quad C_c = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$$

^FIf soil contains $\geq 15\%$ sand, add "with sand" to

^GIf fines classify as CL-ML, use dual symbol GC-CM, or SC-SM.

^HIf fines are organic, add "with organic fines" to group name

^IIf soil contains $> 15\%$ gravel, add "with gravel" to group name

^JIf Atterberg limits plots shaded area, soil is a CL-ML, Silty clay

^Kif soil contains 15 to 29% plus No. 200, add "with sand" or "with gravel", whichever is predominant.

^LIf soil contains $\geq 30\%$ plus No. 200 predominantly sand, add "sandy" to group name.


^MIf soil contains $\geq 30\%$ plus No. 200 predominantly gravel, add "gravelly" to group name.


^N $PI \geq 4$ and plots on or above "A" line.

^O $PI \leq 4$ or plots below "A" line.

^P PI plots on or above "A" line.

^Q PI plots below "A" line.





Legend

- ⊕ Approximate Boring Locations
- ① Site Photos
(Photos taken in approximate location, in direction of arrow)



Boring Location Diagram
Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements
Fort Collins, Colorado
EEC Project #: 1154085 Date: October 2015



TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING – MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO
EEC PROJECT NO. 1154085
OCTOBER 2015



**TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING - MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO**

PROJECT NO: 1154085		LOG OF BORING B-1A					DATE: OCTOBER 2015					
RIG TYPE: CME55		SHEET 1 OF 1					WATER DEPTH					
FOREMAN: DG		START DATE	10/2/2015	WHILE DRILLING	3'							
AUGER TYPE: 4" CFA		FINISH DATE	10/2/2015	AFTER DRILLING	N/A							
SPT HAMMER: AUTOMATIC		SURFACE ELEV	N/A	24 HOUR	N/A							
SOIL DESCRIPTION		D	N	QU	MC	DD	A-LIMITS		-200	SWELL		
		(FEET)	(BLOWS/FT)	(PSF)	(%)	(PCF)	LL	PI	(%)	PRESSURE	% @ 500 PSF	
CLAYEY SAND & GRAVEL (SC/GP) FILL brown medium dense		--										
		1										
		--										
		2										
		CS	3	18	9000	8.0	127.8	25	11	23.0	<150 psf	None
BOTTOM OF BORING DEPTH 5.5'		--										
		4										
		--										
		5	28	--	8.8							
		SS	6									
		--										
		7										
		--										
		8										
		--										
		9										
		--										
		10										
		--										
		11										
		--										
		12										
		--										
		13										
		--										
		14										
		--										
		15										
		--										
		16										
		--										
		17										
		--										
		18										
		--										
		19										
		--										
		20										
		--										
		21										
		--										
		22										
		--										
		23										
		--										
		24										
		--										
		25										
		--										

**TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING - MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO**

PROJECT NO: 1154085		LOG OF BORING B-1B					DATE: OCTOBER 2015				
RIG TYPE: CME55		SHEET 1 OF 1					WATER DEPTH				
FOREMAN: DG		START DATE	10/2/2015	WHILE DRILLING		DEPTH	3'				
AUGER TYPE: 4" CFA		FINISH DATE	10/2/2015	AFTER DRILLING			N/A				
SPT HAMMER: AUTOMATIC		SURFACE ELEV	N/A	24 HOUR			N/A				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TYPE	D	N	QU	MC	DD	A-LIMITS		-200	SWELL	
		(FEET)	(BLOWS/FT)	(PSF)	(%)	(PCF)	LL	PI	(%)	PRESSURE	% @ 500 PSF
SAND & GRAVEL (SP/GP) dense to medium dense		1									
		2									
	CS	3	39	--	6.3	132.7	NL	NP	9.4	<150 psf	None
		4									
	SS	5	38	--	9.0						
		6									
		7									
		8									
		9									
		SS	10	20	--	6.8					
BOTTOM OF BORING DEPTH 10.5'		11									
		12									
		13									
		14									
		15									
		16									
		17									
		18									
		19									
		20									
		21									
		22									
		23									
		24									
		25									

**TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING - MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO**

PROJECT NO: 1154085		LOG OF BORING B-2A				DATE: OCTOBER 2015				
RIG TYPE: CME55		SHEET 1 OF 1				WATER DEPTH				
FOREMAN: DG		START DATE	10/2/2015	WHILE DRILLING	None					
AUGER TYPE: 4" CFA		FINISH DATE	10/2/2015	AFTER DRILLING	N/A					
SPT HAMMER: AUTOMATIC		SURFACE ELEV	N/A	24 HOUR	N/A					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	D (FEET)	N (BLOWS/FT)	QU (PSF)	MC (%)	DD (PCF)	A-LIMITS		-200 (%)	SWELL	
						LL	PI		PRESSURE	% @ 500 PSF
CLAYEY SAND & GRAVEL (SC/GP) - FILL brown medium dense to loose	1									
	2									
	CS	3	11	7000	11.6	113.3				
clayey seams with depth	4									
	SS	5	9	6000	17.5					
BOTTOM OF BORING DEPTH 5.5'	6									
	7									
	8									
	9									
	10									
	11									
	12									
	13									
	14									
	15									
	16									
	17									
	18									
	19									
	20									
	21									
	22									
	23									
	24									
25										

**TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING - MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO**

PROJECT NO: 1154085		LOG OF BORING B-2B SHEET 1 OF 1					DATE: OCTOBER 2015				
RIG TYPE: CME55							WATER DEPTH				
FOREMAN: DG		START DATE		10/2/2015			WHILE DRILLING			3'	
AUGER TYPE: 4" CFA		FINISH DATE		10/2/2015			AFTER DRILLING			N/A	
SPT HAMMER: AUTOMATIC		SURFACE ELEV		N/A			24 HOUR			N/A	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		D	N	QU	MC	DD	A-LIMITS		-200	SWELL	
TYPE	(FEET)	(BLOWS/FT)	(PSF)	(%)	(PCF)	LL	PI	(%)	PRESSURE	% @ 500 PSF	
CLAYEY SAND & GRAVEL (SC/GP) brown medium dense	1										
	2										
	CS 3	12	7000	11.7	122.6						
	4										
SAND & GRAVEL (SP/GP) dense to very loose	SS 5	45	--	11.4							
	6										
	7										
	8										
	9										
	SS 10	3	--	18.3							
with clayey silty zones	11										
	12										
	13										
	14										
	15										
	16										
	17										
	18										
	19										
	20										
	21										
	22										
	23										
	24										
	25										
BOTTOM OF BORING DEPTH 10.5'											

**TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING - MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO**


PROJECT NO: 1154085		LOG OF BORING B-3A					DATE: OCTOBER 2015				
RIG TYPE: CME55		SHEET 1 OF 1					WATER DEPTH				
FOREMAN: DG		START DATE	10/2/2015	WHILE DRILLING		None					
AUGER TYPE: 4" CFA		FINISH DATE	10/2/2015	AFTER DRILLING		N/A					
SPT HAMMER: AUTOMATIC		SURFACE ELEV	N/A	24 HOUR		N/A					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TYPE	D (FEET)	N (BLOWS/FT)	QU (PSF)	MC (%)	DD (PCF)	A-LIMITS		-200 (%)	SWELL	
							LL	PI		PRESSURE	% @ 500 PSF
CLAYEY GRAVEL (GP) - FILL medium dense with cobbles		1									
		2									
	CS	3	23	9000	8.5	115.7	24	7	31.9	3000 psf	0.3% % @ 150 psf
		4									
	SS	5	25	--	9.2						
BOTTOM OF BORING DEPTH 5.5'		6									
		7									
		8									
		9									
		10									
		11									
		12									
		13									
		14									
		15									
		16									
		17									
		18									
		19									
		20									
	21										
	22										
	23										
	24										
	25										

**TIMBERVINE 2ND FILING - MEXICO WAY PAVEMENTS
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO**

PROJECT NO: 1154085		LOG OF BORING B-3B SHEET 1 OF 1					DATE: OCTOBER 2015				
RIG TYPE: CME55							WATER DEPTH				
FOREMAN: DG		START DATE	10/2/2015	WHILE DRILLING		6'					
AUGER TYPE: 4" CFA		FINISH DATE	10/2/2015	AFTER DRILLING		N/A					
SPT HAMMER: AUTOMATIC		SURFACE ELEV	N/A	24 HOUR		N/A					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TYPE	D (FEET)	N (BLOWS/FT)	QU (PSF)	MC (%)	DD (PCF)	A-LIMITS		-200 (%)	SWELL	
							LL	PI		PRESSURE	% @ 500 PSF
CLAYEY GRAVEL (GP) brown medium dense		1									
		2									% @ 150 psf
	CS	3	14	--	7.3		25	12	25.4	<150 psf	None
		4									
clayey zones with depth	SS	5	10	1000	13.1						
		6									
		7									
		8									
SAND & GRAVEL (SP/GP) medium dense with intermittent clay seams	SS	10	20	500	22.2						
		9									
BOTTOM OF BORING DEPTH 10.5'		11									
		12									
		13									
		14									
		15									
		16									
		17									
		18									
		19									
		20									
		21									
		22									
		23									
		24									
		25									

SWELL / CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

Material Description: Brown Clayey Sand & Gravel (SC/GP)		
Sample Location: Boring 1A, Sample 2, Depth 2'		
Liquid Limit: 25	Plasticity Index: 11	% Passing #200: 23.0%
Beginning Moisture: 8.0%	Dry Density: 129 pcf	Ending Moisture: 12.1%
Swell Pressure: <150 psf		% Swell @ 150: None




Project: Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements
 Location: Fort Collins, Colorado
 Project #: 1154085
 Date: October 2015



SWELL / CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

Material Description: Sand & Gravel (SP/GP)		
Sample Location: Boring 1B, Sample 1, Depth 2'		
Liquid Limit: NL	Plasticity Index: NP	% Passing #200: 9.4%
Beginning Moisture: 6.3%	Dry Density: 114 pcf	Ending Moisture: 14.8%
Swell Pressure: <150 psf		% Swell @ 150: None




Project: Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements
 Location: Fort Collins, Colorado
 Project #: 1154085
 Date: October 2015



SWELL / CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

Material Description: Clayey Gravel (GP)		
Sample Location: Boring 3A, Sample 1, Depth 2'		
Liquid Limit: 24	Plasticity Index: 7	% Passing #200: 31.9%
Beginning Moisture: 8.5%	Dry Density: 113.4 pcf	Ending Moisture: 15.2%
Swell Pressure: 3000 psf		% Swell @ 150: 0.3%




Project: Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements
 Location: Fort Collins, Colorado
 Project #: 1154085
 Date: October 2015



SWELL / CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

Material Description: Brown Clayey Gravel (GP)		
Sample Location: Boring 3B, Sample 1, Depth 2'		
Liquid Limit: 25	Plasticity Index: 12	% Passing #200: 25.4%
Beginning Moisture: 7.3%	Dry Density: 116.2 pcf	Ending Moisture: 15.0%
Swell Pressure: <150 psf		% Swell @ 150: None



Project: Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements
 Location: Fort Collins, Colorado
 Project #: 1154085
 Date: October 2015



EARTH ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, LLC

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

Sieve Analysis (AASHTO T 11 & T 27 / ASTM C 117 & C 136)		
Sieve Size		Percent Passing
1"	(25 mm)	100
3/4"	(19 mm)	100
1/2"	(12.5 mm)	91
3/8"	(9.5 mm)	88
No. 4	(4.75 mm)	80
No. 8	(2.36 mm)	72
No. 10	(2 mm)	70
No. 16	(1.18 mm)	65
No. 30	(0.6 mm)	57
No. 40	(0.425 mm)	53
No. 50	(0.3 mm)	48
No. 100	(0.15 mm)	39
No. 200	(0.075 mm)	30.4

Project: Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements

Location: Fort Collins, Colorado

Project No: 1154085

Sample ID: B-1 thru B-3, Upper 5'

Sample Desc.:

Date: October 2015




**RESISTANCE R-VALUE & EXPANSION PRESSURE OF
COMPACTED SOIL - ASTM D2844**




PROJECT:	Timbervine Residential Development	PROJECT NO.	1154085
LOCATION:	Fort Collins, Colorado	DATE	Oct-15
MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:	Silty Clayey Sand with Gravel		
SAMPLE LOCATION:	Composite Subgrade Sample - Test Borings B-1 thru B-3 @ 1 - 4-feet		
LIQUID LIMIT:	22	PLASTICITY INDEX:	3
		%PASSING #200:	30.4

R-VALUE LABORATORY TEST RESULTS			
TEST SPECIMEN NO.	1	2	3
COMPACTION PRESSURE (PSI)	350	350	350
DENSITY (PCF)	131.2	132.2	133.3
MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	9.4	8.4	8.0
EXPANSION PRESSURE (PSI)	0.00	0.00	0.00
HORIZONTAL PRESSURE @ 160 PSI	89	79	58
SAMPLE HEIGHT (INCHES)	2.50	2.47	2.45
EXUDATION PRESSURE (PSI)	245.3	398.7	720.7
UNCORRECTED R-VALUE	31.5	38.5	53.8
CORRECTED R-VALUE	31.5	38.5	53.8

R-VALUE @ 300 PSI EXUDATION PRESSURE = 34 RESILIENT MODULUS, PSI = 7,806



EARTH ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, LLC
 Summary of Washed Sieve Analysis Tests (ASTM C117 & C136)



Cobble	Gravel		Sand		Silt or Clay	
	Coarse	Fine	Medium	Fine		



Project: Timbervine 2nd Filing - Mexico Way Pavements
 Location: Fort Collins, Colorado
 Project No: 1154085
 Sample ID: B-1 thru B-3, Upper 5'
 Sample Desc.:
 Date: October 2015